MENDMENT OF SOLICITATION/MO	DDIFICATION OF CONT	RACT	1. (CONTRACT ID COD	E	PAGE OF PAGES
AMENDMENTACODISIONATION				J		1 OF-140
. AMENDMENT/MODIFICATION NO. 200041	3. EFFECTIVE DATE SEE BLOCK 16C.	4. REQUISITION	V/PUR	CHASE REQ. NO.	5. PROJE	CT NO. (If applicable
6. ISSUED BY	DDE N00039	7. ADMINISTER	ED BY	(If other than Item 6)	CODE	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
SPACE AND NAVAL WARFARE SYSTEM CONTRACTING OFFICER: 02-N ELI 4301 PACIFIC HIGHWAY, OT-4, ROSAN DIEGO, CA 92110-3127 PHONE: (619) 524-7388	.EN H. POLEN					:
8. NAME AND ADDRESS OF CONTRACTOR	(No., street, country, State and	d ZIP Code)	(V)	9A. AMENDMENT	OF SOLICI	TATION NO.
ELECTRONIC DATA SYSTEMS CON 13600 EDS DRIVE	RPORATION			9B. DATED (SEE		
MS: A5S-B48 HERNDON, VA 20171		,	x	10A. MODIFICATI NO0024-00-D-6 10B. DATED (SEE	8000	ITRACT/ORDER NO
ATTM: NMCI CONTRACTS CODE 1U305	FACILITY CODE			06 October 200		
11. THIS ITEM ONLY APPLIES TO AMENDA	ENTS OF SOLICITATIONS					
submitted; or (c) By separate letter or telegram which RECEIVED AT THE PLACE DESIGNATED FOR THE If by virtue of this amendment you desire to change as to the solicitation and this amendment, and is received 12. ACCOUNTING AND APPROPRIATION DANCE APPLICABLE	offer already submitted, such chang prior to the opening hour and date ATA (If required)	ge may be made by tel specified.				
13. THIS ITEM APPLIES ONLY TO MODIFICATE MODIFIES THE CONTRACT/ORDER NO. A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUE.	S DESCRIBED IN ITEM 14.			_		
A. THIS CHANGE ORDER IS ISSUE TRACT ORDER NO. IN ITEM 10A. B. THE ABOVE NUMBERED CONTROL OF THE ADDITIONAL AREA SEC. SET.						
C. THIS SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEM	NT IS ENTERED INTO PURS	I IU IMEALIKA	I I Y (1)	• FAR 43 103(6)	GES (such l	as changes in paying
X FAR CLAUSE 52.212-4 (CHA D. OTHER (Specify type of modification)						
E. IMPORTANT: Contractor is not,	is required to sign this docume	est and source ODIC				
14. DESCRIPTION OF AMENDMENT/MODIFI	CATION (Organized by UCF se	ction headings, incli	iding s	opies to the issuing of olicitation/contract su	tice bject matter	where feasible.)
		(EDC)				

-SEE HEREIN-

Except as provided herein, all terms and conditions of the docume	nt referenced in Item 9A or 10	A. as hareinfore charged, remains unchanged and in the	all forms and affine	
15A. NAME AND TITLE OF SIGNER (Type or print)		16A. NAME AND TITLE OF CONTRACTING OFFICER (Type or print)		
KEITH SPENCER, NMCI Contract Manager		ELLEN H. POLEN, NMCI Contracting	Officer	
15B. CONTRACTOR/OFFEROR (Signature of person Junorized to sign)	15C. DATE SIGNED	BY (Signature of Contracting Officer)	16C. DATE SIGNED	
NSN 7540-01-152-9070 PREVIOUS EDITION UNUSABLE	30-		STANDARD FORM 30 (REV. 10-93)	

FAR (48 CFR) 53.243

- 1. The purpose of this modification is to delete Attachment 2, Service Level Agreements, in its entirety and replace with the Attachment 2, Revision 1, Service Level Agreements, incorporated herein and made a part hereof.
- 2. This modification will not impact CT&E; SLAs existing under the contract (heretofor referred to Attachment 2) will be used for CT&E.
- 3. This modification will not impact 20,000 NMCI seats to be cutover as part of the Contract Section 2.1(d); existing SLAs under Attachment 2 will be used for the 20,000 NMCI seats to be cutover.
- 4. The Government and Contractor will define a mutually agreeable Interoperability Test Plan (ITP) based on the revised Interoperability reporting requirements.
- 5. SLA performance pursuant to Attachment 2, Revision 1, will be effective subsequent to performance of 2. and 3. above.

A CONFORMED COPY OF THE REVISED CONTRACT IS MADE A PART OF THIS MODIFICATION AS A RESULT OF THE CHANGES OUTLINED HEREIN.

All other terms and conditions of Contract N00024-00-D-6000 remain unchanged, and in full force and effect

N/MCI Contract N00024-00-D-6000 Awarded 6 October 2000

Attachment 2 Service Level Ag

Attachment 2 Revision 1 Service Level Agreements P00041

- 1. This Attachment 2, Revision 1 to contract N00024-00-D-6000 will not impact CT&E; SLAs existing under the contract (heretofor referred to Attachment 2) will be used for CT&E.
- 2. This Attachment 2, Revision 1 to contract N00024-00-D-6000 will not impact 20,000 NMCI seats to be cutover as part of the Contract Section 2.1(d); existing SLAs under Attachment 2 will be used for the 20,000 NMCI seats to be cutover.
- 3. The Government and Contractor will define a mutually agreeable Interoperability Test Plan (ITP) based on the revised Interoperability reporting requirements.
- 4. SLA performance pursuant to this Attachment 2, Revision 1, will be effective subsequent to performance of 1. and 2. above.

Summary of Proposed Changes for Optimal Solution SLAs in Attachment 2

None of the proposed changes will impact the Mission Critical Seat SLAs. SLAs 23 and 36C apply to all of the CLINs that refer to them from the Solicitation Document, Part 1, CLIN descriptions. SLAs 1, 15 and 28 are applicable **ONLY** to the Remote User CLIN (0001AE and 0101AE if the Option is exercised). The category within those SLAs that apply to the Remote Users is designated with an "A" beside the Category number.

			SLA			
SLA	SLA Name	Cat.	Performance Category	LOS	RFP B Value	Optimal B Value
1	Desktop Hardware	3A	Problem	1	1 Bus. Day	2 Bus. Days
	and Operating		Resolution	2	1 Bus. Day	2 Bus. Days
	System			3	4 Hours	No Change
15	MACs	1A	Responsiveness	1	<=4 Days	<=6 Days
				2	<=3 Days	<=5 Days
				3	<=2 Days	No Change
23	Basic Help Desk	1.2	Responsiveness	1	Less than	Less than
	Services		—(Abandon	2	5%	7%
			Rate)	3	Less than	Less than
			,		5% Less	7%
					than 5%	No Change
28	Network	1A	Time to	1	92%	85%
	Management		implement asset	2	92%	85%
	Service—Asset			3	92%	No Change
	Mgmt.					
28	Network	2A	Time to remove	1	<15 Days	<25 Days
	Management		asset	2	<15 Days	<20 Days
	Service—Asset			3	<15 Days	No Change
	Mgmt.					
36C	Technology	3	Average Relative	1AA	75%	75%
	Refreshment		Performance of	1AB	75%	65%
			Refreshment	1AC	75%	60%
			Workstations	1AD	75%	50%

Attachment 2 - Industry Standard Target Performance Measures

The NMCI will rely on the concept of Service Level Agreements (SLAs) to ensure mutual Government and provider understanding of the services to be provided and to ensure that stakeholder and user expectations are satisfactorily defined and executed. While many of the services emphasize end-to-end performance from a user perspective, a number of enterprise level services are viewed as mission critical and equally important to be measured.

The baseline service performance values for selected NMCI services are provided as a basis for comparison of offeror submissions to establish a bid-to environment for purposes of competitive range determination. Each performance value is based on the industry best practice values. These nominal values are reported to be those most used by equivalent or similar commercial service implementations.

The Table of Contents shows the list of the NMCI services required. Of the total list, 44 services are indicated by corresponding SLA numbers, and have baseline performance metrics provided in the attachment. This revision 4 to the SLAs indicates modifications, additions, and deletions in red text, line in-lineout format from the second baseline established 4/28/00 Amendment 10.

The SLAs presented here do not directly address the unpriced option services contained in the RFP. Where unpriced options are exercised by NMCI customers, their accompanying SLAs will be developed using the SLAs contained here as the entering baseline. The resulting SLAs will include any adds or changes to accommodate additional or customer specific requirements.

A number of general observations and principles became apparent during the development of the NMCI service performance metrics.

- Performance of the network must be expressed in terms of measures that the NMCI vendor can control and measure.
- Performance of the network should be generally described in terms of the varying support required for the classes of applications, i.e., mission critical, mission support, local unique.
- Performance values for each of the components of the network must be individually factored to derive the overall end-to-end performance of the network.
- The end user cares about end-to-end performance; the Government additionally cares about other aspects of the network not visible to the end-user, such as surge capacity and redundancy.

The following constitutes general SLA guidance.

The preponderance of service delivery will take place on a continuous basis through the sole efforts of the contractor. It is recognized that certain elements of service delivery (e.g., SLAs 15 [MACs], 28 [Asset Management]) will require the physical participation of a government user or representative at times when they can be expected to be present. Unless otherwise specified by the PCO, the contractor shall measure SLAs relating to performance that does not require government participation or approval Monday-Sunday, 24X7. The contractor shall measure SLAs relating to performance that requires government participation or approval between Monday-Sunday, 7X24 for Basic, High End Level, and Mission Critical Service. If the customer is required to perform a function in the resolution process but is unavailable, the ticket status will be marked as "pending customer" for the period the customer is unavailable. Pending customer time will not be counted in the outage time.

- Some SLAs provide "on average" measurements, but this does not prevent large swings in service (i.e., several days significantly below average are offset numerically by many days above average, including possibly non-business days). As a consequence, the Government will desire to consider daily average reporting (to target SLA) with no greater than some negotiated percentage of daily measurements exceeding the target average.
- The SLAs do not specify calculation methods relating to service orders where multiple
 devices or units are represented. It can be assumed that multiple unit service orders will be
 weighted according to the number of devices in an order.
- Interoperability within NMCI and between NMCI and other DoD networks is critical to successful performance of NMCI. Many of the SLAs have an interoperability metric that is explained and implemented based on a Vendor recommended, Government approved Interoperability Test Plan.
- Customer satisfaction SLAs throughout are similar and the 85% target minimum is consistent with mature industry providers. A specified survey format will be developed and repetitively used to normalize responses. Also, 50% of any help desk survey-sampling group will have had at least one help desk call in prior period.
- SLAs that involve audit measurement will be performed in a manner that ensures that the sampling will provide for a statistically significant number of measurable responses.

- For SLAs that involve periodic (e.g., monthly) reporting of NMCI network and major systems, if there is a significant excursion from normal operational performance, the contractor will notify the Government immediately.
- Reporting of SLA performance is critical to keeping expectations aligned with results. If SLA performance levels drop below required values, the contractor is expected to explain the deviation and what actions on the part of the contractor or Government are necessary to return the SLA to the expected value.
- SLAs are expected to improve over time. Refreshment of the SLA performance metrics is very important to the Government and the contractor should have a formal plan in place to continuously evolve the SLAs. This may include replacement of SLAs that become inappropriate because of technology or topology.
- Where a service is described as being measured "at a server", this can refer to delivery from an individual server or a "cluster" of servers which, when operating in the NMCI environment, combine to deliver a "service" to the end user. The "service" is the object of the measurement.

Component availability is one of the primary service measurements incorporated in the NMCI SLAs. The intent is to ensure that both end-to-end availability as well as component availabilities are clearly being provided. It is significant to note that the scope and definition of each SLA availability differs and therefore, the metric values vary.

- Service availabilities such as E-mail, Directory, Newsgroup and Web are targeted at the performance of the associated servers, exclusive of the network.
- · NMCI Intranet provides an end-to-end availability from NMCI end user to NMCI end user.
- Network availabilities such as NIPRNET, SIPRNET, and Internet are targeted at the last NMCI controlled point that provides connectivity to the portal.
- Wide Area Network measures only the availability of connectivity to the Wide Area Network portal.
- Base Area Network availability measures the connectivity between devices attached to a BAN.

The Information Assurance SLAs identified are representative of the target performance measures for the range of IA functionality provided with NMCI. The IA SLAs are in two categories – Security Planning Services and Security Operational Services. Because of their critical role in the DON, two of the operational services, Public Key Infrastructure and SIPRNET, have been broken out into separate SLAs. Some specific criteria still needs to be developed on how certain of the IA metrics will be measured. In any event, these SLAs are crucial to verifying that the required IA functionality is being implemented for NMCI.

NMCI Services (per Attachment 1)	Service Level
Nivior Services (per Attacriment 1)	Agreement
	(SLA)
	Provided
User Upgrades	11011404
Desktop Hardware and Operating System	1
End User Services	
Standard Office Automation Software	2
E-mail Services	3
Directory Services	4
File Shared Services	5
Web Access Services	6
Newsgroup Services	7
Multimedia Capabilities Services	Deleted
Print Services	9
NMCI Intranet Performance	10
NIPRNET Access	11
Internet Access	12
Mainframe Access	13
Desktop Access to Government Apps	14
Moves, Adds, and Changes	15
Software Distribution and Upgrades	16
User Training	17
3	Deleted
Unclassified Remote Access	18
Classified Remote Access	19
Portable Workstation Wireless Dial-in	20
Organizational Messaging Services	20A
Desktop VTC (hardware & software)	21
,	Deleted
	Deleted
Voice Communications	22
Voice Mail	22A
Maintenance and Help Desk Services	
Basic Help Desk Services	23
Communications Services	
Wide Area Network Connectivity	24
BAN/LAN Communications Services	25
	Deleted
	Deleted
Moveable Video Teleconferencing Seat	26
	Deleted
Proxy and Caching Services	26A
External Networks	27
Systems Services	
Network Management System Services	28
Operational Support Services	29

Capacity Planning	30
Domain Name Server	31
Application Server Connectivity	32
Network Operations Display	32A
Information Assurance Services	
NMCI Security Operational Services	33
General	
NMCI Security Operational Services PKI	34
NMCI Security Operational Services	35
SIPRNET	
NMCI Security Planning Services	36
Advanced Application and IM Support	
	Delete
	Delete
Other Requirements	
Integrated Configuration Management	36A
Integration and Testing	36B
Technology Refreshment	36C
Technology Insertion	36D
Sea-Shore Rotation Support	
Sea-Shore Rotation Support Training	37

Service Name: Desktop Hardware and Operating System	SLA: 1	
Service Description: Vendor provided desktop hardware and OS		

Applicable Service Delivery Points: Fixed (basic, High End, Mission Critical) workstations, Portable Seats, Embarkable Portable Seats

Levels of Services: 3: (Basic, High End, Mission Critical)

Performance Category 1: Installation Accuracy

Performance Measure Description: Percentage of hardware or operating system installations/ upgrades successful on first use. Formula is: (# of desktop hardware installation/upgrades in month - # of 'failed/improper' installation/upgrades) / # of installation/upgrades in Month. The failed number includes wrong desktop, wrong OS version, improper configuration, failure to install/upgrade in designed time-window, etc, that are reported within 72 hours of completion of the seat installation checklist by the ISF technician and acceptance by a Government user. It excludes any network related failures if software loading performed from a central source. The measurement is an aggregate and average by site of the installation accuracy by similar seats as determined by trouble tickets at the Help Desk. The seat is installed properly unless the NMCI end user notifies the Help Desk informing of a failure.

in to p 2 con in containing or a	Tallaroi			
Who: Vendor		Frequency: Monthly		
Where: NMCI wide		How measured: Vendor in failed desktop hardware/(in monthly reports to the date, entity failed (deskto which it failed. The 'failed data will be audited by the designated third party.	OS installation/upgrades Government. It includes p/OS) and user id for d installation/upgrade'	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA	
Level of Service (1)	0.995	0.995	0.995	
Level of Service (2)	0.995	0.995	0.995	
Level of Service (3)	0.995	0.995 0.995		
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	_	

Performance Category 2: Availability

Performance Measure Description: Basic desktop, including hardware and operating system, is up and capable of running software applications. Desktop up time is when end user is capable of performing basic desktop applications. Formula is: (# of hours in month X # of desktop - desktop outage time in hours) / (# of hours in month x # of desktops). The outage time includes all scheduled and unscheduled hardware and OS related outages. Exception is scheduled pre-agreed outage. The desktop is considered to be up (or available) unless there is a trouble ticket at the Help Desk. It assumes the availability of desktop is not dependent on network services. It measures ability to boot and perform basic execution and functions of the local resident applications within the confines of the desktop. The measurement is an aggregate and average by site.

Who: Vendor	Frequency: Monthly
Where: NMCI wide	How measured: Use of applications is basis for validating availability of hardware and operating system. Computation will be based on 7X24 and measured during a Government defined critical period, reflecting all vendor provided desktop h/w and OS outages, and provided in monthly reports to the Government. It includes date and time of outage and duration of outage. The outage event data will be audited by the Government or a designated third party.

	D. Volus	Dro Nogotistics	Contract SLA
Laval of Camina (4)	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	
Level of Service (1)	0.997	0.997	0.997
Level of Service (2)	0.997	0.997	0.997
Level of Service (3)	0.999	0.999	0.999
Performance Category 3:			
operating system are rest aggregate and average b values indicated represer Restoration is satisfied by	tored to normal operating y site of the time to restor nt total time to restore fron	om the outage until the de performance. The measure service following Help Den time of non-availability (in assumption of functional	ement will be an esk notification. The ncludes response time).
system.		T	
Who: Vendor		Frequency: Continuous mreported	
Where: NMCI-wide		How measured: Based or enterprise management s	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)		1 business day	1 business day
Level of Service (2)		1 business day	1 business day
Level of Service (3)		4 hours	4 hours
aggregate and average b values indicated represer	y site of the time to restor nt total time to restore fron	performance. The measure service following help dentime of non-availability (in assumption of functional	sk notification. The ncludes response time).
Who: Vendor		Frequency: Continuous m	nonitoring, monthly
Where: NMCI-wide		How measured: Based or enterprise management s	1 0
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)		2 business days	2 business days
Level of Service (2)		2 business days	2 business days
Level of Service (3)		4 hours	4 hours
Performance Category 4:	Customer Satisfaction	•	
	escription: Level of custon	ner satisfaction.	
Who: Contractor			
Where: NMCI Customers	using service	How measured: Customer survey with statis significant, random sampling of NMCI custo using this service.	
		using this service.	
	B Value		Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	B Value 0.85 satisfactory rating	using this service.	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1) Level of Service (2)		using this service. Pre-Negotiation	

0.85 satisfactory rating

0.85 satisfactory rating

0.90 satisfactory rating

Level of Service (2) Level of Service (3)

Service Name: Standard Office Automation Software SLA: 2

Service Description: Vendor provided standard desktop integrated software suite. It includes word processing, spreadsheet, presentation graphics, and database. These packages must interoperate across DON and within the Department of Defense.

Applicable Service Delivery Points: Fixed and Portable (Basic, High End, Mission Critical) Workstation, Embarkable Workstation, Embarkable Portable (Government and Contractor provided), Hybrid Seat

Levels of Services: 3: (Basic, High End, Mission Critical)

Performance Category 1: Installation Accuracy

Performance Measure Description: Percentage of office automation software installations/upgrades successful on first use. Formula is: (# of office automation software installation/upgrades in month - # of 'failed/improper' installation/upgrades) / # of installation/upgrades in month. The failed number includes incorrect software version, improper configuration, failure to install/upgrade in designed time-window, etc, that are reported within 72 hours of completion of the seat installation checklist by the ISF technician and acceptance by a Government user. It excludes any network related failures if software loading performed from a central source. The measurement is an aggregate and average by site of the installation accuracy by similar seats as determined by trouble tickets at the Help Desk. The software is assumed to be installed properly unless the NMCI end user notifies the Help Desk informing of a failure. The measurement is an aggregate and average by site.

Who: Contractor	Frequency: Monthly
Where: NMCI-wide	How measured: Vendor includes all events of
	failed installation/upgrades in monthly reports to
	the Government. It includes date, software
	package and user/PC ID for which it failed. The
	'failed installation/upgrade' data will be audited by
	the Government or a designated third party.

	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	0.995	0.995	0.995
Level of Service (2)	0.995	0.995	0.995
Level of Service (3)	0.995	0.995	0.995

Performance Category 2: Software Currency

Performance Measure Description: Office automation software currency relative to industry standards. OA software standard across the enterprise. The metric values listed are qualified as follows: where a current NMCI software version falls 2 versions behind the latest commercially available release, then the contractor must upgrade the enterprise to the newest release within three months of the release of the new version, unless the Government determines otherwise. In the case where current NMCI software version has been implemented for greater than one year, and a more current version is available, the contractor will upgrade to the latest version within 3 months following the one year anniversary, unless the Government determines otherwise.

Who: Government team		Frequency: Quarterly		
Where: Enterprise level How measured: Analysis of NMCINMCI s		of NMCINMCI standard		
		office automation softwar	e compared to state-of-	
		the shelf office automatio	n software, as	
		determined by contractor,	/Government	
		configuration control boar	d.	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA	
Level of Service (1)	<= 1yr or 2 versions	<= 1yr and/or 2 versions	<= 1yr and/or 2 versions	
Level of Service (2)	<= 1yr or 2 versions	<= 1yr and/or 2 versions	<= 1yr and/or 2 versions	

Level of Service (3)	<= 1yr or 2 versions	<= 1yr and/or 2 versions	<= 1yr and/or 2 versions
Performance Category 3:	Interoperability		
Performance Measure Description: For Standard Office Automation Software, the interoperability			
requirement is to provide users with the ability to exchange information using standard Gold Disk applications with other DON users not served by NMCI (IT-21, MCTN, and OCONUS), with DoD/Joint partners, and with major acquisition partners. The products and data produced on NMCI desktops must be managed to ensure that all current and future versions of the Gold Disk support the information exchange requirements of the Navy and Marine Corps mission, to include backward compatibility. Standard Office Automation Software interoperability will be measured in two ways: (1) proof of interoperability and (2) Help Desk Interoperability Trouble Tickets.			
-The proof of interoperability is to establish and maintain connection for the purpose of transferring standard office products between the test client and a set of representative test sites. This set is described in the Interoperability Test Plan. Gold Disk applications will be exercised by scripts operated from user agents installed at network devices located within NMCI and at external locations including IT-21/MCTN, DoD/Joint and commercial partner (major acquisition partners). The proof of interoperability is successful end-to-end testing between the test client and remote test site and is defined by the receipt of an anticipated script response. Failure equates to (2) two consecutive unsuccessful executions of a single application script from/to the same sites. Measurement will be performed by schedule and by event (to include introduction of a new application version); additional measurements will be performed as appropriate to ensure interoperability. - Interoperability will also be assessed by submission by users of Help Desk Interoperability Trouble Tickets. The definition of interoperability failure is exceeding the Government and ISF agreed upon Help desk reporting threshold value.			
is required for Office Auto	surement must capture two omation Software failure es		
is stipulated in the Level of	of Service metric.	Engage and Maria	adialisas con a financia di C
Who: Contractor		Frequency: Measured a r for user agents; continuous Reported monthly.	usly for Help Desk.
Where: Measured from a		How measured: 1) End U	
(located at an NMCI work			_ocked Down Workstation
client configuration opera		test results by running sc	•
installation to test points i		analysis granularity will be	
Interoperability Test Plan		based tests; by organizat	
DoD/Joint, and at least or	` •	ciaimant/command for tro	ouble ticket based reports.
Acquisition Partner). Help			
captured from interoperal	B Value	Dro Monatistian	Contract CL A
Loyal of Carriag (1)	D value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)			Notification within six (6) hours
Level of Service (2)			N/A
LEVEL OF SELVICE (2)			IN/A

Performance Category 4: Customer Satisfaction
Performance Measure Description: Level of customer satisfaction.

Level of Service (3)

Notification within three (3) hours

Who: Contractor		Frequency: Initially measured at six month intervals for first year of contract and then yearly thereafter.	
Where: NMCI Customers using service		How measured: Customer survey, random sampling of NMCI customers using this service.	
B Value		Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating
Level of Service (2)	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating
Level of Service (3)	0.90 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating

Service Name: E-mail Services SLA: 3 Service Description: Vendor provided services for sending, storing, processing, and receiving email and multimedia e-mail attachments. Applicable Service Delivery Points: Fixed and Portable (Basic, High End, Mission Critical) Workstation, Embarkable Workstation, Embarkable Portable (Government and Contractor provided), Hybrid Seat Levels of Services: 3: (Basic, High End, Mission Critical) Performance Category 1: Availability Performance Measure Description: E-mail availability is defined as the portion of time that vendor provided E-mail server is available or 'up' for sending and receiving E-mail. It is measured in terms of percentage of available time in a month. Formula is: (# of hours in month - E-mail outage time in hours) / number of hours in month. The outage time includes all scheduled and unscheduled E-mail service affecting outages. Exception is scheduled pre-agreed outage. Assumptions: does not address problems associated with network service; addresses only availability of the E-mail server; all E-mail servers are under the control and management of the contractor and located at the contract determined aggregation point (base, regional server farm, etc.). Who: Vendor Frequency: Measured continuously, summarized daily, reported monthly Where: At the email server How measured: Measured at email server. Excludes any failures relating to network and nonemail server related hardware/components. Vendor monitors email server availability and reports % availability to basic/high end and mission critical users, on a monthly basis. Availability data will be audited by the Government or a designated third party. B Value Pre-Negotiation Contract SLA Level of Service (1) 0.995 0.995 0.995 Level of Service (2) 0.995 0.995 0.995 Level of Service (3) 0.997 0.997 0.997 Performance Category 2: Problem Resolution Performance Measure Description: Elapsed time from the outage until the service is restored to normal operating performance. The measurement will be an aggregate and average by site of the time to restore service following Help Desk notification. The values indicated represent total time to restore from time of non-availability (includes response time). Who: Vendor Frequency: Continuous monitoring, monthly Where: NMCI-wide How measured: Based on Help Desk logs and enterprise management system Pre-Negotiation Contract SLA B Value Level of Service (1) 1 hour 1 hour Level of Service (2) 1 hour 1 hour Level of Service (3) 30 minutes 30 minutes Performance Category 3: Performance of E-mail Transfer

Performance Measure Description: Average time the vendor provided e-mail system keeps the message in their system (a) before depositing in the user's mailbox (on server) for incoming mail and (b) before delivering to the Internet or other NMCI domain for outgoing mail. Excludes the time the E-mail is gueued into the user's local out-going message box (if that capability exists) before transmitting

to the mail server.				
to the man server.				
Who: Vendor		Frequency: Annual		
Where: Operations cente	r	How measured: Vendor in	ncludes average daily	
регология		'time-in-spool' data in mor		
		Government. The data ma		
		party or Government.	•	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA	
Level of Service (1)	<= 5 minutes	<= 5 minutes	<= 5 minutes	
Level of Service (2)	<= 5 minutes	<= 5 minutes	<= 5 minutes	
Level of Service (3)	<= 5 minutes	<= 5 minutes	<= 5 minutes	
Performance Category 4:	Interoperability			
		interoperability requiremen		
and effective use of E-ma	ail with attachments within	DON (to include IT-21, MO	CTN, and OCONUS) and	
		oint partners and commerc		
		measured by multiple met		
		erved by external networks	s with which NMCI must	
interface, and (2) by end	user incident reporting at t	the desktop.		
The velidation of Europi				
		ed by using smtp/other scri		
		it will include: sending and		
•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	attachments will be select		
		n products; and also includ CI. The definition of E-mai		
	any two occurrences of unsuccessful transfer at any one site over six (6) consecutive tests (or 48 hours). Events at which E-mail will be measured: at installation and three (3) times daily; plus			
		Joint Partner interoperabil	• •	
additional measurements	as appropriate to cristic			
- Interoperability will also be assessed by the submission by users of Help Desk Interoperability				
Trouble Tickets. The definition of E-mail interoperability failure is exceeding the Help Desk threshold				
reporting value of 10 E-mail interoperability trouble tickets referring to a single domain (e.g., Air Force)				
over a 12 hour period.				
·				
		il interoperability failure as		
	; the timeliness of reportin	ng is stipulated in the Leve		
Who: Contractor Frequency: Measured three (3) times dail		` ,		
		agents; continuously for Help Desk. Reported		
	monthly.			
Where: Measured from a		How measured: (1) End User Incident Reports to		
,		` ,	•	
client configuration operated from a NOC test Workstation test results by ru		Help Desk, and Remote L	ocked Down	
installation to test points identified in the NMCI exchanging test E-mail and attachments.		Help Desk, and Remote L Workstation test results b	ocked Down y running scripts and	
Interes and iller Test Die	kstation) or an equivalent ted from a NOC test dentified in the NMCI	Help Desk, and Remote L Workstation test results b exchanging test E-mail ar	ocked Down y running scripts and nd attachments.	
Interoperability Test Plan	kstation) or an equivalent ted from a NOC test dentified in the NMCI , to include NMCI,	Help Desk, and Remote L Workstation test results b exchanging test E-mail ar Collection and analysis gi	ocked Down y running scripts and nd attachments. ranularity will be by	
DoD/Joint, and at least or	kstation) or an equivalent ted from a NOC test dentified in the NMCI , to include NMCI, ne Acquisition Partner.	Help Desk, and Remote L Workstation test results b exchanging test E-mail ar Collection and analysis grorganization (domains) fo	ocked Down y running scripts and nd attachments. ranularity will be by r script-based tests and	
DoD/Joint, and at least or Help Desk data will be ca	kstation) or an equivalent ted from a NOC test dentified in the NMCI , to include NMCI, ne Acquisition Partner. optured from	Help Desk, and Remote L Workstation test results b exchanging test E-mail ar Collection and analysis grorganization (domains) fo by organization, site, clair	cocked Down by running scripts and attachments. Canularity will be by a script-based tests and mant/command for	
DoD/Joint, and at least or	kstation) or an equivalent ted from a NOC test dentified in the NMCI, to include NMCI, ne Acquisition Partner. uptured from ports.	Help Desk, and Remote L Workstation test results b exchanging test E-mail ar Collection and analysis go organization (domains) fo by organization, site, clair trouble ticket based repor	cocked Down y running scripts and nd attachments. canularity will be by r script-based tests and mant/command for ts.	
DoD/Joint, and at least or Help Desk data will be ca	kstation) or an equivalent ted from a NOC test dentified in the NMCI , to include NMCI, ne Acquisition Partner. optured from	Help Desk, and Remote L Workstation test results b exchanging test E-mail ar Collection and analysis grorganization (domains) fo by organization, site, clair	cocked Down by running scripts and attachments. Canularity will be by a script-based tests and mant/command for	

		1	(0)
			(3) hours of failure.
Level of Service (2)			N/A
Level of Service (3)			Notification within three
			(3) hours of failure.
Performance Category 5:	Customer Satisfaction		
Performance Measure De	escription: Level of custom	ner satisfaction.	
Who: Contractor		Frequency: Initially measured at six month	
		intervals for first year of contract and then yearly	
		thereafter.	
Where: NMCI Customers using service		How measured: Customer survey, random	
		sampling of NMCI customers using this service.	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating
Level of Service (2)	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating
Level of Service (3)	0.90 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating

Service Name: Directory	/ Services		SLA: 4
distributed computing appobject services, across th	olications such as file, d e NMCI. Information s	ained global information ser- irectory, security, messaging ervices include storing, upda ultiple systems and formats i	g, Web, white pages, and ating, and publishing (on-
addresses, commercial a policies, applications, net	nd DSN telephone num work devices, and routing the continuation of	bers, certificates, addresses ng information as well as oth ed to maintain information or	, network resource er NMCI determined
Workstation, Embarkable Hybrid Seat, Voice Seat,	Workstation, Embarkat Video Seat	Portable (Basic, High End, I ble Portable (Government ar	•
Levels of Services: 3: (E Performance Category 1:	3	i Cilical)	
delivery points. Directory vendor provided directory is measured in terms of p Directory outage time in hunscheduled Directory se computation addresses onetwork service.	availability is defined for service is 'up' for making ercentage of available to nours) / # of hours in mo ervice affecting outages.	information services are according each directory service as and available directory informations in a month. Formula issenth. The outage time included Exception is scheduled prefectory server and excludes	the portion of time that ation to the end user. It (# of hours in month - les all scheduled and e-agreed outage. The problems associated with
Who: Vendor		Frequency: Measured co daily, reported monthly	•
Where: At the Directory s			ating to network and non- e/ components. Vendor availability and reports % end and mission critical s. Availability data will be
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	0.995	0.995	0.995
Level of Service (2)	0.995	0.995	0.995
Level of Service (3)	0.997	0.997	0.997
Performance Category 2: Performance Measure De attached end-user within	escription: Time it takes	to search on-line directory in	nformation for LAN
Who: Vendor		Frequency: Monthly	
Where: NMCI wide		How measured: Sample responsiveness.	testing of NMCI directory
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Layel of Carriag (1)	1 0 000	. 0.000	. 2

<=2 sec

Level of Service (1) Level of Service (2)

Level of Service (3)

resources add, change, or delete to individual dire 99.9% of time. This excludes any updates that ma The measure is an aggregate and the average by added, changed, or deleted to an individual's loca time required to replicate updates to every directo Who: Vendor Where: Directory databases B Value Level of Service (1) within 4 hours, .999 Level of Service (3) within 4 hours, .999	search on-line directory in surement assumes that the Frequency: Monthly	user is using at least a		
attached end-user within NMCI domain. The meast 56Kb/sec modem to dial in. Who: Vendor Where: NMCI wide B Value Level of Service (1) <=20 seconds Level of Service (2) <=20 seconds Performance Category 4: Timeliness of Directory Performance Measure Description: Responsivene resources add, change, or delete to individual dire 99.9% of time. This excludes any updates that ma The measure is an aggregate and the average by added, changed, or deleted to an individual's loca time required to replicate updates to every directo Who: Vendor Where: Directory databases B Value Level of Service (1) within 4 hours, .999 Level of Service (2) within 4 hours, .999 Level of Service (3) within 4 hours, .999	Frequency: Monthly How measured: Sample t	user is using at least a		
Who: Vendor Where: NMCI wide B Value Level of Service (1) <=20 seconds Level of Service (2) <=20 seconds Level of Service (3) <=20 seconds Performance Category 4: Timeliness of Directory Performance Measure Description: Responsivene resources add, change, or delete to individual dire 99.9% of time. This excludes any updates that ma The measure is an aggregate and the average by added, changed, or deleted to an individual's loca time required to replicate updates to every directo Who: Vendor Where: Directory databases B Value Level of Service (1) within 4 hours, .999 Level of Service (2) within 4 hours, .999 Level of Service (3) within 4 hours, .999	Frequency: Monthly How measured: Sample t	_		
Who: Vendor Where: NMCI wide B Value Level of Service (1) <=20 seconds Level of Service (2) <=20 seconds Level of Service (3) <=20 seconds Performance Category 4: Timeliness of Directory Performance Measure Description: Responsivene resources add, change, or delete to individual dire 99.9% of time. This excludes any updates that ma The measure is an aggregate and the average by added, changed, or deleted to an individual's loca time required to replicate updates to every directo Who: Vendor Where: Directory databases B Value Level of Service (1) within 4 hours, .999 Level of Service (2) within 4 hours, .999 Level of Service (3) within 4 hours, .999	How measured: Sample t	esting of NMCI directory		
Where: NMCI wide B Value Level of Service (1) <=20 seconds Level of Service (2) <=20 seconds Level of Service (3) <=20 seconds Performance Category 4: Timeliness of Directory Performance Measure Description: Responsiveneresources add, change, or delete to individual direseources add, change, or delete to individual direseources and the average by added, changed, or deleted to an individual's locatime required to replicate updates to every directod Who: Vendor Where: Directory databases B Value Level of Service (1) within 4 hours, .999 Level of Service (2) within 4 hours, .999 Level of Service (3) within 4 hours, .999	How measured: Sample t	esting of NIMCI directory		
Level of Service (1) <=20 seconds Level of Service (2) <=20 seconds Level of Service (3) <=20 seconds Performance Category 4: Timeliness of Directory Performance Measure Description: Responsivene resources add, change, or delete to individual dire 99.9% of time. This excludes any updates that ma The measure is an aggregate and the average by added, changed, or deleted to an individual's loca time required to replicate updates to every directo Who: Vendor Where: Directory databases B Value Level of Service (1) within 4 hours, .999 Level of Service (3) within 4 hours, .999		Define of NIVII 'I directory		
Level of Service (1) <=20 seconds Level of Service (2) <=20 seconds Level of Service (3) <=20 seconds Performance Category 4: Timeliness of Directory Performance Measure Description: Responsivene resources add, change, or delete to individual dire 99.9% of time. This excludes any updates that mathematically the measure is an aggregate and the average by added, changed, or deleted to an individual's locatime required to replicate updates to every directod Who: Vendor Where: Directory databases B Value Level of Service (1) within 4 hours, .999 Level of Service (3) within 4 hours, .999	responsiveness.	How measured: Sample testing of NMCI directory		
Level of Service (1) <=20 seconds Level of Service (2) <=20 seconds Level of Service (3) <=20 seconds Performance Category 4: Timeliness of Directory Performance Measure Description: Responsivene resources add, change, or delete to individual dire 99.9% of time. This excludes any updates that mathematically the measure is an aggregate and the average by added, changed, or deleted to an individual's locatime required to replicate updates to every directod Who: Vendor Where: Directory databases B Value Level of Service (1) within 4 hours, .999 Level of Service (3) within 4 hours, .999	D M (1 (1	0 1 1011		
Level of Service (2) <=20 seconds Level of Service (3) <=20 seconds Performance Category 4: Timeliness of Directory Performance Measure Description: Responsiveneresources add, change, or delete to individual diresequences and the exercise and the average by added, changed, or deleted to an individual's locatime required to replicate updates to every directod Who: Vendor Where: Directory databases B Value Level of Service (1) within 4 hours, .999 Level of Service (2) within 4 hours, .999 Level of Service (3) within 4 hours, .999	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA		
Level of Service (3) <=20 seconds Performance Category 4: Timeliness of Directory Performance Measure Description: Responsivene resources add, change, or delete to individual dire 99.9% of time. This excludes any updates that ma The measure is an aggregate and the average by added, changed, or deleted to an individual's loca time required to replicate updates to every directo Who: Vendor Where: Directory databases B Value Level of Service (1) within 4 hours, .999 Level of Service (3) within 4 hours, .999	<=20 seconds	<=20 seconds		
Performance Category 4: Timeliness of Directory Performance Measure Description: Responsivene resources add, change, or delete to individual dire 99.9% of time. This excludes any updates that ma The measure is an aggregate and the average by added, changed, or deleted to an individual's loca time required to replicate updates to every directo Who: Vendor Where: Directory databases B Value Level of Service (1) within 4 hours, .999 Level of Service (3) within 4 hours, .999	<=20 seconds	<=20 seconds		
Performance Measure Description: Responsivener resources add, change, or delete to individual dires 99.9% of time. This excludes any updates that matche measure is an aggregate and the average by added, changed, or deleted to an individual's locatime required to replicate updates to every director. Who: Vendor Where: Directory databases B Value Level of Service (1) within 4 hours, .999 Level of Service (2) within 4 hours, .999	<=20 seconds	<=20 seconds		
resources add, change, or delete to individual dire 99.9% of time. This excludes any updates that ma The measure is an aggregate and the average by added, changed, or deleted to an individual's loca time required to replicate updates to every directo Who: Vendor Where: Directory databases B Value Level of Service (1) within 4 hours, .999 Level of Service (3) within 4 hours, .999	•			
Who: Vendor Where: Directory databases B Value Level of Service (1) within 4 hours, .999 Level of Service (2) within 4 hours, .999 Level of Service (3) within 4 hours, .999	Performance Measure Description: Responsiveness and completeness of data in on-line directory resources add, change, or delete to individual directory information reflected within four (4) hours 99.9% of time. This excludes any updates that may not be under the control of the NMCI contractor. The measure is an aggregate and the average by site of the accuracy and completeness of data added, changed, or deleted to an individual's local on-line directory information. It also excludes the			
Where: Directory databases B Value Level of Service (1) within 4 hours, .999 Level of Service (2) within 4 hours, .999 Level of Service (3) within 4 hours, .999	Frequency: Monthly	That to tvivior.		
B Value Level of Service (1) within 4 hours, .999 Level of Service (2) within 4 hours, .999 Level of Service (3) within 4 hours, .999	<u> </u>	d against actual		
Level of Service (1) within 4 hours, .999 Level of Service (2) within 4 hours, .999 Level of Service (3) within 4 hours, .999		How measured: Measured against actual performance by a sampling of requested directory		
Level of Service (1) within 4 hours, .999 Level of Service (2) within 4 hours, .999 Level of Service (3) within 4 hours, .999	updates that is statisticall	•		
Level of Service (1) within 4 hours, .999 Level of Service (2) within 4 hours, .999 Level of Service (3) within 4 hours, .999	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA		
Level of Service (2) within 4 hours, .999 Level of Service (3) within 4 hours, .999	within 4 hours, .999	within 4 hours, .999		
Level of Service (3) within 4 hours, .999	within 4 hours, .999	within 4 hours, .999		
,	within 4 hours, .999	within 4 hours, .999		
	Performance Category 5: Accuracy of Global On-line Directory			
Performance Measure Description: Maintain direct measure excludes any inaccuracies due to update contractor.	tory accuracy across NMCI			
Who: Government team	Frequency: Monthly			
Where: Enterprise level		ntative sampling provided		
by comparing a validated directory list to the NMCI Directory. It will include a cross-section of geographical, functional and organizational users and include 50% of users that have undergone directory changes over the last six months.				
B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA		
Level of Service (1) < .005 of users	< .001 of users	< .001 of users		
Level of Service (2) < .005 of users	< .001 of users	< .001 of users		
Level of Service (3) < .005 of users	< .001 of users	< .001 of users		
Performance Category 6: Interoperability				
Performance Measure Description: For Directory Services, the interoperability requirement is to effectively exchange required directory attributes among DON IT infrastructures (NMCI, IT-21, MCTN, OCONUS) and with DoD. The DoD directory will import some subset of information from the NMCI directory, to include PKI certificates and required Defense Messaging System (DMS) attributes. A similar exchange is required with major acquisition partners. Directory interoperability will be measured by multiple methods: (1) validation by user agents located within NMCI and at locations				

served by networks external to NMCI (with which it must interface) and (2) measurement by end user incident reporting at the desktop.

- The validation of directory exchange may be performed by using X.500/LDAP scripts, or other equivalent methodology, and will include exchange of PKI certificates. The definition of Directory interoperability failure is any two occurrences of unsuccessful transfer at any one site over six (6) consecutive tests (or 48 hours). The Directory interoperability will be measured three (3) times daily; additional measurements as appropriate to ensure Joint Partner interoperability.
- Interoperability will also be assessed by the submission by users of Help Desk Interoperability
 Trouble Tickets. The definition of Directory interoperability failure is exceeding the DON and ISF
 determined Help Desk reporting threshold value for Directory interoperability trouble tickets over a 12
 hour period.

Notification of the Government is required for Directory interoperability failure as established by the DON (Navy CTF and MITNOC); the timeliness of reporting is stipulated in the Level of Service metric. Who: Contractor Frequency: Measured three (3) times daily for user agents; continuous for Help Desk. Reported monthly. Where: Measured from an NMCI user agent How measured: (1) End User Incident Reports to (located on an NMCI workstation) or an equivalent Help Desk, and (2) Remote Locked Down client configuration operated from a NOC test Workstation test results by running scripts, to installation to test points identified in the NMCI include related PKI certificates. Collection and Interoperability Test Plan, to include NMCI and analysis granularity will be by organization DoD/Joint. Help Desk data will be captured from (domains) for script-based tests and by interoperability trouble reports. organization, site, claimant/command for trouble ticket based reports. Pre-Negotiation B Value Contract SLA Level of Service (1) Notification within six (6) hours of failure. Level of Service (2) N/A Level of Service (3) Notification within three (3) hours of failure. Performance Category 7: Customer Satisfaction Performance Measure Description: Level of customer satisfaction. Who: Contractor Frequency: Initially measured at six month intervals for first year of contract and then yearly thereafter. How measured: Customer survey, random Where: NMCI Customers using service sampling of NMCI customers using this service. Pre-Negotiation B Value Contract SLA 0.85 satisfactory rating Level of Service (1) 0.85 satisfactory rating 0.85 satisfactory rating 0.85 satisfactory rating 0.85 satisfactory rating evel of Service (2) 0.85 satisfactory rating 0.90 satisfactory rating 0.85 satisfactory rating 0.85 satisfactory rating evel of Service (3)

Service Name: File Shared Services SLA: 5				
Service Description: Vendor provided service that will allow the user to store and retrieve files on				
shared, controlled access storage media. This includes access controls, and back up and recovery.				
Applicable Service Delivery Points: Fixed and Portable (Basic, High End, Mission Critical)				
Workstation, Embarkable Workstation, Embarkable Portable (Government and Contractor provided), Hybrid Seat				
	Levels of Services: 3: (Basic, High End, Mission Critical)			
,	Availability to required us	,		
		le share services for all NN	MCI account holders. The	
computation addresses o	nly availability of the File S	Share server and excludes egate and average by site.	problems associated	
Who: Contractor		Frequency: Measured cor		
		daily, reported monthly	•	
Where: At the share file s	erver.	How measured: Measure	d at file server. Excludes	
		any failures relating to ne		
		related hardware/compon		
		file share server availabili		
		availability to basic/high e		
		users, on a monthly basis	•	
audited by the Government or a designated party.			ili oi a designated tillid	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA	
Level of Service (1)	0.995	0.995	0.995	
Level of Service (2)	0.995	0.995	0.995	
Level of Service (3)	0.997	0.997	0.997	
Performance Category 2: File share data integrity				
		ecoverable data loss incide	ents per month to user	
		verage by site of the numb		
		esk) compared to the num		
	n the file server and has b	een saved at least once b	,	
Who: Vendor		Frequency: Monthly as re		
Where: File server		How measured: Analysis		
		restore file share data ina	ccuracies	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA	
Level of Service (1)	0.0005	0.0005	0.0005	
Level of Service (2)	0.0005	0.0005	0.0005	
Level of Service (3)	0.0003	0.0003	0.0003	
Performance Category 3:				
		r lost file begins with initial		
		easurement is an aggregat		
		e servers, as reported at th		
	able, e.g., if a user deletes	a file before it is saved, it	may be unrecoverable.	
Who: Vendor		Frequency: Monthly	-Citale Deal (12	
Where: File server		How measured: Analysis		
		calls relating to file share values are time to recove		
		that will be met.	i and percentage of tille	
tnat will be met.				

	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	1 Day .95	1 Day .95	1 Day .95
Level of Service (2)	1 Day .95	1 Day .95	1 Day .95
Level of Service (3)	4 hours .98	4 hours .98	4 hours .98
	Shared file performance		
from a supporting shared performance applies at al average time by site.	file server, located locally	e or post 1 MB file for LAN or at an aggregated serve sites. The measurement is	er farm. This level of
Who: Contractor Frequency: Monthly			
Where: End user workstation How measured: Periodic testing from representative NMCI workstations. M will be based on tests run 10 times a r during business critical hours, with an time to transfer a 1 MB file is not great seconds.		kstations. Measurement 10 times a month, ours, with an average e is not greater than 2	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	2 Seconds	2 Seconds	2 Seconds
Level of Service (2)	2 Seconds	2 Seconds	2 Seconds
Level of Service (3)	2 Seconds Shared file performance	2 Seconds	2 Seconds
NMCI users. The measur user is authorized to dial post a file. It assumes that	ement excludes the time t into a server, and the serv at the user can connect to	r site to retrieve or post a 1 to acquire connection via cover is configured to allow the supporting RAS using	lial-in. It assumes that the ne user to retrieve and at least a 56 Kb/sec
Who: Contractor	asure is structured to fact	or out the effects of the dia Frequency: Monthly	ai in line.
Where: End user dial in w	vorkstation	How measured: Periodic representative NMCI dial site. Measurement will be times a month, with an av 100 KB file by a dial in us seconds.	in located aboard NMCI e based on tests run 10 verage time to retrieve a
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	30 Seconds	30 Seconds	30 Seconds
Level of Service (2)	30 Seconds	30 Seconds	30 Seconds
Level of Service (3)	30 Seconds	30 Seconds	30 Seconds
Performance Category 6:			
Performance Measure Description: Level of customer satisfaction. Who: Contractor Frequency: Initially measured at six month intervals for first year of contract and then thereafter.		ontract and then yearly	
Where: NMCI Customers using service How measured: Customer survey, random sampling of NMCI customers using this service			

	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating
Level of Service (2)	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating
Level of Service (3)	0.90 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating

Service Name: Web Access Services	SLA: 6
Compine Descriptions Vanden provided ability and features that allow and us	

Service Description: Vendor provided ability and features that allow end user to access in-house and external web content.

Applicable Service Delivery Points: Fixed and Portable (Basic, High End, Mission Critical) Workstation, Embarkable Workstation, Embarkable Portable (Government and Contractor provided), Hybrid Seat

Levels of Services: 3: (Basic, High End, Mission Critical)

Performance Category 1: Availability

Performance Measure Description: Web server availability is defined as the portion of time that vendor provided web servers are available or 'up' for customer access. It is measured in terms of percentage of available time in a month. Formula is: (# of hours in Month - Web server outage time in hours) / number of hours in Month. The outage time includes all unscheduled web server outages. The measurement is an aggregate and average availability by site of all contractor controlled and managed web servers as reported at the Help Desk. The computation addresses only availability of the Web server and excludes problems associated with network service.

		Frequency: Measured continuously, summarized		
		daily, reported monthly		
Where: At the web server	•	How measured: Measured at the web server.		
		Vendor monitors web server availability and		
		reports % availability by individual server.		
	Availability data will be audited by the Government		udited by the Government	
			or a designated third party.	
B Value		Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA	
Level of Service (1)	0.995	0.995	0.995	
Level of Service (2)	0.995	0.995	0.995	
Level of Service (3)	0.997	0.997	0.997	

Performance Category 2: Performance of NMCI Web Access

Performance Measure Description: Average time to access NMCI hosted web site from NMCI workstation. Focus of this measure is to maintain required level of performance as user requirements change. The measure assumes that the NMCI workstation is LAN attached, The measurement is an aggregate and the average time by site to access NMCI hosted web site home page from a NMCI workstation. It excludes the time for starting up the user's desktop web browser.

Who: Contractor Frequency: Monthly			
Where: End user worksta			omatic agents. Measured ours for Basic (1) and
B Value		Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	<= 15 seconds	<= 15 seconds	<= 15 seconds
Level of Service (2)	<= 10 seconds	<= 10 seconds	<= 10 seconds
Level of Service (3)	<= 5 seconds	<= 5 seconds	<= 5 seconds

Performance Category 3: Interoperability

Performance Measure Description: For Web Access Services, the interoperability requirement is to provide access to and full capability of web resources within DON (to include IT-21 and MCTN) and two-way exchange between DON and other DoD/Joint users/sites and commercial partners/sites. Web Access interoperability will be measured by multiple methods: (1) by user agents located within NMCI and at locations served by external networks with which NMCI must interface and (2) by end user incident reporting at the desktop, and.

-The validation of Web Access interoperability will be performed by using http/other scripts, manual intervention, or other equivalent methodology. The measurement will include NMCI site(s), Joint site(s), and an Internet test site; it will include both non-secure and secure configured sites as well as multiple security settings. The definition of Web Access interoperability failure is any two occurrences of unsuccessful transfer at any one site over six (6) consecutive tests (or 48 hours). Events at which Web Access will be measured: at installation and three (3) times daily; additional measurements as appropriate to ensure Joint Partner interoperability.

 Interoperability measurement will also be performed by the submission by users of Help Desk Interoperability Trouble Tickets. The definition of Web Access interoperability failure is exceeding Help desk reporting of threshold value of 10 Web Access interoperability trouble tickets referring to a single web site over a 12 hour period.

Notification of the Government is required for Web Access interoperability failure as established by the DON (Navy CTF and MITNOC); the timeliness of reporting is stipulated in the Level of Service metric. Who: Contractor Frequency: Measured three (3) times daily for user agents; continuous for Help Desk. Reported monthly. Where: Measured from an NMCI user browser. How measured: (1) End User Incident Reports to Help Desk, and Remote Locked Down Workstation (located on an NMCI workstation) or an equivalent client configuration operated from a NOC test test results by running scripts and exchanging test http/other scripts. Scripts will represent the oldest installation to test points identified in the NMCI Interoperability Test Plan, to include NMCI, browser version supported by NMCI, but newer DoD/Joint, and at least one Internet test site. Help versions may be supported. Collection and Desk data will be captured from interoperability analysis granularity will be by organization, site, trouble reports. claimant/command. Pre-Negotiation **B** Value Contract SLA Level of Service (1) Notification within six (6) hours Level of Service (2) N/A Notification within three Level of Service (3) (3) hours Performance Category 4: Customer Satisfaction Performance Measure Description: Level of customer satisfaction. Who: Contractor Frequency: Initially measured at six month intervals for first year of contract and then yearly thereafter. Where: NMCI Customers using service How measured: Customer survey, random sampling of NMCI customers using this service. Pre-Negotiation B Value Contract SLA Level of Service (1) 0.85 satisfactory rating 0.85 satisfactory rating 0.85 satisfactory rating Level of Service (2) 0.85 satisfactory rating 0.85 satisfactory rating 0.85 satisfactory rating Level of Service (3) 0.90 satisfactory rating 0.85 satisfactory rating 0.85 satisfactory rating

Service Name: Newsgroup Services

Service Description: Vendor provided services for posting, reading, and processing user determined public and private newsgroups.

SLA: 7

Applicable Service Delivery Points: Fixed and Portable (Basic, High End, Mission Critical) Workstation, Embarkable Workstation, Embarkable Portable (Government and Contractor provided), Hybrid Seat

Levels of Services: 3: (Basic, High End, Mission Critical)

Performance Category 1: Availability

Performance Measure Description: Availability to newsgroup services for all NMCI account holders. . The computation addresses only availability of the Newsgroup server and excludes problems associated with network service. The measurement is an aggregate and average availability by site of the newsgroup servers.

Who: Vendor		Frequency: Measured continuously, summarized daily, reported monthly					
Where: End user		newsgroup related hardy monitors newsgroup serv % availability to basic/hig	ating to network and non- vare/components. Vendor ver availability and reports the end and mission critical s. Availability data will be				
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA				
Level of Service (1)	0.995	0.995	0.995				
Level of Service (2)	0.995	995 0.995 0.995					
Level of Service (3)	0.997 0.997 0.997						

Performance Category 2: Interoperability

Performance Measure Description: For Newsgroup Services, the interoperability requirement is to interface with and exchange data with both DON and external systems. DON newsgroup sources include both IT-21 and MCTN. The external sources include other Services, DoD/Joint, and other U.S Government Agencies. Newsgroup services are primarily USENET services using Network News Transport Protocol (NNTP) as defined by RFC 977. Newsgroup interoperability will be measured by multiple methods: (1) by user agents located within NMCI and at locations served by external networks with which NMCI must interface and (2) by end user incident reporting at the desktop.

- The validation of Newsgroup interactions may be performed by using NNTP/other scripts, manual intervention, or other equivalent methodology. The measurement will include: interaction with a Navy and/or Marine Corps system, a DoD/Joint system located outside NMCI, and a commercial system, all of which are NNTP based. Events at which Newsgroup interoperability will be measured: at installation, three (3) times daily; additional measurements as appropriate to ensure Joint Partner interoperability. The definition of Newsgroup interoperability failure is any two occurrences of unsuccessful transfer at any one site over six (6) consecutive tests (or 48 hours).
- Interoperability measurement will also be performed by the submission by users of Help Desk Interoperability Trouble Tickets. The definition of Newsgroup interoperability failure is exceeding Help Desk reporting of threshold value of 10 Newsgroup Access interoperability trouble tickets referring to a single Newsgroup service over a 12 hour period.

Notification of the Government DON (Navy CTF and MITNO)							
Who: Contractor		Frequency: Measured one (1) time daily for user agents; continuous for Help Desk. Reported monthly.					
Where: Measured from an NN user work station or an equivaclient configuration operated NOC test installation to test pidentified in the NMCI Interop Test Plan, to include NMCI, DoD/Joint, and Commercial (one representative test site). Desk data will be captured frointeroperability trouble reports	alent from a oints erability at least Help om	How measured: (1) End User Incident Reports to Help Desk, and Remote Locked Down Workstation test results by running scripts and exchanging test nntp/other scripts. Scripts will represent the oldest browser version supported by NMCI, but newer versions may be supported. Collection and analysis granularity will be by organization, site, claimant/command.					
	B Va	lue	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA			
Level of Service (1)				Notification within 1			
				working day			
Level of Service (2)			N/A				
Level of Service (3)			Notification within three (3) hours				
Performance Category 3: Per	formance						
Performance Measure Descricommand to transmit an artice from the server's receipt of a user's workstation. "Time-of-t transfer trials. The measurem KB news article from all contrategory assumes an NMCI Lenewsgroup servers at the use	le until that artic user request for ransfer success ent is an aggreg actor controlled AN attached wo	le is po displa " is de gate an and m	osted in a newsgroup servaying an article until that a sifined as the percentage on the total average by site of the the the analysed newsgroup serve	vice; and as the total time rticle is received at the f successful vs. total time-of-transfers for a 256 ers at the base level. The			
Who: Vendor			Frequency: Monthly				
Where: NMCI NOC			How measured: A time-of-transfer success is achieved whenever the time-of-transfer for a 256-KB news article is 2 seconds or less. Vendor shall assess time-of-transfer through a monthly testing process producing statistically valid results per site at a 90% confidence level.				
	B Value		Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA			
Level of Service (1)	0.9		0.9	0.9			
Level of Service (2)	0.95		0.95	0.95			
Level of Service (3)	0.99		0.99	0.99			

Performance Category 5:	Customer Satisfaction							
Performance Measure Description: Newsgroup services satisfaction shall be incorporated into the overall NMCI customer satisfaction measurement program as a separately queried, analyzed, and reported capability.								
Who: Contractor		Frequency: Quarterly						
Where: NMCI Customers	using service	How measured: The vendor will include an optional response item for "Newsgroup Services" in the standard NMCI customer satisfaction measurement instrument. Average satisfaction requirements shall be commensurate with NMCI as a whole.						
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA					
Level of Service (1)	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating 0.85 satisfactory						
Level of Service (2)	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating 0.85 satisfactory						
Level of Service (3) 0.90 satisfactory rating 0.85 satisfactory rating 0.85 satisfactory rating								

Service Name: Print Services SLA: 9

Service Description: Vendor supplied ability for end user to produce (1) black & white (2) color hard copies of electronic documents and transparencies, expressed as a range for each printer.

Applicable Service Delivery Points: Fixed and Portable (Basic, High End, Mission Critical)
Workstation, Embarkable Workstation, Embarkable Portable (Government and Contractor provided)

Levels of Services: 3: (Basic, High End, Mission Critical)

Performance Category 1: Availability

Performance Measure Description: Printer up time where end user is capable of performing print operation. The formula for calculating printer up time will weigh the number of end users affected by printer availability, and a distinction will be made for basic/high end and mission users. The outage time includes all unscheduled printer outages. Exception is scheduled pre-agreed outage. The measurement is an aggregate and average availability by site of NMCI printers from valid trouble tickets at the Help Desk. Printers are assumed to be available unless there is a trouble ticket at the Help Desk. The computation assumes that printer warm-up time is up time, and that any powered down printer is by pre-agreed scheduled outage, unless due to a malfunction. Printer maintenance, to include replacement of toner cartridges, will be performed by the Contractor; the provisioning of consumables, to include toner cartridges, will be performed by the Government. (Print cartridges and ink drums are considered pre-agreed scheduled outages).

Who: Vendor		Frequency: Measured co	Frequency: Measured continuously, summarized				
		daily, reported monthly					
Where: Printer		How measured: Analysis of Help Desk reports of printer failures					
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA				
Level of Service (1)	0.995	0.995	0.995				
Level of Service (2)	0.995	0.995	0.995				
Level of Service (3)	0.997	0.997 0.997					

Performance Category 2: Accessibility

Performance Measure Description: Accessible supporting printer is located within 50 feet of all supported workstations. Assumptions: all supported workstations must be within the same physical plane limitation of the requirement and not separated by ceilings, floors, and true walls; must be executable without causing a safety hazard situation or security compromise.

Who: Vendor

Frequency: Acceptance of installations

WIND. VEHIOU		i requericy. Acceptance o	equency. Acceptance of installations				
Where: To each NMCI wor	kstation	How measured: Distance determined from supported workstations to supporting printer.					
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA				
Level of Service (1)	Yes	Yes	Yes				
Level of Service (2) Yes		Yes	Yes				
Level of Service (3) Yes		Yes	Yes				

Performance Category 3: Average Density

Performance Measure Description: Average number of users per NMCI printer. Individual occurrences of printer to end-users will not exceed 25. Assumptions: all supported workstations must be within the same physical plane limitation of the requirement and not separated by ceilings, floors, and true walls; must be executable without causing a safety hazard situation or security compromise.

Who: Vendor Frequency: Acceptance of installations

Where: to each NMCI wo	rkstation	How measured: Actual users per supported printer.				
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA			
Level of Service (1)	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Level of Service (2)	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Level of Service (3)	Yes	Yes	Yes			
Performance Category 4:	Customer Satisfaction					
Performance Measure De	escription: Level of custom	ner satisfaction.				
Who: Contractor		Frequency: Initially measured at six month				
		intervals for first year of contract and then yearly thereafter.				
Where: NMCI Customers	using service	How measured: Customer survey, random				
	3	sampling of NMCI customers using this service.				
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA			
Level of Service (1)	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating			
Level of Service (2)	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating			
Level of Service (3)	0.90 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating 0.85 satisfactory rating				

Service Name: NMCI Int			SLA: 10						
Service Description: An external to the base combined service level for networking of voice, video or									
data (as appropriate) as performed by the NMCI Intranet. Performance metrics in this SLA apply to all									
NMCI sites regardless of user population, except where noted.									
		ortable (Basic, High End, N	,						
1	Workstation, Embarkable	e Portable (Government an	d Contractor provided),						
Hybrid Seat									
Levels of Services: 3: (I		Critical)							
Performance Category 1:									
		onnectivity across the NM0							
•	<u>-</u>	CI base, post, camp, or sta							
	•	e, and includes all interven	•						
	•	ies of the area networking	devices (routers/						
switches) through which t	he NMCI traffic must tran								
Who: Vendor		Frequency: Measured pe	riodically, summarized						
		hourly, reported daily							
Where: Access Point of E	Intry for NMCI Intranet	How measured: Measure							
		the WAN/MAN to BAN po							
		measurements using indu							
		measurement protocol be							
		concentration areas, and 5 percent of the selected							
		outlying sites. Calculation: Number of hours of							
		service availability divided	d by the number of hours						
		in week.							
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA						
Level of Service (1)	0.998	0.998	0.998						
Level of Service (2)	0.998	0.998	0.998						
Level of Service (3)	0.998	0.998 0.998							
Performance Category 2:	Latency and Packet Loss	6							
Performance Measure De	escription: Packet latency	across the Intranet to other	er NMCI sites, other DoD						
sites, and commercial site	es. The measurement is a	in aggregate and average	of network latency by site						
across the Intranet to other	er NMCI sites. The measu	rement will be provided fo	r every WAN/MAN						
solution that provides ser	vice to NMCI, broken dow	n by site and by WAN/MA	N type for both classified						
and unclassified networks	s. Acceptable manageme	nt protocol(s) will be initiate	ed from the NOC.						
Attempted measurements	s stopped for reasons of s	ecurity (firewalls) will not b	e computed in this						
measurement. Latency re	porting will include average	ge, range, and distribution.	First metric is latency;						
second metric is packet lo	oss. Packet loss will be m	easured and reported if a	packet technology						
solution is used.									
Who: Vendor	Who: Vendor Frequency: Measured every 5 minutes, reported monthly								
Where: SDP	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
1		measured round trip time	•						
		throughout the day. Value							
		dependent on number of							
		determined as part of me	•						
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA						
	D value	Tie-Negotiation Contract SEA							

Level of Service (1)	70 - 100 ms *	70 - 100 ms * / < 1.0 %	70 - 100 ms * / < 1.0 %
Level of Service (2)	70 - 100 ms *	70 - 100 ms * / < 1.0 %	70 - 100 ms * / < 1.0 %
Level of Service (3)	70 - 100 ms *	70 - 100 ms * / < 1.0 %	70 - 100 ms * / < 1.0 %

COMMENT: * B value ranges for latency apply to CONUS; for latency from Hawaii to east coast, values will <= 140 ms. These values are applicable to data; latency for voice circuits should not exceed 120 ms to avoid voice clarity degradation. The packet loss values shown are at the high end of acceptable values. These metrics apply to headquarters, bases, posts, camps, and stations; smaller sites will be addressed on a class (e.g., recruiting stations) or case-by-case basis.

Performance Category 3: Interoperability

Performance Measure Description: For NMCI Intranet Services, the interoperability requirement is focused on the segments among NMCI bases. Joint/DoD and commercial interoperability is addressed in SLAs 11 (NIPRNET), SLA 12 (Internet), and SLA 35 (SIPRNET). Non-DON activities located on DON bases that may be supported by NMCI are addressed in SLA 25 (BAN/LAN). NMCI interoperability with IT-21, MCTN, and selected major commercial partners (Major Acquisition Partners) (with dedicated transport) is addressed in SLA 27 (External Networks). The measure of Intranet interoperability is particularly important during the initial NMCI lay down where DON is operating with two configurations (legacy and NMCI), and between Navy and Marine Corps bases that may potentially be provisioned by two different WAN providers -- DISA and in some cases vBNS+. Intranet interoperability will be measured by user agents located within NMCI. The user agents will employ scripts (e.g.: IP, Windows, DOS) to demonstrate OSI layer 1-4 interoperability. Intranet interoperability is defined here as the ability to establish and maintain network connectivity and exchange useful and effective information between any two NMCI clients regardless of WAN provider. Intranet interoperability testing will consist of two basic tests: (1) proof of interoperability and (2) performance testing.

- -The proof of interoperability is to establish and maintain connection for the purpose of transferring information between the test clients. This will be demonstrated by successful execution of IP based scripts, or equivalent testing. The definition of a failure is two consecutive unsuccessful executions of the test script between test clients.
- -The performance testing is end-to-end testing between clients, as measured by latency between the two clients. The definition of a failure is exceeding the Government and ISF agreed upon baseline values for latency.

These measures will be performed upon installation and three (3) times daily; additional measurements as appropriate to ensure interoperability. Notification of the Government is required for Intranet interoperability failure as established by the DON (Navy CTF and MITNOC); timeliness of reporting is stipulated in the Level of Service metric.

Who: Contractor		Frequency: Measured three (3) times daily for user agents. Reported monthly.				
I.	ted NMCI base and a ted NMCI base. Test	How measured: Remote agent locked down workstation test conducted to verify successful exchange of IP based scripts. Collection and analysis granularity will be by test sites.				
Test Plan.						
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA			
Level of Service (1)			Notification within three (3) hours of failure			
Level of Service (2)			N/A			
Level of Service (3)			Notification within one			

			(1) hour of failure						
Performance Category 4: Problem Resolution									
Performance Measure De	Performance Measure Description: Elapsed time from the outage until the service is restored to								
normal operating perform	normal operating performance. The measurement will be an aggregate and average by site of the time								
to restore service following Help Desk notification. The values indicated represent total time to restore									
from time of non-availability (includes response time). Mission critical users will be physically dual									
	homed and, in the event of an outage, will only lose their redundancy. The problem resolution will be								
		a device or the use of alter							
	vill satisfy the time to resto	ore requirement. Also spe	cifies response time to						
begin repair.		I= 0 .:							
Who: Vendor		Frequency: Continuous m	nonitoring, monthly						
Where: NMCI-wide		reported	gs, first metric is response						
Where. Midel-wide									
		time, and second value is time to restore. In case of LOS (3) values, the second number is time to							
		restore redundancy.							
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA						
Level of Service (1)	30 minutes / 3hours	30 minutes / 3hours	30 minutes / 3hours						
Level of Service (2)	15 minutes / 1 hour	15 minutes / 1 hour	15 minutes / 1 hour						
Level of Service (3)	3 minutes / 30 minutes	3 minutes / 30 minutes	3 minutes / 30 minutes						
Performance Category 5:	Customer Satisfaction								
Performance Measure De	escription: User satisfactio	n of latency of network ap	ps, interoperability						
(reachability) to DON and	I DoD sites								
Who: Vendor		Frequency: Quarterly							
Where: NMCI-wide		How measured: Based on customer satisfaction							
		surveys.							
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA						
Level of Service (1)	0.85	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating						
Level of Service (2)	0.85	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating						
Level of Service (3)	0.90	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating						

Ser	vice	Nan	ne:	NIP	'RNE	T Ac	ces	S							SLA: 11	
									•		 		-	-	 	

Service Description: A point of entry for the voice, video or data device (as appropriate) used by the end user into the NIPRNET.

Applicable Service Delivery Points: Fixed and Portable (Basic, High End, Mission Critical) Workstation, Embarkable Workstation, Embarkable Portable (Government and Contractor provided), Hybrid Seat, Voice seat, and seats with classified option.

Levels of Services: 3: (Basic, High End, Mission Critical)

Performance Category 1: Availability

Performance Measure Description: Availability of connectivity to NIPRNET portal. Measured from the NMCI end user to the last NMCI controlled access point to the NIPRNET and includes intervening infrastructure. Excludes any outages beyond the control of NMCI. These availability values are generally aggregate and average availability of the NIPRNET by site, but for Mission Critical users, the Mission Critical availability values will be measured, reported, and adhered to separately for each activity or organization.

Who: Vendor		Frequency: Measured continuously, summarized hourly, reported daily					
Where: Access Point of E	Entry for NIPRNET	user to NMCI access poi trouble tickets reported borganization. Calculation	How measured: Measurements from NMCI end user to NMCI access point, based on help desk trouble tickets reported by region/base/ organization. Calculation: Number of hours of service availability divided by the total number of hours				
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA				
Level of Service (1)	0.980	.995	.995				
Level of Service (2)	0.980	.995	.995				
Level of Service (3)	0.996	.998 .998					

Performance Category 2: Latency and Packet Loss

Performance Measure Description: Latency and packet loss from the NMCI end user to the NIPRNET access point last controlled by the NMCI provider. Measurement applies to an end user with a fixed workstation operated on a base network. Intent is to ensure that the connectivity to the NIPRNET supports satisfactory performance. The latency measurement is an aggregate and average of packet latency across the contractor provided data transport. Attempted measurements includes measurement across the switching, routing, and security mechanisms.

Who: Vendor		Frequency: Continuously monitored	
Where: NMCI-wide		How measured: Latency and Packet Loss - acceptable measurement protocol (depending on technology) measured one way from NMCI end user to NIPRNET access point. Packet Loss determined as part of measured returns.	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	80 ms / 10%	< 30 ms / <1.0%	< 30 ms / <1.0%
Level of Service (1)	80 ms / 10%	< 30 ms / <1.0%	< 30 ms / <1.0%
Level of Service (3)	80 ms / 10%	< 30 ms / <1.0%	< 30 ms / <1.0%

Performance Category 3: Interoperability

Performance Measure Description: For NIPRNET Access, the interoperability requirement is focused on the segments between DON bases and Joint/DoD bases. The requirement is bi-directional. The measure of NIPRNET interoperability is particularly important because it represents the data transport between Navy and Marine Corps and the other Services, DoD/Joint, and other Agencies. Interoperability will be measured by user agents that will employ scripts (e.g.: IP, Windows, DOS) to demonstrate OSI layer 1-4 interoperability. NIPRNET interoperability is defined here as the ability to establish and maintain network connectivity and exchange useful and effective information from any NMCI client to any DoD/Joint partner located outside of NMCI accessed by NIPRNET. NIPRNET interoperability testing will consist of two basic tests: (1) proof of interoperability and (2) performance testing.

- -The proof of interoperability is to establish and maintain connection for the purpose of transferring information between the test clients. This will be demonstrated by successful execution of IP based script, or equivalent testing. The definition of a failure is two consecutive unsuccessful executions of the test script between test clients.
- -The performance testing is end-to-end testing between clients, as measured by latency between the two clients. The definition of a failure is exceeding the Government and ISF agreed upon baseline values for latency.

These measures will be performed upon installation and three (3) times daily; additional measurements as appropriate to ensure interoperability. Coordination for establishment of Joint/DoD site constellation is the responsibility of the Government. The user agents will employ IP based scripts to demonstrate OSI layer 1-4 interoperability. Notification of the Government is required for NIPRNET interoperability failure as established by the DON (Navy CTF and MITNOC); the timeliness of reporting is stipulated in the Level of Service metric.

Who: Contractor		Frequency: Measured three (3) times daily for user agents. Reported monthly.		
Where: Measured from an NMCI user agent		How measured: Remote agent locked down		
operated from a NOC test installation. Test points		workstation test conducted to verify successful		
will be identified in the NMCI Interoperability Test		exchange of IP based scripts. Collection and		
Plan but will include both NMCI and DoD/Joint		analysis granularity will be by test sites.		
sites.				
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA	
Level of Service (1)			Notification within three	
			(3) hours of failure	
Level of Service (2)			N/A	
Level of Service (3)			Notification within one	
			(1) hour of failure	
Performance Category 4: Customer Satisfaction				
Performance Measure Description: User satisfaction of latency of network apps, interoperability				
(reachability) to DON and	DoD sites	T		
Who: Vendor		Frequency: Quarterly		
Where: NMCI-wide		How measured: Based on customer satisfaction		
			surveys.	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA	
Level of Service (1)	0.85	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	
Level of Service (2)	0.85	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	

- 4				
	Level of Service (3)	0.90	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating

Service Name: Internet Access SLA: 12

Service Description: A point of entry for the voice, video or data device (as appropriate) used by the end user into the Internet.

Applicable Service Delivery Points: Fixed and Portable (Basic, High End, Mission Critical) Workstation, Embarkable Workstation, Embarkable Portable (Government and Contractor provided), Hybrid Seat

Levels of Services: 3: (Basic, High End, Mission Critical)

Performance Category 1: Availability

Performance Measure Description: Availability of connectivity to the Internet. Measured from the NMCI end user to NMCI Internet Demarcation point at the NOC. Excludes any outages beyond the control of NMCI. This measure is an aggregate and average of the availability by site of the access points of entry for the Internet. It does not include the availability of NMCI network services. The contractor is not responsible for problems attributable to the Internet.

Who: Vendor		Frequency: Measured co hourly, reported daily	Frequency: Measured continuously, summarized hourly, reported daily	
		user to Internet access p trouble tickets reported b organization. Calculation	How measured: Measurements from NMCI end user to Internet access point, based on help desk trouble tickets reported by region/base/ organization. Calculation: Number of hours of service availability divided by the total number of hours	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA	
Level of Service (1)	0.980	0.980	0.980	
Level of Service (2)	0.980	0.980	0.980	
Level of Service (3)	0.996	0.996	0.996	

Performance Category 2: Interoperability

Performance Measure Description: For Internet Access, the interoperability requirement is focused on the segments between DON bases and major acquisition partner sites, as accessed via the DISN. The requirement is bi-directional. The measure of Internet interoperability is important because it represents the single data transport to the commercial E-mail, World-wide Web, and business entities. (The exceptions are commercial/Major Acquisition partners connected via dedicated lines and selected science and engineering communities). Interoperability will be measured by user agents that will employ scripts (e.g.: IP, Windows, DOS) to demonstrate OSI layer 1-4 interoperability. Internet interoperability is defined here as the ability to establish and maintain network connectivity and exchange useful and effective information from any NMCI client to any commercial partner located outside of NMCI accessed by Internet. Internet interoperability testing will consist of two basic tests: (1) proof of interoperability and (2) performance testing.

- -The proof of interoperability is to establish and maintain connection for the purpose of transferring information between the test clients. This will be demonstrated by successful execution of IP based scripts, or equivalent testing. The definition of a failure is two scheduled consecutive unsuccessful executions of the test script between test clients.
- -The performance testing is end-to-end testing between clients, as measured by latency between the two clients. The definition of a failure is exceeding the Government and ISF agreed upon baseline values for latency.

These measures will be performed upon installation and three (3) times daily; additional

	measurements as appropriate to ensure interoperability. Coordination for establishment of major				
acquisition partner is the responsibility of the Government. The user agents will employ IP based					
	scripts to demonstrate OSI layer 1-4 interoperability. Notification of the Government is required for				
		e DON (Navy CTF and MI	INOC); the timeliness of		
reporting is stipulated in the	ne Level of Service metric				
Who: Contractor		Frequency: Measured three (3) times daily for user agents. Reported monthly.			
Where: Measured at a NN	MCI workstation or an	How measured: Remote			
equivalent client configura	ation operated from a	workstation test conducte			
NOC test installation. Tes		exchange of IP based scr			
in the NMCI Interoperabili	•	analysis granularity will be			
include both NMCI and co			,		
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA		
Level of Service (1)			Notification within three		
, ,			(3) hours of failure		
Level of Service (2)			N/A		
Level of Service (3)			N/A		
Performance Category 3:	Customer Satisfaction				
Performance Measure De	escription: User satisfaction	n of latency of network ap	ps, interoperability		
(reachability) to DON and	DoD sites				
Who: Vendor		Frequency: Quarterly			
Where: NMCI-wide		How measured: Based on customer satisfaction			
		surveys.			
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA		
Level of Service (1)	0.85	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating		
Level of Service (2)	0.85	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating		
Level of Service (3)	0.90	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating		

Service Name: Mainframe Services Access SLA: 13

Service Description: Vendor provided access to mainframe data and applications. Includes but is not limited to 3270 and VT100 terminal emulation.

Applicable Service Delivery Points: Fixed and Portable (Basic, High End, Mission Critical) Workstation, Embarkable Workstation, Embarkable Portable (Government and Contractor provided), Hybrid Seat

Levels of Services: 3: (Basic, High End, Mission Critical)

Performance Category 1: Availability

Performance Measure Description: Availability of access to required mainframe applications and data. Measured from the NMCI end user to the last NMCI controlled access point to the mainframe. The metric covers a wide range of protocols and methodologies required to provide end user mainframe access. This measure represents availability of applications and infrastructure under the contractor's control required to provide end user mainframe access. The mainframe access is assumed to be available unless there is a trouble ticket at the Help Desk.

Who: Contractor		Frequency: Measured continuously, summarized	
daily, reported monthly			
Where: DON-wide		How measured: Measured from end user to the	
		NMCI controlled access point. This shall be measured by service downtime reports, latency reports, reports of network degradation, and user feedback surveys.	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	0.995	0.995	0.995
Level of Service (2)	0.995	0.995	0.995
Level of Service (3)	0.997	0.997	0.997

Performance Category 2: Interoperability

Performance Measure Description: For Mainframe Services Access, the interoperability requirement is to provide NMCI users with access and functionality to these mainframe services located within DON and at other DoD/Joint sites, and to ensure that other DoD/Joint users can access these services where hosted within the DON. Mainframe Access interoperability will be measured by multiple methods: (1) validation by user agents located within NMCI and at locations served by external networks with which NMCI must interface, and (2) by end user incident reporting at the desktop

- The proof of interoperability is to establish and maintain connection for the purpose of transferring information between the NMCI client and the designated mainframe. This will be demonstrated by successful execution of SNA or DNA based script, or equivalent testing. The definition of a failure is two consecutive unsuccessful executions of the test script between test clients. This measure will be performed upon installation and a minimum of one time daily; plus additional measurements as appropriate to ensure interoperability.
- Interoperability will also be assessed by the submission by users of Help Desk Interoperability
 Trouble Tickets. The definition of Mainframe interoperability failure is exceeding the DON and ISF
 determined Help Desk reporting threshold value for Mainframe Services interoperability trouble tickets
 over a 12 hour period.

Coordination for establishment of mainframe log-on permissions is the responsibility of the Government. Notification of the Government for mainframe interoperability failure as established by the DON (Navy CTF and MITNOC); the timeliness of reporting is stipulated in the Level of Service metric.

		Frequency: Measured a minimum of one (1) time daily, continuous for Help Desk. Reported monthly.	
Where: Measured from a NMCI user agent operated from a NOC test installation to a designated Joint/DoD mainframe. Test points will be identified in the NMCI Interoperability Test Plan but will include NMCI and DoD/Joint. Help Desk data will be captured from interoperability trouble reports.		How measured: 1) End User Incident Reports to Help Desk, and (2) by running scripts from a NOC user agent using an SNA or DNA based script to verify successful log-on. Collection and analysis granularity will be by test site, and by organization, site, claimant/command for trouble ticket based reports.	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)			Notification within three (3) hours of failure
Level of Service (2)			N/A
Level of Service (3)			Notification within three (3) hours of failure
Performance Category 3:	Customer Satisfaction		
Performance Measure Desatisfaction level)	escription: Performance to	support mission requirem	ents (end-user
Who: Vendor		Frequency: Baseline survey followed by annual surveys	
Where: DON-wide		How measured: Customer Survey	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	0.85	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating
Level of Service (2)	0.85	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating
Level of Service (3)	0.90	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating

Service Name: Desktop Access to Government Applications SLA: 14

Service Description: Vendor provided desktop access to Government systems and applications (server and workstation based), regardless of how those systems and applications are managed and maintained. This SLA applies to the following: legacy applications that are maintained by the Government and supported by the contractor as a basic service during initial transition (SOO 3.1.12); emerging systems and applications fielded after initial NMCI baseline is established and connected under CLIN 27; and those systems and applications that later undergo greater integration under NMCI management/operation via CLIN 29.

Applicable Service Delivery Points: Fixed and Portable (Basic, High End, Mission Critical) Workstation, Embarkable Workstation, Embarkable Portable (Government and Contractor provided), Hybrid Seat

Levels of Services: 3: (Basic, High End, Mission Critical)

Performance Category 1: Availability

Performance Measure Description: The vendor's ability to provide availability of the full functionality of the system/application at the end user's desktop. This means that the end user is made available all of the functionality that was designed into the system/application. Exclusions: malfunctions in the workstation based application software, and malfunctions in the server hardware/software, or application software (for CLIN 27 connected servers). Availability is measured from the NMCI end user to the network interface card of the legacy server; it does not include the availability of the legacy server. The measurement is an aggregate product by site and includes the availabilities of the intervening NMCI networks/components. Vendor shall provide monthly reports showing downtime, degradation of service and user feedback statistics. The application availability is assumed to be available unless there is a trouble ticket at the Help Desk.

available diffice their to a trouble ticket at the Field Beak.				
			Frequency: Monthly reports on the system/application availability	
			,	
Where: At the end user workstation.		How measured: Analysis	How measured: Analysis of Help Desk data.	
		Reflects percent availability of selected		
		Government applications	, as determined by the	
B Value		Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA	
Level of Service (1)	0.995	0.995	0.995	
Level of Service (2)	0.995	0.995	0.995	
Level of Service (3)	0.997	0.997	0.997	

Performance Category 2: Interoperability

Performance Measure Description: For Access to Government Applications, the interoperability requirement is to provide "users" with access without any change in application "behavior" to NMCI connected server based applications required to support mission performance. These "users" include NMCI, IT-21, MCTN, DoD/Joint, and commercial partners (major acquisition partners). There is also the requirement to obtain access and capability to applications hosted outside DON, in the DMZ, at DoD/Joint and commercial partners sites.

Applications access interoperability measures will include the use of simulated business transactions. The measurement will capture two-way (from NMCI out and from outside NMCI into NMCI) capability. Interoperability will be measured in multiple ways: (1) proof of interoperability, (2) performance testing, and (3) Help Desk Interoperability Trouble Tickets.

-The proof of interoperability is to establish and maintain connection for the purpose of transferring information between the test client and a "set" of representative applications. This "set" is described in the Interoperability Test Plan, and consists of representative applications selected from application families which grouped based upon their similar characteristics – application technical architecture, protocols, systems software, and host location. Each selected representative application will be exercised by scripts that represent the business operations of that application. Proof of interoperability is end-to-end testing between the test client and remote application and is defined by receiving an anticipated script response. Failure equates to (2) two consecutive unsuccessful executions of a single application script. This measure will be performed upon installation and three (3) times daily; additional measurements as appropriate to ensure interoperability.

- Performance testing is end-to-end testing between the client and the application host. Performance is measured by round trip transaction latency. The definition of failure is exceeding the Government and ISF agreed upon baseline values for round trip transaction latency. This measure will be performed upon installation and three (3) times daily; additional measurements as appropriate to ensure interoperability.
 - Optional performance testing: the above performance testing does not provide the ability to isolate segments of the end-to-end user to application chain of networks and components here deemed technically feasible and operationally desirable, additional performance monitoring may be put in place to isolate client, network, and server performance. This may be employed in exceptional cases required for a specific application or network.
- Interoperability measurement will also be performed by submission by users of Help Desk Interoperability Trouble Tickets. The definition of interoperability failure is exceeding the Government and ISF agreed upon Help Desk reporting threshold value.

Applications that are scripted will be rotated on a periodic basis per Government mission requirements. Measurement will be performed by schedule and by event, to include introduction of new application. Reporting of threshold events and required escalation is performed according to ISF procedures. Coordination for establishment of Joint/DoD site constellation is the responsibility of the Government. Notification of the Government is required for interoperability failure as established by the DON (Navy CTF and MITNOC); the timeliness of reporting is stipulated in the Level of Service metric.

		Frequency: Measured three (3) times daily for user	
		agents; continuous for H	elp Desk. Reported
		monthly.	
Where: Measured from a	n NMCI user workstation	How measured: (1) Appli	ication scripts via remote
or an equivalent client co	nfiguration operated from	agent and (2) End User I	ncident Reports to
a NOC test installation to	a set of selected	Interoperability Help Des	k. Collection and analysis
representative application	ns. Test points will be	granularity will be by test	site for script-based tests
identified in the NMCI Inte	eroperability Test Plan	and by activity, claimant/command and enterprise	
but will include NMCI, Do	D/Joint, and Commercial.	for trouble ticket based reports.	
Help Desk data will be ca	•		•
interoperability trouble rep			
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)			Notification within six (6)
			hours of failure
Level of Service (2)			N/A
Level of Service (3)			Notification within three

			(3) hours of failure	
Performance Category 3:	Customer Satisfaction			
Performance Measure De satisfaction level)	escription: Performance t	o support mission requirem	ents (end-user	
Who: Vendor		Frequency: Baseline survey followed by annual		
		surveys		
Where: DON-wide		How measured: Customer Survey		
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA	
Level of Service (1)	0.85	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	
Level of Service (2)	0.85	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	
Level of Service (3)	0.90	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	

Service Name: Moves, Adds and Changes

SLA: 15

Service Description: The contractor shall provide moves, adds and changes as specified in the Statement of Objectives. This SLA applies equally to software updates and other actions taken by the contractor as part of normal network/systems administration. (See SOO 3.1.13)

Applicable Service Delivery Points: Fixed (Basic, High End, Mission Critical) Workstation, Embarkable Workstation, Embarkable Portable (Government and Contractor provided)

Levels of Services: B, HE, MC

Performance Category 1: Responsiveness

Performance Measure Description: Time to complete from initial notification to help desk. The measurement is an aggregate and average by site of all MACs within NMCI. The measurement begins after all appropriate approvals are granted for the MAC and ends when the MAC is complete. The time for MACs does not include time needed for security certifications and accreditation.

Who: Contractor Frequency: Each occurrence		ence	
Where: Help Desk		How measured: Help Desk logs. While a single	
	help desk order may involve many dev		lve many device actions,
		each will be accounted for	or as a separate action for
purposes of metrics.			
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	<= 4 Days	<= 4 Days	<= 4 Days
Level of Service (2) <= 3 Days		<= 3 Days	<= 3 Days
Level of Service (3)	<= 2 Davs	<= 2 Days	<= 2 Davs

Performance Category 1A: Responsiveness for **Remote Users Only** (CLIN 0001AE and 0101AE if

the Option is exercised)

Performance Measure Description: Time to complete from initial notification to help desk. The measurement is an aggregate and average by site of all MACs within NMCI. The measurement begins after all appropriate approvals are granted for the MAC and ends when the MAC is complete. The time for MACs does not include time needed for security certifications and accreditation.

Who: Contractor	ctor Frequency: Each occurrence		ence
Where: Help Desk		How measured: help desk logs; while a single help	
		desk order may involve r	nany device actions, each
		will be accounted for as	a separate action for
		purposes of metrics.	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	<= 6 Days	<= 6 Days	<= 6 Days
Level of Service (2) <= 5 Days		<= 5 Days	<= 5 Days
Level of Service (3)	<= 2 Davs	<= 2 Davs	<= 2 Davs

Performance Category 2: Government Operational Direction

Performance Measure Description: This will be exercised primarily during crisis and contingency operations as directed by the CNNWC and MITNOC. Time to complete from initial notification to help desk. The measurement is an aggregate and average by site of all Government directed network changes within NMCI. The measurement begins at time call is received by help desk and ends when the change is implemented. The time for Government directed network changes applies to those changes that can be implemented through software or hardware configuration modifications. Excludes those changes that require physical modifications of existing infrastructure. In those instances where a physical modification to the infrastructure is necessary, contractor best effort is required, but time to complete must not exceed mission critical level of service for a standard

MAC responsiveness.			
		Frequency: Each occurrence	
Where: Help Desk		How measured: Help Desk logs. While a single help desk order may involve many device actions, each will be accounted for as a separate action for purposes of metrics.	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (3)		<= 1 hour	
Performance Category 3:	Incidents of repeat calls	•	
requested moves, adds, related calls to the Help I not considered repeat ca	changes. The measureme Desk. Status calls to the H	repeat calls to help desk reent is an aggregate and average of the prior to	erage by site of all MAC the promised date are
Who: Vendor		Frequency: Each occurre	
Where: Help Desk		How measured: Help Des	· ·
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	<5%	<2%	<2%
Level of Service (2)	<5%	<2%	<2%
Level of Service (3)	<5%	<2%	<2%
		work done at scheduled tined calls to the Help Desk.	ne. The measurement is
Who: Vendor		Frequency: Each occurre	nce
Where: Help Desk		How measured: Help Des	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	0.92	0.96	0.96
Level of Service (2)	0.93	0.96	0.96
Level of Service (3)	0.94	0.98	0.98
Performance Category 5:			
	escription: Level of custon		
Who: Contractor		Frequency: Initially measured at six month intervals for first year of contract and then yearly thereafter.	
Where: NMCI Customers using service		How measured: Customer survey, random sampling of NMCI customers using this service.	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating
Level of Service (2)	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating
Level of Service (2)	0.00 Satisfactory rating	orde dationactory rating	orde dandradier, raining

Service Name: Software Distribution and Upgrades

SLA: 16

Service Description: The contractor provided service to distribute new and upgraded software to NMCI service delivery points and appropriate NMCI infrastructure. This capability includes, but is not limited to, COTS software, Government-off-the-Shelf (GOTS), custom application software, end-user and systems services, and enterprise functional servers.

Applicable Service Delivery Points: Fixed and Portable (Basic, High End, Mission Critical) Workstation, Embarkable Workstation, Embarkable Portable (Government and Contractor provided), Hybrid Seat

Levels of Services: 3:: (Basic, High End, Mission Critical)

Performance Category 1: Upgrade Backouts

Performance Measure Description: "Upgrade Backouts" are specifically attributed to software upgrades performed via network services to a whole local domain that were not previously scheduled. Upgrade backouts are computed as the percentage of installed upgrades requiring backout divided by the total upgrades performed. An upgrade is defined as a single device software change, e.g., if 25 desktops are impacted by a software upgrade, then it will be reflected as 25 separate events. Desk-side software upgrades do not count towards the backout definition.

Who: Vendor		Frequency: Monthly	
Where: End user & Operations center		How measured: Vendor shall log all upgrades requiring backout. This data will be audited by the Government or designated third party.	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03
Level of Service (2)	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03
Level of Service (3)	< 0.03	< 0.03	< 0.03

Performance Category 2: Upgrades Currency

Performance Measure Description: "Upgrades Currency" is defined as the number of installed software releases that are equal to or more current than N-1 divided by the total number of software releases, where N is defined as the latest software release. The NMCI Configuration Control Board will review and approve all software upgrades based upon successful testing in an NMCI test lab; excludes software covered in SLA 2.3 (Standard Office Automation Software) and SLA 36.4 (Security Vulnerability Remediation). The Contractor shall provide a monthly list of software releases greater than N-1. Data logs shall be maintained for Government or designated third party audit.

Who: Vendor		Frequency: Monthly	
Where: Operations center		How measured: Measured by the number of Installed Approved Software Upgrades divided by the number of Approved Software Upgrades.	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	0.980	0.980	0.980
Level of Service (2) 0.980		0.980	0.980
Level of Service (3) 0.980		0.980	0.980
Performance Category 3: F	atches currency	<u> </u>	

Performance Measure Description: "Patches Currency" is defined as the number of released patches installed divided by the number of patches available. The NMCI Configuration Control Board will review and approve all patch installations based upon successful testing in an NMCI test lab; excludes patches covered in SLA 2.3 (Standard Office Automation Software) and SLA 36.4 (Security Vulnerability Remediation). Contractor shall provide a monthly list of software patches available from software providers and the status of their installation. All available patches will be installed within 30 days following approval from the Configuration Control Board. Lists shall be maintained for Government or designated third party audit.

Who: Vendor Frequency: Monthly

Where: Operations center How measured: Measured by the number of Installed Approved Patches divided by the

		. requestions	
		How measured: Measured by the number of Installed Approved Patches divided by the number of Approved Patches.	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	0.980	0.980	0.980
Level of Service (2)	0.980	0.980	0.980
Level of Service (3)	0.980	0.980	0.980
Performance Category 4	: Customer Satisfaction		
Performance Measure D	escription: Level of custon	ner satisfaction.	
Who: Contractor		Frequency: Initially measured at six month intervals for first year of contract and then yearly thereafter.	
Where: NMCI Customers using service		How measured: Customer survey, random sampling of NMCI customers using this service.	
	B Value		Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating
Level of Service (2)	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating
Level of Service (3)	evel of Service (3) 0.90 satisfactory rating		0.85 satisfactory rating

Service Name: User Training SLA: 17

Service Description: The training SLA measures the scope and effectiveness of user and security training. Vendor provided training service enables end users to effectively and securely use the features, capabilities, and services of the NMCI.

Applicable Service Delivery Points: All end users

Levels of Services: All

Performance Category 1: Security Training Execution

Performance Measure Description: Formal training will consist of a minimum of 8 hours per user per year. Training execution measures the proportion of the population receiving required and PCO approved training relating to information security. It equals the number of people receiving training divided by the total population requiring training (excluding personnel specifically identified by the PCO). The calculation excludes those users that do not make themselves available for training.

Who: Vendor		Frequency: tracked continuously, reported	
		monthly	
Where: End user		How measured: vendors records	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	0.95	0.95	0.95
Level of Service (2)	0.98	0.98	0.98
Level of Service (3)	1.00	1.00	1.00

Performance Category 2: User Training Execution

Performance Measure Description: Formal user training will consist of a minimum of 8 hours per user per year and will address use of standard end user hardware and software. Training execution measures the proportion of the population receiving formal training relating to end user systems. It equals the number of people receiving training divided by the total population requiring training (excluding personnel specifically exempted by the Government as unavailable for training).

Who: Vendor		Frequency: tracked continuously, reported monthly		
Where: End user		How measured: vendors i	How measured: vendors records	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA	
Level of Service (1)		0.95	0.95	
Level of Service (2)	_	0.98	0.98	
Level of Service (3)		1.00	1.00	

Performance Category 3: User Training Availability

Performance Measure Description: User training availability measures the proportion of population identified as requiring training (e.g., initial NMCI implementation, a change in technology or user interface, identification of user knowledge shortfall and upon contracting officer request) that have received the required training. It is intended that training to support change implementation will be provided prior to implementation of that change. In this case, measurement occurs at time of change implementation, or five days after identification of training requirement.

Who: Vendor		Frequency: tracked continuously, reported monthly		
Where: End user		How measured: Vendors	How measured: Vendors records	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA	
Level of Service (1)	0.80	0.80	0.80	
Level of Service (2)	0.80	0.90	0.90	
Level of Service (3)	0.80	0.95	0.95	

Performance Category 4:	Performance Category 4: Quality			
		ndividuals receiving course ssment of the individual's a		
Who: Vendor	Who: Vendor Frequency: tracked continuously, reported monthly			
Where: End user		How measured: user surveys; measured as a percentage of respondees.		
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA	
Level of Service (1)	0.80	0.80	0.80	
Level of Service (2)	0.80	0.80	0.80	
Level of Service (3)	0.80	0.80	0.80	

O	·			
Service Name: Unclassi	fied Remote Access		CI A. 10	
SLA: 18 Service Description: Allow end users to access NMCI data network from remote locations using a				
dial-up link.	low end users to access i	NINCI data network nom re	mote locations using a	
	vory Boints: Portable Se	eats, Embarkable Portable	Soats	
Levels of Services: 3: (Seats	
,		Chicaij		
Performance Category 1:			range of all DAC	
		ent is an aggregate and av		
		lelp Desk. Availability of NI ability to the NMCI infrastro		
		ace with external switched		
		ited against this SLA are p		
non-NMCI communication			TODICITIS associated with	
Who: Contractor	10 (1.11101 0.11011)	Frequency: Monthly		
Where: RAS Delivery Poi	nts	How measured: Total hou	ırs - down time divided by	
		total hours.		
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA	
Level of Service (1)	> 0.995	0.995	0.995	
Level of Service (2)	> 0.995	0.995	0.995	
Level of Service (3)	> 0.997	0.995	0.995	
Performance Category 2:	Capacity	1		
		RAS connectivity surge ca	pacity available beyond	
		ring times of increased RA		
Who: Contractor	<u> </u>	Frequency: Monthly		
Where: RAS Delivery Poi	nts	How measured: (Available	e peak load (calls) -	
		Average peak load (calls)) / available peak load.		
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA	
Level of Service (1)	0.3	0.3	0.3	
Level of Service (2)	0.3	0.3	0.3	
Level of Service (3)	0.3	0.3	0.3	
Performance Category 3:	Performance			
		ata rate. All NMCI RAS mo	dems will negotiate	
		port negotiation of lower r		
		ndustry standard modem -		
Who: Contractor		Frequency: Annually		
Where: RAS Delivery Poi	nts	How measured: industry/e		
			y contractor/Government	
		CCB		
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA	
Level of Service (1)	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Level of Service (2)	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Level of Service (3)	Yes	Yes	Yes	
Performance Category 5:				
Performance Measure De	escription: Level of custon			
Who: Contractor		Frequency: Initially measurintervals for first year of c		
		intorvais for first year or e	ormatically their yearry	

		thereafter.	
Whore NMCI Customore	uoina contico	How managered, Customa	r our (o) / rondom
Where: NMCI Customers using service		How measured: Customer survey, random sampling of NMCI customers using this service.	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating
Level of Service (2)	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating
Level of Service (3)	0.90 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating

Service Name: Classified (secure) Remote Access **SLA: 19** Service Description: To allow end users to access the NMCI data network from remote locations using a secure dial-up capability. Applicable Service Delivery Points: Portable Seats and Embarkable Portable Seats with classified connectivity Levels of Services: 3: (Basic, High End, Mission Critical) Performance Category 1: Availability Performance Measure Description: The measurement is an aggregate and average by site of all RAS delivery point (unclassified) trouble reports at the Help Desk. Availability of NMCI infrastructure and secure remote access service required to allow secure dial in capability to the NMCI infrastructure and associated services. Measured from classified RAS service to the interface with external switched voice networks. Excludes any outages beyond the control of NMCI. Not counted against this SLA are problems associated with non-NMCI communications (NMCI external services). Frequency: Monthly Who: Contractor Where: RAS Delivery Points How measured: Total hours - down time divided by total hours. B Value Pre-Negotiation Contract SLA Level of Service (1) > 0.995 0.995 0.995 Level of Service (2) > 0.995 0.995 0.995 Level of Service (3) > 0.997 0.995 0.995 Performance Category 2: Capacity Performance Measure Description: Percentage of CRAS connectivity surge capacity available beyond normal peak load. Measures potential to surge during times of increased CRAS activity. Who: Contractor Frequency: Monthly Where: RAS Delivery Points How measured: (Available peak load (calls) -Average peak load (calls)) / available peak load. B Value Pre-Negotiation Contract SLA Level of Service (1) 0.3 0.3 0.3 Level of Service (2) 0.3 0.3 0.3 Level of Service (3) 0.3 0.3 0.3 Performance Category 3: Performance Performance Measure Description: Classified RAS modem data rate. All NMCI Classified RAS modems will negotiate current industry standard connectivity rate, and support negotiation of lower rates based on distant modems and line quality. Assumes use of industry standard modem -- currently 56Kb/sec. Who: Contractor Frequency: Annually Where: Classified RAS Delivery Points How measured: industry/commercial standard for data rate Pre-Negotiation Contract SLA B Value Level of Service (1) Yes Yes Yes Level of Service (2) Yes Yes Yes Level of Service (3) Yes

Yes

Yes

Performance Measure Description: Level of customer satisfaction.

Performance Category 5: Customer Satisfaction

Who: Contractor	Frequency: Initially measured at six month intervals for first year of contract and then ye thereafter.			
Where: NMCI Customers	Where: NMCI Customers using service		How measured: Customer survey, random sampling of NMCI customers using this service.	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA	
Level of Service (1)	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	
Level of Service (2)	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	
Level of Service (3)	0.90 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	

Comito Nome Destable	Madatatian Minalaga Dia	1 :		
Service Name: Portable	vvorkstation vvireless Dia	ıı-ın	SLA: 20	
		evice to support wireless, res. This includes the device	mobile connectivity to	
service.	ne compating requirement	s. This includes the device	e itseli and the supporting	
	very Points: Portable Se	at and Emharkable		
Portable Seat with Full Se		at and Embanasic		
	t s): 3: Basic, High End, M	lission Critical		
· ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ce for hardware componer	nts.	
		to repair wireless connecti		
	•	wireless devices, as deter		
		neasures ability to physica		
wireless connection device	ce to the NMCI end user.		·	
Who: Vendor		Frequency: Per event bas	sis, reported monthly	
Where: End user		How measured: Measure	d as the time to restore	
		service to user from initia	tion of the help desk	
		ticket.		
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA	
Level of Service (1)	98% within 3 bus days	98% within 3 bus days	98% within 3 bus days	
Level of Service (2)	98% within 3 bus days	98% within 3 bus days	98% within 3 bus days	
Level of Service (3)	99% within 1 bus days	99% within 1 bus days	99% within 1 bus days	
		stry suggested meantime of		
		o restore functionality for		
		ng by the number of such		
		erage elapsed time between		
		oration of functionality. (Re	epair time resulting from	
Force Major events are no	<u> </u>			
Performance Category 3:				
	escription: Level of custom			
Who: Contractor			requency: Initially measured at six month	
		intervals for first year of c	contract and then yearly	
Mhore: NMCI Customers	uoina contico	thereafter.	ar our you rondom	
Where: NMCI Customers using service		How measured: Customer survey, random sampling of NMCI customers using this service.		
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA	
Level of Service (1)	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	
Level of Service (2)	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	
Level of Service (3)	0.90 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	

Service Name: Organizational Messaging Service

SLA: 20A

Service Description: Defense Messaging System (DMS) provides writing, release, sending and receipt of organizational messaging. DMS encompasses hardware, software, procedures, and standards. The NMCI will provide the DMS services.

Applicable Service Delivery Points: All four data seats and their upgrades

Levels of Services (seats): 2: (Basic, and Mission Critical)

Performance Category 1: Availability

Performance Measure Description: DMS availability is defined as the portion of time that vendor-provided DMS is available or 'up' for sending and receiving DMS and is measured in terms of percentage of available time in a month. Formula is: (# of hours in Month – DMS outage time in hours) / number of hours in Month. The outage time includes all scheduled and unscheduled DMS service affecting outages. Exception is scheduled pre-agreed outage. Assumptions: does not address problems associated with network service; addresses only availability of the DMS server; all DMS servers are under the management of the contractor and located at the contract determined aggregation point (base, regional server farm, etc.).

33 3 1	<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>	,		
Who: Contractor			Frequency: Measured continuously, averaged	
		hourly, and reported monthly.		
Where: NMCI DMS Serve	Where: NMCI DMS Server		How measured: Vendor monitors email server	
		availability and reports % availability monthly. Data audited by Government or a designated third party.		
B Value		Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA	
Level of Service (1)		0.995	0.995	
Level of Service (3)		0.997	0.997	

Performance Category 2: Problem Resolution

Performance Measure Description: Elapsed time from the outage until the service is restored to normal operating performance. The measurement will be an aggregate and average by site of the time to restore service following Help Desk notification. The values indicated represent total time to restore from time of non-availability (includes response time).

Who: Vendor		Frequency: Continuous monitoring, monthly	
		reported	
Where: NMCI-wide		How measured: Based on Help Desk logs and	
		enterprise management system	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)		1 hour	1 hour
Level of Service (2)			
Level of Service (3)		15 minutes	15 minutes

Performance Category 3: Interoperability

Performance Measure Description: For Organizational Messaging, the interoperability requirement is a two-way DMS Email exchange within DON (to include IT-21, MCTN, and OCONUS) and the two-way exchange between DON and other DoD/Joint partners. DMS interoperability will be measured by multiple methods: (1) by end user incident reporting at the desktop and by agents located at both NMCI sites and at DoD/Joint sites operating on other networks, and (2) Help Desk Interoperability Trouble Tickets.

-The validation of DMS exchange may be performed by using SMTP/X.400 scripts, or other equivalent methodology. The measurement will include: sending and receiving email, and include validation of

signed DMS messages and encrypted DMS messages. The definition of DMS interoperability failure is any two occurrences of unsuccessful transfer at any one site over six (6) consecutive tests (or 48 hours). Events at which DMS interoperability will be measured: at installation; three (3) times daily; plus additional measurements as appropriate to ensure Joint Partner interoperability.

- Interoperability measurement will also be performed by the submission by users of Help Desk Interoperability Trouble Tickets. The definition of DMS interoperability failure is exceeding the Help Desk reporting of threshold value of 10 DMS interoperability trouble tickets referring to a single domain (e.g., Air Force) over a 12 hour period.

Coordination for establishment of the Joint/DoD site constellation is the responsibility of the Government. Notification of the Government is required for DMS interoperability failure as established by the DON (Navy CTF and MITNOC); the timeliness of reporting is stipulated in the Level of Service metric.

		Frequency: Measured three (3) times daily for user agents; continuous for Help Desk. Reported monthly.	
Whore: Measured from a	n NMCI waar agant	-	Isar Incident Penerta to
Where: Measured from a		How measured: (1) End l	
(located at an NMCI work		Help Desk, and (2) Remo	
client configuration opera		Workstation test results b	
installation to test points		Collection and analysis g	
Interoperability Test Plan		organization (domains) for	
DoD/Joint sites. Help De		by organization, site, clair	
from interoperability troub		trouble ticket based repor	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)			Notification within three
			(3) hours
Level of Service (2)			N/A
Level of Service (3)			Notification within three
			(3) hours
Performance Category 4:	Customer Satisfaction		
Performance Measure De	escription: Level of custom	ner satisfaction.	
Who: Contractor		Frequency: Initially measured at six month	
		intervals for first year of contract and then yearly	
		thereafter.	
Where: NMCI Customers	using service	How measured: Customer survey, random	
·		sampling of NMCI customers using this service.	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)		0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating
Level of Service (2)			
Level of Service (3)		0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating

Service Name: Desktop Video Teleconference Services **SLA: 21** Service Description: Vendor coordinated service where users can initiate and participate in live full duplex video/audio/data teleconferences. The exchange (of voice, video, graphics, and T.120 data) must be able to be between two sites (point to point terminal connections) or among a large number of persons or parties of no less than 50 persons on a single (multi-point) video. Applicable Service Delivery Points: Workstation seats with optional service Levels of Services: 2: (Basic, High End) Performance Category 1: Availability Performance Measure Description: Represents the VTC hardware, software, and supporting infrastructure being up and capable of allowing the end user to gain access and participate in VTC conferencing. Formula is: (# of hours in month X # of VTC units - VTC outage time in hours) / number of hours in month X number of VTCs. The outage time includes unscheduled hardware and related outages. Exception is scheduled pre-agreed outage. Excludes outages associated with desktop hardware and operating system, and network infrastructure not directly relating to VTC services. The VTC is considered to be up (or available) unless there is a trouble ticket at the Help Desk. Frequency: At implementation and yearly Who: Contractor Where: VTC sites How measured: Measured at the audiovisual device and, as determined by inspection, provides broadcast quality service. Pre-Negotiation B Value Contract SLA Level of Service (1) 0.995 0.995 Level of Service (2) 0.995 0.995 Performance Category 2: Audio and Video Quality (Integrity) Performance Measure Description: Clarity of voice and video; initial quality will be reviewed and upgraded as per Performance Category 2. Who: Contractor Frequency: At implementation and yearly Where: Implementation sites How measured: Testing B Value Pre-Negotiation Contract SLA >= 15 frames/sec. Level of Service (1) >= 30 frames/sec. >= 15 frames/sec. Level of Service (2) >= 30 frames/sec. >= 30 frames/sec. >= 30 frames/sec. Performance Category 3: System Performance Performance Measure Description: This measure applies to the time of initial service provisioning. Capability is a measure of desktop VTC performance relative to current state of the shelf available

Performance Measure Description: This measure applies to the time of initial service provisioning. Capability is a measure of desktop VTC performance relative to current state of the shelf available systems. Level of service 1 (Basic) performance includes greater than or equal to 70% of total system performance reflected in high-end commercially available state-of-the-shelf desktop VTC. Level of service 2 (High End) includes equal to or greater than 90% of total system performance found on commercially available workstations.

Who: Contractor Frequency: Quarterly

Where: NMCI Customers	Vhere: NMCI Customers using service		How measured: Analysis of state-of-the shelf technology versus NMCI basic and high-end workstation capabilities. An acceptable benchmark program will be used to establish workstation performance baseline. Assumption: Service performance baseline is tied directly to performance of transmission medium and is assumed to be covered elsewhere in related SLAs (i.e., Bit error rate (BER), Jitter, packet loss, and latency) factors.	
B Value		Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA	
Level of Service (1)		>= 70% relative capability	>= 70% relative capability	
Level of Service (2)		>= 90% relative capability	>= 90% relative capability	

Performance Category 4: Gateway Capacity

Performance Measure Description: The NMCI VTC architecture must have sufficient gateways to support all on line VTC users. Gateways should be provided for connectivity between dissimilar algorithms (H.320 and H.323), dissimilar bandwidth speeds, into closed proprietary networks (e.g., DVSG, FTS2000/2001, and DSN), and between bonded and 2X64 calls. The measurement is a ratio of the number of available gateways divided by the number of required gateway. The gateway bandwidth and architecture should be sufficient to allow (metric value) % of assigned desktop VTC users to hold sessions simultaneously without degradation.

Who: Contractor		Frequency: Measured co	Frequency: Measured continuously and reported	
monthly.				
Where: VTC sites	How measured: Tracked by scheduling and		by scheduling and	
	management software, and supplemented users calls to Help Desk to report non-avail			
	B Value	alue Pre-Negotiation Contract SI		
Level of Service (1)	0.80 0.80		0.80	
Level of Service (2)		0.95 0.95		

Performance Category 5: Interoperability

Performance Measure Description: For Desktop VTC, the interoperability requirement is for NMCI users to interface with and exchange video/audio data with other DON users, DoD/Joint mission partners, and commercial partners. VTC services within NMCI will be the H.323 protocol based but must be backward compatible with H.320 and analog legacy services. IP Desktop Video will use the same transmission medium as voice and data and should have network jitter of less than 150 ms and latency less than 100 ms for optimal interoperability. Moreover, NMCI will support both 15 and 30 frames per second video, as well as IP Multicast. Desktop VTC interoperability will be measured by multiple methods: by end user incident reporting at the desktop, and by user agents located within NMCI and at external locations served by other than NMCI networks. The validation of Desktop VTC interactions may be performed by using appropriate video scripts, manual intervention, or other equivalent methodology. The measurement will include: interaction with a Navy and/or Marine Corps system, a DoD/Joint system located outside NMCI, and a commercial system, with a combination of H.323 and H.320 based data streams.

Desktop VTC interoperability is defined here as the ability to establish and maintain and exchange useful and effective video and audio between any two NMCI clients regardless of WAN provider. Interoperability will be measured in multiple ways: (1) proof of interoperability, (2) performance testing,

and (3) Help Desk Interoperability Trouble Tickets.

- The proof of interoperability is to establish and maintain connection for the purpose of transferring Desktop VTC between the test clients. This will be demonstrated by successful execution of scripts or equivalent testing. The definition of a failure is two consecutive unsuccessful executions of the test script between test clients.
- Performance testing is end-to-end testing between two video clients (or equivalent configurations), as measured by the latency between the two clients. The definition of failure is exceeding the Government and ISF agreed upon baseline values for latency (as supporting Desktop VTC).
- Interoperability measurement for Desktop VTC will also be performed by submission by users of Help Desk Interoperability Trouble Tickets. The definition of interoperability failure is exceeding the Government and ISF agreed upon Help desk reporting threshold value.

Events at which Desktop VTC will be measured: at installation and three (3) times daily; plus additional measurements as appropriate to ensure interoperability. Notification of the Government is required for VTC interoperability failure as established by the DON (Navy CTF and MITNOC); the timeliness of reporting is stipulated in the Level of Service metric.

		Frequency: Measured three (3) times daily for user agents; continuous for Help Desk. Reported monthly.	
Where: Measured from a	n NMCI user work station		cation scripts via remote
or NMCI video test config	uration operated at an	agent and (2) End User Ir	•
NMCI NOC test installation		Interoperability Help Desl	
operated at a DoD/Joint a	and Commercial site. Test	granularity will be test site	e for script-based tests
points will be identified in	the NMCI Interoperability	and by organization, site,	claimant/command for
Test Plan. Help Desk data	a will be captured from	trouble ticket based repor	rts.
interoperability trouble rep	oorts.	-	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)			Notification within 1
			working day
Level of Service (2)		N/A	
			Notification within three
			(3) hours
Performance Category 6:	Customer Satisfaction		
Performance Measure De	escription: Level of custom	ner satisfaction.	
Who: Contractor		Frequency: Initially measured at six month	
		intervals for first year of contract and then yearly thereafter.	
Where: NMCI Customers	using service	How measured: Customer survey, random	
		sampling of NMCI customers using this service.	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating
Level of Service (2)	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating
Performance Category 7:	Reliability of Session Initi	ation	

Performance Measure Description: A fully successful conference is one that comes up on first connection attempt and remains up with no loss in connectivity for the duration of the VTC, regardless of manual or automated conference initiation. Includes end-to-end internal to NMCI. Excludes any external conferences involving sites not on the NMCI network. Metric reflects percentage of time conference initiated on first called.

Who: Contractor		Frequency: Measured cor	Frequency: Measured continuously, reported	
		monthly.		
Where: VTC sites		How measured: Video conference logs derived		
		from automatic scheduling system; supplen		
		by user reports.		
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA	
Level of Service (1)		0.85	0.85	
Level of Service (2)		0.85	0.85	

Service Name: Voice Co	mmunications		SLA: 22
Service Description: Alle NMCI domain and users of Network (PSTN), FTS-20 Precedence and Preempt voice mail, conference care communications the three the LOS 1 provides a fund multi-line functions. LOS type functionalities exclude and customer satisfaction Applicable Service Delive Levels of Services: 3 (Experiormance Category 1: Performance Measure Devilled by the enaggregate and average by the service of t	ows end user to send and external to the domain. In 01, and the Defense Swittion (MLPP) for DSN send ling, and call forwarding external to the defined Levels of Service ctionality excluding voice 2 includes basic plus the ded in LOS 1. LOS 3 has been proposed as a comparison. Wery Points: Voice Seat Basic, Business, and Misser Secription: Availability excription: Availability is a duser over a one monthey site of Voice outage times.	sion Critical) defined as the average ration period. The Voice measure ne. Availability is calculated	from other users inside c Switched Telephone udes optional Multi-Level communications, includes ser. Note: for voice ss, and Mission Critical; ery, conference call, and haling Services (CLASS)-voice service availability
"unavailable" if the termin terminate calls) for any pe external networks. This pe NMCI. The components fall within the vendor proving switch, then the computation	ation is out-of-service (i.e eriod of time. Availability erformance category excincluded in this availability rided demarcation, that is tion includes those comple plant, channel banks).	urs per month. Voice service., loses the ability to origin is for intra NMCI services to cludes problems associated by computation are all services, if the vendor is providing to onents and every thing in but there there is a failure of dered that there is no loss of the contract of th	ate, complete, or or include the interface to with service external to be affecting elements that the user hand-set to the between (e.g, key one path in a redundant
Who: Contractor	as or service, it is consic	Frequency: Monitored co	
Where: At the end user		How measured: Measure monitoring and reporting to include trouble tickets	systems and procedures and Help Desk logs
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	0.995	0.9999	0.9999
Level of Service (2)	N/A	0.9999	0.9999
Level of Service (3)	0.998	0.99995	0.99995
Performance Category 2:		tio a magazina of the time - f	rom off hools to the
provision of dial tone duri		y is a measure of the time f	
Who: Contractor Frequency: Measured monthly and randon one per cent of total voice seats.		e seats.	
Where: At the end user		How measured: Test equ	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)		Not more than 1.5% calls offered encounter	Not more than 1.5%
		delay > 3 sec	calls offered encounter
	i	1	1

calls offered encounter delay > 3 sec

Level of Service (2)		Not more than 1.5%	Not more than 1.5%
		calls offered encounter delay > 3 sec	calls offered encounter
		,	delay > 3 sec
Level of Service (3)		Not more than 1.5%	Not more than 1.5%
		calls offered encounter delay > 3 sec	calls offered encounter
		·	delay > 3 sec
Performance Category 3:	Grade of Service (GOS)) – End User-to-End User Calls (Intra NMCI)	
		portion of calls that cannot	
		acity of one or more netwo	
		ed. For example, P.01 indic	
<u> </u>	eted (1 out of 100 calls) as	s measured using Erlang E	
Who: Contractor		Frequency: Measured eve	ery 5 minutes and
		reported monthly.	
Where: At the end user		How measured: Traffic m	easurement analysis.
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	2 (4.00	P.05	P.05
			P.05
Level of Service (2)		P.05	
Level of Service (3)		P.01	P.01
Performance Category 4:	Grade of Service (GOS)	 End User to External Ne 	tworks
the expected probability of the calls not being complete.	of calls not being complete	eacity of one or more networed. For example, P.01 indices measured using Erlang E	cates that 1 percent of 3 method.
Who: Contractor		Frequency: Measured every reported monthly.	ery 5 minutes and
Where: At the end user		How measured: Measured at the Service Delivery	
		Point using traffic measur	-
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	B value	P.01	P.01
Level of Service (1)		P.01	P.01
Level of Service (3)		P.01	P.01
Performance Category 5:			
Performance Measure Denetwork.	escription: User-to-user lat	tency for voice calls across	s the NMCI voice
Who: Contractor		Frequency: Measured evenonthly.	ery five minutes, reported
Whare CDD		How measured: Acceptable measurement promeasured one-way to multiple sites throughout	
Where: SDP			
where: SDP	B Value	measured one-way to muday.	
	B Value	measured one-way to muday. Pre-Negotiation	Itiple sites throughout the Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	B Value	measured one-way to muday. Pre-Negotiation 120 ms	Itiple sites throughout the Contract SLA 120 ms
Level of Service (1) Level of Service (2)	B Value	measured one-way to muday. Pre-Negotiation 120 ms 120 ms	Contract SLA 120 ms 120 ms
Level of Service (1)		measured one-way to muday. Pre-Negotiation 120 ms	Contract SLA 120 ms

received and when it actu	ual is received.		
Who: Contractor		Frequency: Measured ever monthly.	•
Where: SDP		How measured: Measure	
		protocol analyzer monitor	
		introduced by the jitter bu	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)		60 ms	60 ms
Level of Service (2)		60 ms	60 ms
Level of Service (3)		60 ms	60 ms
is particularly disruptive to voice call.	o voice communications	ce services are provided ove because it can cause audib	
Performance Category 7:			
contractor by customer o service.	escription: Time to Repa r discovery by the contra	ir is expressed as the time functor, whichever is earlier, ur	ntil restoration of voice
Who: Contractor		Frequency: Each occurre	
Where: Help Desk		How measured: Help Des	k logs.
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)		24 hours	24 hours
Level of Service (2)		24 hours	24 hours
Level of Service (3)		2 hours	2 hours
Performance Category 8: Operator-Assisted Calling			
assistance (i.e., 411), enl OCONUS calls.		or shall provide operator serv and 24-hour operator assist	ed calling including DIŚN
Who: Contractor		Frequency: Sample and representative sample size	
Where: At the end user		How measured: Wait time from the time a	
		customer dials the operate assistance is provided.	or until requested
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)		2 minutes	2 minutes
Level of Service (2)		2 minutes	2 minutes
Level of Service (3)		2 minutes	2 minutes
Performance Category 9:	: Absolute Echo Path De	elay	
Performance Measure De of a signal through a swit	escription: Absolute Ech ching system connection	o Path Delay is twice the one path. Ninety-nine percent of pall to the following values w	of the connections shall
Who: Contractor		Frequency: Continuously monitored, reported monthly.	
Where: Random Digital Test Points throughout network		How Measured: Non-intrusive Single Point Measurement system measuring absolute echo path delay (in milliseconds).	

Level of Service (1)	<25 ms	<25 ms
Level of Service (2)	<25 ms	<25 ms
Level of Service (3)	<25 ms	<25 ms

Performance Category 10: Interoperability

Performance Measure Description: For Voice, the interoperability requirement is for NMCI users to interface with and exchange audio with other DON users, DoD/Joint mission partners, and commercial partners. Voice services within NMCI may employ analog, digital, and converged network technologies (e.g. Voice over IP (VoIP)). If VoIP technology is implemented, NMCI will use the same transport medium as video and data, as provided by DISA and ISF, while installing Quality of Service/Class of Service (QoS/CoS) and other bandwidth width efficiency schemes to ensure voice network quality is maintained. Potential interoperability discrepancies exist at the PSTN/ISDN gateways to VoIP, at the Local Exchange Carriers (standardized protocol and implementation), and generally in all network segments. Voice interoperability will be measured by multiple methods: by end user incident reporting at the desktop, and by test configurations located within NMCI and at external locations served by other than NMCI networks. The validation of Voice interoperability will include testing such as: complete feature and function testing, call capacity testing, bit error rate analysis, and other tests, as deemed appropriate. The measurement will include: interaction with a Navy and/or Marine Corps system, DoD/Joint systems located outside NMCI, other government systems (law enforcement, 911, etc.) and the commercial voice network.

Voice interoperability is defined here as the ability to send and receive voice calls and use the complete set of NMCI voice features and functions between any NMCI client and called station regardless of WAN provider (NMCI, DSN, FTS2001, PSTN, etc.). Interoperability will be measured in multiple ways: (1) proof of interoperability, (2) performance testing, and (3) Help Desk Interoperability Trouble Tickets.

- The proof of interoperability is to establish and maintain connection for the purpose of transferring Voice communications and appropriate features/functions between the test end points (called and calling stations) regardless of location. This will be demonstrated by successful execution of appropriate tests. The definition of a failure is two consecutive unsuccessful executions of the test scenario between test end points.
- Performance testing is an end-to-end test between two voice end points. Performance may be
 measured by round-trip transaction latency, bit error rate, end-to-end availability, echo, jitter,
 multi-level precedence and preemption (MLPP), wander, call setup time, grade of service,
 reliability, etc. The definition of failure is exceeding the Government and ISF agreed upon
 baseline values for such tests.
- Interoperability measurement for Voice will also be performed by the submission by users of Help Desk Interoperability Trouble Tickets. The definition of interoperability failure is exceeding the Government and ISF agreed upon Help Desk reporting threshold value.

Events at which Voice will be measured: at installation and three (3) times daily; additional measurements as appropriate to ensure interoperability. Notification of the Government is required for Voice interoperability failure as established by the DON (Navy CTF and MITNOC); the timeliness of reporting is stipulated in the Level of Service metric.

Who: Contractor	Frequency: Measured three (3) times daily for user
	agents; continuous for Help Desk. Reported
	monthly.

Where: Measured from a		How measured: (1) Voice interoperability test	
NMCI test configuration operated at an NMCI NOC		scenarios and (2) End User Incident Reports to	
test installation to another test station operated at		Interoperability Help Desk. Collection and analysis	
a DoD/Joint and Commer	cial site. Test points will	granularity will be test site	e for script-based tests
be identified in the NMCI	Interoperability Test	and by organization, site,	claimant/command for
Plan. Help Desk data will	be captured from	trouble ticket based repor	rts.
interoperability trouble rep	oorts.		
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)			Notification within 1
			working day
Level of Service (2)			
Level of Service (3)			Notification within three
			(3) hours
Performance Category 11	: Customer Satisfaction		
Performance Measure Description: User satisfaction		n includes performance of	user services and voice
quality.	•	•	
Who: Contractor		Frequency: Initially measi	ured at six month
		intervals for first year of contract and then yearly	
		thereafter.	
Where: NMCI Customers	using service	How measured: Customer survey, random	
·		sampling of NMCI customers using this service.	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating
Level of Service (2)	N/A	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating
Level of Service (3)	0.90 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating

Service Name: Voice Mail SLA: 22A					
Service Description: The contractor will provide an Integrated Voice Messaging System (IVMS).					
Each IVMS shall provide voice messaging transmission, reception, and voice message storage 24					
hours-per-day, seven days-per-week, 365 days-per-year except for periodic maintenance downtime.					
	Each IVMS shall be interoperable with the DSN and shall provide a P.05 grade of service to its				
		ssages visually and audibl			
Applicable Service Deliv	very Points: All Voice Se	eats and Data Seats with \	oice capability		
Levels of Services: 1: (
0 ,	Voice Mail Grade of Serv				
		portion of calls that cannot			
		acity of one or more netwo			
		eted. For example, P.01 in			
	leted (1 out of 100 calls) a	is measured using the Erla	-		
Who: Contractor		Frequency: Measured ev	ery 5 minutes and		
		reported monthly.			
Where: At the end user		How measured: Traffic M			
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA		
Level of Service (1)		N/A	N/A		
Level of Service (2)		P.05	P.05		
Level of Service (3)		N/A	N/A		
Performance Category 2:	Voice Mailbox Size				
		size is the measurement o	f storage space allocated		
	oming and archive messa				
Who: Contractor		Frequency: Initially meas	•		
		implementation and then			
Where: At the Voice mail	system	How measured: System r	neasurement and test.		
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA		
Level of Service (1)		N/A	N/A		
Level of Service (2)		10 minutes	10 minutes		
Level of Service (3)		N/A	N/A		
Performance Category 4:	Customer Satisfaction				
		n includes performance of	user services and voice		
quality.	·	·			
Who: Contractor		Frequency: Initially meas	ured at six month		
		intervals for first year of c	ontract and then yearly		
		thereafter.			
Where: NMCI Customers	using service	How measured: Custome			
		sampling of NMCI custon			
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA		
Level of Service (1)		N/A	N/A		
Level of Service (2)		0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating		
Level of Service (3)		N/A	N/A		

Service Name: Basic Help Desk Services

SLA: 23

Service Description: Vendor services providing end user technical assistance for solving NMCI issues to the end user's satisfaction. Service provider will be the single point of contact for all NMCI users. The user can interact or communicate with help desk by phone, email, and/or fax. Includes timely notification by help desk of planned or unplanned system degradation of the NMCI.

Applicable Service Delivery Points: All Voice, Video and Data Workstations

Levels of Services (seats): 3: Basic, High End, Mission Critical

Performance Category 1: Responsiveness

Performance Measure Description: 1. Response time (number of rings before connect, average time in queue until appropriate technician contacted). Automatic answer to voice menus within the response timeframe is acceptable. The measurement is an aggregate and average of the response time via phone at the Help Desk.

Who: Contractor		Frequency: Monthly	
Where: Help Desk		How measured: Periodically audited by	
		Government or third party	/
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Prime Time See Comment #1		See Comment #1	See Comment #1
Non-Prime Time See Comment #2		See Comment #2	See Comment #2

COMMENTS: #1 Prime time average is <=40 seconds with 90% of the calls answered within 60 seconds and 100% of the calls in 120 seconds.

Comment #2: Non-prime time average <= 55 seconds with 90% of the calls answered within 120 seconds and 100% answered in 240 seconds.

Performance Category 1: Responsiveness

Performance Measure Description: 2. Percentage of calls abandoned. The general description for the measurement is the percentage of calls in which the caller disconnects before an analyst or voice mail picks up. The measurement is a monthly aggregate and average by site of the percentage of abandoned calls at the Help Desk.

Who: Contractor		Frequency: Monthly	
Where: Help Desk		How measured: Periodically audited by	
	Government o		/
B Value		Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	less than 3%	less than 7 %	less than 7%
Level of Service (2)	less than 3%	less than 7%	less than 7%
Level of Service (3)	vice (3) less than 3% less than 5% less than		less than 5%

Performance Category 1: Responsiveness

Performance Measure Description: 3. Level of customer satisfaction

Who: Contractor	Frequency: Initially measured at six month
	intervals for first year of contract and then yearly
	thereafter. A minimum of 50 percent of survey
	participants must have used Help Desk within past
	year.
Where: NMCI Customers using service	How measured: Customer survey, random
_	sampling of NMCI customers using this service.

Level of Service (2) 0.85 satisfactory rating 0.85 satisfactory rating 0.85 satisfactory rating Level of Service (3) 0.90 satisfactory rating 0.85 satisfactory rating 0.85 satisfactory rating		B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (3) 0.90 satisfactory rating 0.85 satisfactory rating 0.85 satisfactory rating	_evel of Service (1)	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating
	_evel of Service (2)	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating
	_evel of Service (3)	0.90 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating
Performance Category 1: Responsiveness	Porformanco Catogory	1 - Posnonsivonoss		

Performance Measure Description: 4. General Administration including Establishing User Accounts and Update/Reset Passwords. The measurement is an aggregate and average of the time spent by site on establishing user accounts and update/reset of passwords from the Help Desk. This is restricted to calls at the Help Desk and does not include break fix, which is a desk side service. Time associated with gaining the required approvals (e.g., establishing user accounts) is not included in the measurement. First metric listed reflects time to establish user account; second metric reflects time to reset password; the percentage is applicable to both.

Who: Contractor		Frequency: Monthly	
Where: Help Desk	here: Help Desk How measured: Compiled in monthly Here report. Periodically audited by Government third party.		
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	1 business day 95%	1 day / 2 hrs (95%)	1 day / 2 hrs (95%)
Level of Service (2)	4 hrs 98%	4 hrs / 1 hr (98%)	4 hrs / 1 hr (98%)
Level of Service (3)	1 hour 99.5%	1 hr / 15 min (99.5%)	1 hr / 15 min (99.5%)

Performance Category 1: Responsiveness

Performance Measure Description: 5. Percentage of calls resolved on first contact to help desk. This includes all requests that can be resolved at the help desk. Calls requiring onsite actions (maintenance or reconfiguration) are not included. The measurement is a monthly aggregate and average by site of the resolved calls at the Help Desk.

Who: Contractor		Frequency: Monthly	
Where: Help Desk How measured: Compiled in n report. Periodically audited by third party.		•	
B Value		Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	0.65	0.65	0.65
Level of Service (2)	0.65	0.65	0.65
Level of Service (3)	0.80	0.80	0.80

COMMENTS: The Industry targeted average for first call resolution is 65%.

Performance Category 1: Responsiveness

Who: Contractor

Performance Measure Description: 6. Compliance with escalation procedure

Where: Help Desk		How measured: Compiled in monthly Help Desk report. Periodically audited by Government or third party.	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory
Level of Service (2)	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory
Level of Service (3)	Satisfactory	Satisfactory	Satisfactory

Frequency: Monthly

65

Performance Category 1: Responsiveness

Performance Measure Description: 7. User Notification by helpdesk for unplanned service outages, and return to service status prior to restore. In the case of an emergent requirement to shut down a service, notification should be given prior to the shut down. The notice to the affected NMCI end users is via their LAN attached seat.

Who: Contractor		Frequency: Annually	
<u> </u>		How measured: Compiled in monthly Help Desk	
		report. Periodically audit	ed by Government or
		third party.	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	within 15 mins	within 15 mins	within 15 mins
Level of Service (2)	within 15 mins	within 15 mins	within 15 mins
Level of Service (3)	within 15 mins	within 15 mins	within 15 mins

Service Name: WAN Network Connectivity SLA: 24 Service Description: Vendor provided service to connect geographically separated Navy and Marine Corps users/devices. It provides connection to external networks, to include: Non-Secure IP Router Network (NIPRNET), Secure IP Router Network (SIPRNET), FTS-2001, Defense Research Engineering Network (DREN), Defense Switched Network (DSN), Public Switched Telephone Network (PSTN), NMCI provided wide area transport services (commercial/DISA), and the Internet. Other external networks may be incorporated based user requirements. Applicable Service Delivery Points: NMCI Infrastructure, Organizations, NMCI OP Center, Pierside SDP, Fleet Teleports, Non-DON organizations Levels of Services: 3: (Basic, High End, Mission Critical) Performance Category 1: Availability Performance Measure Description: Availability of connectivity to WAN portal, to include connectivity and capacity. Three principles of availability are established: eliminating single points of failure, reliable crossover, and prompt notification of failures. The measurement is an aggregate of all WAN end points and WAN outage time, but will provide a breakout of types of WANs (if applicable). WAN availability is calculated as the percentage of time that the WAN network is capable of accepting and delivering NMCI application data to the total time in the measurement period. It is measured from portal access point to the WAN. The calculation for WAN availability for a given month is as follows: (24 hours X days in month X # of WAN end points – Sum of WAN portal outage times) / (24 hours X days in month X # of WAN end points). Computation excludes scheduled, pre-agreed portal outages. External networks will not be calculated as part of this SLA. Who: Vendor Frequency: Continuous monitoring, 24 hour averaging, w/ reports monthly How measured: Random sampling can be Where: WAN Service Delivery Points performed on number of WAN end points and time periods as specified by the Government, Random sampling B Value **Pre-Negotiation** Contract SLA Level of Service (1) 0.9999 0.9999 0.9999 Level of Service (2) 0.9999 0.9999 0.9999 Level of Service (3) 0.99999 0.99999 0.99999 Performance Category 2: Latency (See SLA 10) Performance Category 3: Percent Bandwidth Used Performance Measure Description: Average utilization compared with available, useable capacity. The calculation will be under normal operations, times of surges will exceed these values. This information will be reported as an average, range and distribution, and granularity reflecting data for normal and peak network conditions. Who: Third party Frequency: Measured continuously, summarized hourly, and reported monthly.

Stress test.

How measured: Interface utilization statistics and

Where: SDP to SDP

	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	0.4	0.4	0.4
Level of Service (2)	0.4	0.4	0.4
Level of Service (3)	0.3	0.3	0.3

Performance Category 4: Problem Resolution

Wide Area Network Service

Performance Measure Description: Elapsed time from the outage until the service is restored to normal operating performance. The measurement will be an aggregate and average by site of the time to restore service following Help Desk notification. The values indicated represent total time to restore from time of non-availability (includes response time). Mission critical users will be physically dual homed and, in the event of an outage, will only lose their redundancy. The problem resolution addressed in this performance category is for restoration of service where there is complete outage (to include redundancy). Also specifies response time to begin repair.

Who: Vendor		Frequency: Continuous monitoring, monthly	
		reported	
		How measured: Event log	gs, first metric is response
		time, and second value is time to restore.	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	30 minutes / 3hours	30 minutes / 3hours	30 minutes / 3hours
Level of Service (2)	15 minutes / 1 hour	15 minutes / 1 hour	15 minutes / 1 hour
Level of Service (3)	3 minutes / 30 minutes	3 minutes / 30 minutes	3 minutes / 30 minutes

Performance Category 4A: Problem Resolution – Network Redundancy

Performance Measure Description: Elapsed time from the outage until the redundant path service is restored to normal operating performance. The measurement will be an aggregate and average by site of the time to restore redundant service following network management system detection of its loss. The values indicated represent total time to restore from time of non-availability (includes response time). The problem resolution will be to restore redundancy. Also specifies response time to begin repair.

Who: Vendor		Frequency: Continuous monitoring, monthly reported	
Where: NMCI-wide		How measured: Event logs, first metric is response time, and second value is time to restore.	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)		30 minutes / 8hours	30 minutes/8 hours
Level of Service (2)			
Level of Service (3)		3 minutes / 3 hours	3 minutes/3 hours

Performance Category 5: Interoperability

Performance Measure Description: For NMCI WAN, the interoperability requirement is focused on the WAN edge segments between DON bases and the NMCI Intranet, provisioned by a DISN service provided by DISA or as augmented by the vBNS+ provided by WorldCom. Other aspects of the WAN interoperability are provided in SLA 10 (Intranet); SLA 11 (Joint/DoD), SLA 12 (Internet), SLA 27 (External Networks which includes IT-21/ MCTN, and selected major industry partners) and SLA 35 (SIPRNET). The WAN-base demarcation is the point at which the two WAN service providers and the NMCI base provider must interface. One of the issues of interoperability is the manner in which routing is performed (IP packet headers are advertised) and this requires that protocols and procedures be consistently maintained. Interoperability will be measured by user agents located within NMCI. The

user agents will employ scripts (e.g., IP, Windows, DOS) to demonstrate OSI layer 1-4 interoperability. WAN interoperability is defined here as the ability to establish and maintain network connectivity and exchange useful and effective information between a base and its supporting WAN, regardless of WAN provider. WAN interoperability testing for the purposes of the BAN-WAN interface (addressed here) will consist of proof of interoperability testing. Performance testing is addressed separately in SLA 10 and this testing encompasses base point-of-presence to base point-of-presence, i.e., to include crossing this BAN-WAN interface.

-The proof of interoperability is establishing and maintaining connection for the purpose of transferring information between the test clients. This will be demonstrated by successful execution of IP based script, or equivalent testing. The definition of a failure is two consecutive unsuccessful executions of the test script between test clients.

These measures will be performed upon installation, three (3) times daily, plus additional measurements as appropriate to ensure interoperability. Notification of the Government is required for Intranet interoperability failure as established by the DON (Navy CTF and MITNOC); the timeliness of reporting is stipulated in the Level of Service metric.

		Frequency: Measured three (3) times daily for user agents. Reported monthly.	
Where: Measured (concurrent with SLA 10) from an NMCI user agent located at a DISN supported NMCI bases and a client at a vBNS+ supported NMCI base. Test points will be identified in the NMCI Interoperability Test Plan.		How measured: Remote agent locked down workstation test conducted to verify successful exchange of IP scripts. Collection and analysis granularity will be by test sites.	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)			Notification within three (3) hours
Level of Service (2)		N/A	
Level of Service (3)			Notification within one (1) hour
Performance Category 6:	Customer Satisfaction		
Performance Measure Description: Level of custon		ner satisfaction.	
Who: Contractor		Frequency: Initially measintervals for first year of c thereafter.	
Where: NMCI Customers using service		How measured: Performance evaluation by technical Government representative at every area/site.	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating
Level of Service (2)	N/A	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating
Level of Service (3)	0.90 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating

Service Name: BAN/LAN Communication Services

SLA: 25

Service Description: Vendor provided service to interconnect geographically co-located Navy and Marine Corps LANs and BAN attached devices. The BAN service must address the specific mission requirements of each site, with regard to security, functionality, classification, performance, interoperability, and network management. The LAN service must address the specific mission requirements of the user organization, with regard to security, functionality, classification, performance, interoperability, and network management.

Applicable Service Delivery Points: For BANs, NMCI Infrastructure, Organizations, NMCI OP Center, Pierside SDP, Fleet Teleports, Non-DON organizations; For LANs, Data/Voice/Video Seats, Organization

Levels of Services: 3: (Basic, High End, Mission Critical)

Performance Category 1: Availability

Performance Measure Description: Availability of connectivity between Navy and Marine Corps LANs, BANs and attached devices. The BAN measurement will be an aggregate and average by site of BAN outage time. End-to-end BAN availability is calculated as the percentage of time that the BAN network is capable of accepting and delivering NMCI application data to the total time in the measurement period. It is measured end-to-end, from BAN demarcation to the LAN point of presence. The LAN measurement will be an aggregate and average by site of all LAN end points (server) and LAN outage time. The calculation for end-to-end BAN availability for a given month is as follows: (24 hours X days in month X # of BAN end points – Sum of the BAN end point outage times) / 24 hours X days in month X # of BAN end points. The calculation for LAN availability is same. Computation excludes scheduled, pre-agreed outages.

		Frequency: Continuous monitoring, 24 hour averaging, w/ reports monthly	
Where: BAN/LAN Service Delivery Points		How measured: Random sampling can be performed on number of BAN/LAN end points and time periods as specified by the Government. Aggregate performance of BANs; random sampling of LANs, the latter to include all Mission Critical networks. The BAN number appears first, the LAN number second.	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	.999 / .999	.999 / .999	.999 / .999
Level of Service (2)	.999 / .999	.999 / .999	.999 / .999
Level of Service (3)	.9999 / .9999	.9999 / .9999	.9999 / .9999

Performance Category 2: Latency

Performance Measure Description: Packet latency across the BAN/LAN. Calculated across BAN/LAN demarcation points. The measurement will be an aggregate and average by site of all packet latencies across the BANs/LANs within NMCI. An acceptable management protocol(s) will be used to perform the measurement from the NOC.

Who: Vendor		Frequency: Measured every 5 minutes, reported	
		monthly	
		How measured: Acceptable measurement protocol measured round trip time to multiple sites throughout the day.	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	10 ms	10 ms	10 ms

Level of Service (2)	10 ms	10 ms	10 ms
Level of Service (3)	10 ms	10 ms	10 ms

Performance Category 3: Percent Bandwidth Utilization on Shared Network Segments

Performance Measure Description: Average utilization during the peak hour of the network compared with available, useable capacity. The calculation will be under normal operations, at times of surges the utilization will exceed these values.

Who: Third party		Frequency: Monthly surge capacity check	
Where: Across BAN/LAN demarcation points		How measured: Interface utilization statistics and	
, '		Stress test.	
B Value		Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	0.4	0.4	0.4
Level of Service (2)	0.4	0.4	0.4
Level of Service (3)	0.3	0.3	0.3

Performance Category 4: Problem Resolution

Performance Measure Description: Elapsed time from the outage until the service is restored to normal operating performance. The measurement will be an aggregate and average by site of the time to restore from trouble tickets at the Help Desk. The metrics indicated represent total time to restore from time of non-availability (includes response time). Mission critical should be failover / dual homed to redundant path and redundancy should be restored as indicated. Failover to a device, within a device, or use of alternative paths having redundancy capabilities are means to satisfy the time to restore requirement.

Who: Vendor		Frequency: Continuous m	nonitoring, monthly
		reported	
Where: NMCI-wide		How measured: Event logs, first B value metric is	
		response time, and secon	nd value is time to
		restore. In case of LOS (3	B) values, the second
		number is time to restore	redundancy.
B Value		Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	30 minutes / 3hours	30 minutes / 3hours	30 minutes / 3hours
Level of Service (2) 15 minutes / 1 hour		15 minutes / 1 hour	15 minutes / 1 hour
Level of Service (3)	3 minutes / 30 minutes	3 minutes / 30 minutes	3 minutes / 30 minutes

Performance Category 5: Interoperability

Performance Measure Description: For NMCI BANs and LANs, the interoperability requirement is focused on the segments within each Navy and Marine Corps base. This performance measure also addresses non-DON activities located on DON bases that must be supported by NMCI for connectivity only. The measure of BAN/LAN interoperability is particularly important during the initial NMCI lay down where DON is operating with multiple BAN/LAN configurations (legacy and NMCI). Interoperability will be measured by user agents located at the Bases.

The user agents will employ scripts (e.g.: IP, Windows, DOS, UNIX) to demonstrate OSI layer 1-4 interoperability. This measure will be performed upon installation, three (3) times daily, when a configuration change is detected or implemented NMCI. The indicated frequency of measure will be escalated in response to end user interoperability feedback, help desk trouble ticket numbers that exceed threshold, or by the detection of anomaly. The establishment of a threshold will be mutually set by data collection over time. Reporting of threshold events and required escalation is performed according to ISF procedures. Notification of the Government is required for event severity established by the DON; the timeliness of reporting is stipulated in the Level of Service metric.

BAN/LAN interoperability is defined here as the ability to establish and maintain network connectivity

and exchange useful and effective information within any a base and its supported LANs, regardless of whether these are NMCI LANs or other LANs for which NMCI must provide connectivity. BAN/LAN interoperability testing will consist of (1) performance testing and (2) by end user incident reporting at the desktop.

- -The performance testing is end-to-end testing between clients, as measured by latency between the two clients. (This testing will be performed, as determined by the contractor, as part of the required latency testing under SLA 24-2.) The definition of a failure is exceeding the Government and ISF agreed upon baseline values for latency for BAN/LAN.
- Interoperability measurement will also be performed by the submission by users of Help Desk Interoperability Trouble Tickets, to include non-NMCI users (connectivity only). The definition of BAN/LAN interoperability failure is exceeding the DON and ISF determined Help Desk reporting threshold value for BAN/LAN interoperability trouble tickets referring to a base or specific LAN over a 12 hour period.

BAN/LAN will be measured three (3) times daily; additional measurements as appropriate to ensure Joint Partner interoperability. Notification of the Government is required for Intranet interoperability failure as established by the DON (Navy CTF and MITNOC); the timeliness of reporting is stipulated in the Level of Service metric.

Who: Contractor		Frequency: Measured three (3) times daily for	
		performance; continuously for Help Desk.	
		Reported monthly.	
Where: Measured from a	NMCI user to another	How measured: (1) Contr	actor determined testing
NMCI user at an NMCI ba	ase (if non-NMCI users	for performance, and (2)	End User Incident
supported at base, this wi	ill be a test point). Test	Reports to Interoperability	/ Help Desk. Collection
points will be identified in		and analysis granularity v	
Test Plan. Help Desk data	a will be captured from	site, claimant/command for	or both.
interoperability trouble rep	oorts.		
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)			Notification within three
			(3) hours
Level of Service (2)		N/A	
Level of Service (3)			Notification within one
			(1) hour
Performance Category 6:	Customer Satisfaction		
Performance Measure De	escription: Level of custom	ner satisfaction.	
Who: Contractor		Frequency: Initially measured at six month	
		intervals for first year of contract and then yearly	
		thereafter.	
Where: NMCI Customers	using service	How measured: Performance evaluation by	
Ţ.		technical Government representative at every	
		area/site.	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating
Level of Service (2)	N/A	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating
Level of Service (3)	0.90 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating

Service Name: Movable VTC Seat

SLA: 26

Service Description: Vendor service providing users with audiovisual equipment that allows users access to selected VTC services offering mobility and easy relocation. Includes both unclassified and optional classified VTC capabilities. User can initiate and participate in live VTC conferences. Movable VTC seats include infrastructure and required services to provide movable video teleconference connectivity within and external to NMCI.

Applicable Service Delivery Points: Specified Government site/facility

Levels of Services: 3: (Basic, High End, Mission Critical)

Performance Category 1: Availability

Performance Measure Description: Represents the VTC hardware, software, and supporting infrastructure being up and capable of allowing the end user to gain access and participate in VTC conferencing. Formula is: (# of hours in month X # of VTC units - VTC outage time in hours) / number of hours in month X number of VTCs. The outage time includes unscheduled hardware and related outages. Exception is scheduled pre-agreed outage. The VTC is considered to be up (or available) unless there is a trouble ticket at the Help Desk.

Who: Contractor		Frequency: At implementation and yearly	
Where: VTC sites		How measured: Measured at the audiovisual device and, as determined by inspection, provides broadcast quality service.	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)		0.995	0.995
Level of Service (2)		0.995	0.995
Level of Service (3)		0.997	0.997

Performance Category 2: Video Quality

Performance Measure Description: Video and audio quality can be described as the absence of distortion, tiling, and latency. The quality of the video and audio is limited by provided bandwidth; therefore, both bandwidth and frames per second are expressed. Service levels for movable VTC are unique; they include 3 levels: basic, business, and premium. The basic 128 Kbps level will support a minimum of 15 frames per second, this is considered a minimum quality basic service; the business 384 Kbps/30 fps and premium 768/30 fps are two separate levels of high end service. These measures do not describe a technology solution but are intended to describe a quality of service, and are expected to change over time.

Who: Contractor Frequency: At acceptance and yearl		e and yearly	
Where: VTC sites		How measured: A frame rate tape, or other equivalent measure, will be used to verify frames per second.	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)		128 Kbps/15 fps	128 Kbps/15 fps
Level of Service (2a)	-	384 Kbps/30 fps	384 Kbps/30 fps
Level of Service (2b)	-	768 Kbps/30 fps	768 Kbps/30 fps

Performance Category 3: Gateway Capacity

Performance Measure Description: The NMCI VTC architecture must have sufficient gateways to support all on line VTC users. Gateways should be provided for connectivity between dissimilar algorithms (H.320 and H.323), dissimilar bandwidth speeds, into closed proprietary networks (e.g., DVSG, FTS2000/2001, and DSN), and between bonded and 2X64 calls. The measurement is a ratio of the number of available gateways divided by the number of required gateway.

Who: Contractor		Frequency: Measured continuously and reported monthly.	
Where: VTC sites		How measured: Tracked by scheduling and management software, and supplemented by users calls to Help Desk to report non-availability	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)		0.95	0.95
Level of Service (2)		0.95	0.95
Level of Service (3)		0.99	0.99
Performance Category 4:	Multi-Point Capacity		
network with the capabilit dependent on the numbe	y to perform multipoint co r of NMCI customers. The ew users. The measure o	Iultipoint Control Unit (MCI nferences. The number of contractor must monitor to f performance is the number f	f required MCU ports is he usage and increase
Who: Contractor	y the number of attempte.	Frequency: Measured continuously and reported monthly	
Where: VTC sites How measured: Video and audio determined by inspection, should quality.			
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)		0.85	0.85
Level of Service (2)		0.85	0.85
Level of Service (3)		0.95	0.95
Performance Category 5:	Reliability of Session Initi	ation	
attempt and remains up working automated conference conferences involving site	vith no loss in connectivity initiation. Includes end-to so not on the NMCI netwo	ful conference is one that of for the duration of the VT or end internal to NMCI. Ex rk. First metric reflects per effects percentage comple	C, regardless of manual cludes any external centage of time
Who: Contractor Frequency: Measured continuously, repo		ntinuously, reported	
Where: VTC sites		How measured: Video conference logs derive from automatic scheduling system; supplement by user reports.	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
		2 2 7 2 2 2	

Performance Category 6: Interoperability

Level of Service (1)

Level of Service (2)

Level of Service (3)

Performance Measure Description: For Movable VTC, the interoperability requirement is for NMCI users to interface with and exchange video/audio data with other DON users, DoD/Joint mission partners, and commercial (Major Acquisition) partners. VTC services within NMCI will be based on the H.323 protocol but must be backward compatible with H.320 and analog legacy services. IP Video will use the same transmission medium as voice and data and should have a network jitter of less than 150 ms and latency less than 100 ms for optimal interoperability and performance. Moreover, NMCI will support both 15 and 30 frames per second video, as well as IP Multicast. IP video requires

0.85 / 0.95

0.85 / 0.95

0.95 / 0.99

0.85 / 0.95

0.85 / 0.95

0.95 / 0.99

effective measures of interoperability. Movable VTC interoperability will be measured by multiple methods: by end user incident reporting at the desktop, and by user agents located within NMCI and at external locations served by other non-NMCI networks. The validation of Movable VTC interactions may be performed by using appropriate video scripts, manual intervention, or other equivalent methodology. The measurement will include: interaction with a Navy and/or Marine Corps system, a DoD/Joint system located outside NMCI, and a commercial system, with a user combination of H.323 and H.320 based systems. VTC interoperability is defined here as the ability to establish and maintain and exchange useful and effective video and audio between any two NMCI clients regardless of WAN provider. Interoperability will be measured in multiple ways: (1) proof of interoperability, (2) performance testing, and (3) Help Desk Interoperability Trouble Tickets.

- The proof of interoperability is establishing and maintaining connection for the purpose of transferring VTC between the test clients. This will be demonstrated by successful execution of scripts, or equivalent testing. The performance testing is end-to-end testing between clients, as measured by latency between the two clients. The definition of a failure is two consecutive unsuccessful executions of the test script between test clients.
- Performance testing is an end-to-end testing between two video clients (or equivalent configurations). Performance is measured by round-trip transaction latency. The definition of failure is exceeding the Government and ISF agreed upon baseline values for latency (as supporting VTC).
- Interoperability measurement for VTC will also be performed by submission by users of Help Desk Interoperability Trouble Tickets. The definition of interoperability failure is exceeding the Government and ISF agreed upon Help Desk reporting threshold value.

Events at which Movable VTC will be measured: at installation and three (3) times daily; additional measurements as appropriate to ensure interoperability.

Coordination for establishment of the Joint/DoD site constellation is the responsibility of the Government. Notification of the Government is required for VTC interoperability failure as established by the DON (Navy CTF and MITNOC); the timeliness of reporting is stipulated in the Level of Service metric.

		Frequency: Measured three (3) times daily for user	
		agents; continuous for Help Desk. Reported	
		monthly.	
Where: Measured from a	n NMCI video test	How measured: (1) Appl	ication scripts via remote
configuration operated at	an NMCI NOC test	agent and (2) End User Incident Reports to	
installation to another test		` '	sk. Collection and analysis
DoD/Joint and Commerci		granularity will be test si	
identified in the NMCI Interoperability Test Plan.		and by organization, site, claimant/command for	
, , ,		trouble ticket based reports.	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)			Notification within 1
			working day
Level of Service (2)			N/A
Level of Service (3)			Notification within three
, ,			(3) hours
Performance Category 7: Customer Satisfaction			
Performance Measure Description: Level of customer satisfaction.			

Who: Contractor		Frequency: Initially measured at six month intervals for first year of contract and then yearly thereafter.		
Where: NMCI Customers	using service	How measured: Customer survey, random sampling of NMCI customers using this service.		
		sampling of NIVICI custom	ners using this service.	
B Value		Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA	
Level of Service (1)	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	
Level of Service (2)	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	
Level of Service (3)	0.90 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	

Corvine Name: Draw or	od Coobing Comico		CI A. 2CA
Service Name: Proxy and Caching Service SLA: 26A Service Description: Vendor service providing users the capability for caching and proxy to enhance			
•	rmance. Measures succ	cess in enhancing access to	both external and
internal web content. Applicable Service Deliv	very Points: Each DON	N facility	
Levels of Services: 1: (V racinty	
Performance Category 1:			
	·	availability is defined as the	portion of time that
		'up' for customer access. Av	
		Formula is: (# of hours in M	
		. The outage time includes a	
server outages.		. The datage time includes t	an ansonedated proxy
Who: Contractor		Frequency: Measured da	ily and reported monthly.
Where: NMCI Internet pro	oxy servers	How measured: Monitors	
'	,		al server. Data audited by
Government or designated third party.			
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)		0.995	0.995
Level of Service (2)		0.995	0.995
Level of Service (3)		0.997	0.997
Performance Category 2:	Average Hit Ratio		
Performance Measure De	escription: Hit ratio is the	percentage of http requests	s successfully fulfilled by
the cache. Average hit ra	tio of the Internet proxy	caches is averaged over tim	
Who: Contractor		Frequency: Measured da	
Where: NMCI Internet pro	oxy servers	How measured: Proxy se	
		used to calculate hit ratio	S.
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)		0.40	0.40
Performance Category 4:	Customer Satisfaction		
Performance Measure De	escription: Level of custo	omer satisfaction.	
Who: Contractor		Frequency: Initially meas	ured at six month
		intervals for first year of o	ontract and then yearly
		thereafter.	
Where: NMCI Customers	using service	How measured: Custome	
		sampling of NMCI custon	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)		0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating
Level of Service (2)		0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating
Level of Service (3)		0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating

Service Name: External Networks	SLA: 27
Service Description: Access and interface to netwo	ks external to NMCI; includes required security
and access control.	

Applicable Service Delivery Points: Applicable workstations

Levels of Services: 3: (Basic, High End, Mission Critical)

Performance Category 1: Availability

Performance Measure Description: The measurement is an aggregate availability of all portals to external networks (non-NMCI). The contractor is not responsible for problems attributed to the external side of the NMCI demarcation interface. Scheduled pre-agreed outages are excluded from availability calculations. Aggregated and reported by site.

Who: Vendor Frequency: Measured continu daily, reported monthly		ntinuously, summarized		
, , ,		How measured: Number	red: Number of hours up divided by	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA	
Level of Service (1)	0.995	0.995	0.995	
Level of Service (2)	0.995	0.995	0.995	
Level of Service (3)	0.995	0.998	0.998	

Performance Category 2: Implementation Time

Performance Measure Description: Turnaround time between user request and implementation of access. The indicated implementation times apply where circuits (media and components) have been physically installed; where circuits do not exist, these category metrics are not applicable and implementation times are negotiated as part of the separately priced effort to obtain external network service. The measure is an aggregate and average by site for the turn around time to provide the user access to external networks.

Who:		Frequency: Monthly average	
Where: How measured: Log time between req implementation. Days are in normal but days.			
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	< 6 working days	< 6 working days	< 6 working days
Level of Service (2)	< 3 working days	< 3 working days	< 3 working days
Level of Service (3)	< 24 hours	< 24 hours	< 24 hours

Performance Category 3: Percent Bandwidth Used

Performance Measure Description: Average utilization compared with available, useable capacity. The measure is performed at the demarcation to the external network. The contractor is not responsible for the bandwidth on the external side of the interface (non-NMCI network). The sampling period should be of a sufficient duration (e.g., 5 minutes) and performed during the 3 busiest hours of the workday, and on representative workdays.

Who: Third party		Frequency: Monthly surge capacity check	
Where: SDP to SDP		How measured: Interface utilization statistics and	
		Stress test.	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	0.4	0.4	0.4
Level of Service (2)	0.4	0.4	0.4
Level of Service (3)	0.3	0.3	0.3

Performance Category 4: Problem Resolution

Performance Measure Description: The measurement is an aggregate and average by site of the time to restore from trouble tickets at the Help Desk. Elapsed time from the outage until the service is restored to normal operating performance. Mission critical should have fail-over to redundant path and redundancy should be restored as indicated. Failover to a device, within a device, or use of alternative paths having redundancy capabilities will satisfy the time to restore redundancy.

Who: Vendor		Frequency: Continuous n	nonitoring, monthly
Where: NMCI-wide		How measured: Event logs, first B value metric is response time, and second value is time to restore.	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	1 hour / 3 hours	1 hour / 3 hours	1 hour / 3 hours
	1 hour / 3 hours	1 hour / 3 hours	1 hour / 3 hours
Level of Service (2)	15 minutes / 1 hour	15 minutes / 1 hour	15 minutes / 1 hour

Performance Category 5: Interoperability

Performance Measure Description: For External Network Access, the interoperability requirement is focused on the segments between NMCI bases and other DON enclaves (IT-21, MCTN) and between NMCI bases and external commercial (Major Acquisition) partners (connected via dedicated services, other than Internet). The requirement is bi-directional. The measure of External Network interoperability is particularly important because it represents the data transport between NMCI users and the operational Navy and Marine Corps, overseas units, and major commercial partners. Interoperability will be measured in multiple ways:

For IT-21 and MCTN

- by employing scripts (e.g.: IP, Windows, DOS) to demonstrate OSI layer 1-4 interoperability. External network interoperability is defined here as the ability to establish and maintain network connectivity and exchange useful and effective information from any NMCI client to any IT-21/MCTN partner located outside of NMCI and accessed by an External Network. The proof of interoperability is establishing and maintaining connection for the purpose of transferring information between the test clients. This will be demonstrated by successful execution of IP based script, or equivalent testing. The performance testing is end-to-end testing between clients, as measured by latency between the two clients. The definition of a failure is (1) two consecutive unsuccessful executions of the test script between test clients or (2) exceeding the Government and ISF agreed upon baseline values for latency. This measure will be performed upon installation, three (3) times daily; additional measurements as appropriate to ensure interoperability. Coordination for establishment of the IT-21/MCTN site constellation is the responsibility of the Government.
- by submission by users of Help Desk Interoperability Trouble Tickets. The definition of IT-21/MCTN External Network interoperability failure: exceeding the Help Desk reporting threshold values of either 10 interoperability trouble tickets referring to IT-21 or 10 interoperability trouble tickets referring to MCTN domains over a 12 hour period.

For Major Acquisition Partners

- by the submission by users of Help Desk Interoperability Trouble Tickets. The definition of

		e: exceeding the Help Des	. •
		uble tickets referring to a s	ingle domain (i.e.,
Commercial) over a 12 ho	our period.		
Notification of the Govern	mont is required for Exter	nal Network interoperabilit	v failure as established
		ess of reporting is stipulate	
metric.	ria wirrivoc), trie timeline	iss of reporting is supulated	d in the Level of Service
Who: Contractor		Frequency: Measured thr	ee (3) times daily for user
TYTIO. CONTINUO		agents; continuous for He	
		monthly.	or Book. Reported
Where: IT-21/MCTN: Mea	asured from an NMCI	How measured: (1) IP ba	sed scripts via remote
user agent operated from		agent and (2) End User In	•
Test points will be identification		Reports to the Help Desk	
Interoperability Test Plan		granularity will be by orga	
NMCI and External Netwo	ork sites. Commercial:	script-based tests and by organization, site,	
Help Desk data will be ca	ptured from	claimant/command for trouble ticket based reports.	
interoperability trouble rep			
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)			Notification within three
			(3) hours of failure
Level of Service (2)			N/A
Level of Service (3)			Notification within one
			(1) hour of failure
Performance Category 6:			
	escription: Level of custon		
Who: Contractor		Frequency: Initially measured at six month	
		intervals for first year of c	ontract and then yearly
		thereafter.	
Where: NMCI Customers	using service	How measured: Customer survey, random	
		sampling of NMCI custon	ners using this service.
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating
Level of Service (2)	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating
Level of Service (3)	0.90 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating

Service Name: Network Management Service -- Asset Management **SLA: 28** Service Description: Vendor will make available near real-time information feeds to support Government oversight, maintain accessible historical data, and provide summary management reports on the operations support functions of Asset Management. This service includes Automated Inventory Management of various types of NMCI assets such as -seat in-service, installed applications servers etc., deployment and removal of new assets, and management reports detailing and summarizing status, trends and recommended action on these Applicable Service Delivery Points: NMCI Infrastructure, Organization, NMCI OP Center, and Fleet Tele-ports. Levels of Services: 3: (Basic, High End, Mission Critical) Performance Category 1: Time to Implement Asset Performance Measure Description: Time to install a new NMCI domain asset following agreement to install. This measure addresses the delivery and installation of the asset as reflected by data provided in the format and media prescribed by the Government. Clock starts at final scheduled implementation date as agreed to by ISF and Local Commander/CTR per the Task Order. Who: Vendor Frequency: As requested by Government Where: NMCI infrastructure or sites How measured: Service request and completion B Value Pre-Negotiation Contract SLA Level of Service (1) < = 5 days, 92% of time < = 5 days, 92% of time< = 5 days, 92% of timeLevel of Service (2) < = 5 days, 92% of time < = 5 days, 92% of time < = 5 days, 92% of time Level of Service (3) < = 5 days, 92% of time < = 5 days, 92% of time < = 5 days, 92% of time Performance Category 1A: Time to Implement Asset for Remote Users Only (CLIN 0001AE and 0101AE if the Option is exercised) Performance Measure Description: Time to install a new NMCI domain asset following agreement to install. This measure addresses the delivery and installation of the asset as reflected by data provided in the format and media prescribed by the Government. Clock starts at final scheduled implementation date as agreed to by ISF and Local Commander/CTR per the Task Order. Who: Vendor Frequency: As requested by Government Where: NMCI infrastructure or sites How measured: Service request and completion dates B Value **Pre-Negotiation** Contract SLA Level of Service (1) < = 5 days, 85% of time < = 5 days, 85% of time< = 5 days, 85% of time Level of Service (2) < = 5 days, 85% of time < = 5 days, 85% of time< = 5 days, 85% of time Level of Service (3) < = 5 days, 92% of time < = 5 days, 92% of time < = 5 days, 92% of time Performance Category 2: Time to Remove Asset Performance Measure Description: Time to remove existing asset following agreement to remove. Clock starts at final scheduled implementation date as agreed to by ISF and Local Commander/CTR per the Task Order. Frequency: As requested by Government Who: Vendor Where: NMCI infrastructure or sites How measured: Service request and completion dates Contract SLA B Value Pre-Negotiation < 15 days Level of Service (1) < 15 days < 15 days Level of Service (2) < 15 days < 15 days < 15 days

< 15 days

< 15 days

< 15 days

Level of Service (3)

Performance Category 2A: Time to Remove Asset					
for Remote Users Only (CLIN 0001AE and					
	0101AE if the Option is exercised)				
		existing asset following a			
Clock starts at final sch	eduled implementation	date as agreed to by ISI	F and Local		
Commander/CTR per th	ne Task Order.				
Who: Vendor		Frequency: As requested	by Government		
Where: NMCI infrastructure or sites		How measured: Service r	equest and completion		
		dates	0 1 1 0 1 1		
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA		
Level of Service (1)	< 15 days	< 25 days	< 25 days		
Level of Service (2)	< 15 days	< 20 days	< 20 days		
Level of Service (3)	< 15 days	< 15 days	< 15 days		
Performance Category 3:					
		accuracy of inventory and			
		imber, product description			
		The asset inventory accura			
		without explicit coordinati			
		or developing and promul			
		nce measure calculation e	excludes any violations of		
this rule by other than NM	ICI contractor personnel.				
Who: Vendor		Frequency: Quarterly rep			
Where: NMCI infrastructu	re or sites	How measured: Evaluated and audited by a third			
		party. Auditing will be performed via			
		statistically significant sampling at a			
		government determined confidence level that			
		will be greater than or equal to 85%. For			
		auditing purposes, number of seats used by			
		vendor for billing will be compared with the number			
		of average active seats in			
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA		
Level of Service (1)	0.98	0.995	0.995		
Level of Service (2)	0.98	0.995	0.995		
Level of Service (3)	0.98	0.995	0.995		

Service Name: Operation			SLA: 29
Service Description: Version Backups and Recovery, I Audits, Purging of Record Vendor will: make inform to appropriate government management reports that Applicable Service Delivers	endor provided indirect se Data Archiving, Routine Dats, Network Address Admination reports, to support Ont entities, maintain accest detail the OSS functions.		e not limited to, Data enance, Log Retrieval and ame Server (internal). illable on recurring basis
Levels of Services: 1: (1 /)	
Performance Measure De up of all reports into a pla	in-English situational repo	pport Services (OSS) shale ort. This measures the quants of services is the percentage Frequency: Measure daily	lity of services and e received on time with
Willo. Velladi		reported weekly	y, Summanzeu anu
Where: Operations Cente	er	How measured: Surveys	and IVV rating.
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	100%	100%	100%
Performance Measure Defor DON (paragraph 3.1.2 that these requirements a qualified as recoverable veing backed up is considered.	22 of the SOO (Retention are being met. A data recovir backup or archived me	equencies and data retent of DON Electronic Records every requests by an NMC dia. Data deleted by an er eporting purposes. Vend	s)). An audit will verify I end user must be nd user prior to the data
Where: Operations Center		How measured: This measurement is the number of successful back ups performed divided by the number of back ups scheduled.	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	0.999	0.999	0.999
9 .	Database Audits and Ma		
by vendor as specified in	the contract will be audite	scheduled database arch d for effective performanc reports to the Governme	e. Excludes devices not
Who: Vendor		Frequency: Annual	
Where: Operations Cente	er	How measured: This measurement is the number of successful back ups performed divided by the number of back ups scheduled.	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	0.999	0.999	0.999

Performance Category 4:	Disaster Recovery Plan I	Effectiveness			
Performance Measure Description: Within one month of contract, the contractor will submit for					
Government review the D	Government review the Disaster Recovery Plan for NMCI. The plan, at a minimum, will demonstrate				
the contractor's ability to	recover a critical application	on at a remote site. Down	time will not exceed 16		
hours for basic and high of	end, and 8 hours for missi	on critical. Metric is percer	nt compliance for		
submission of the Recove	ery Plan.	·	·		
Who: Vendor		Frequency: Initially and a	nnually		
Where: Operations Cente	er	How measured: Vendor	demonstration to		
		Government.			
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA		
Level of Service (1)	100%	100%	100%		

Service Name: Capacity Planning

SLA: 30

Service Description: Vendor provided modeling to plan changes to the NMCI infrastructure, specifically to estimate future volume, usage, and applications characteristics, as well as integration of emerging technology. It covers every component of NMCI (voice, video, and data). It includes periodic analysis of network capacity and recommendations for future engineering changes, for Government review and approval. Capacity Planning services will:

- Use information from network management tools for modeling the NMCI.
- Use workload forecasts, including the surge requirements from two Major Regional Conflicts (MRC), to produce estimates of IT infrastructure requirements to meet service objectives.
- Generate forecasting scenarios (simulations) to plan for meeting service level objectives.
- Use capacity planning methodology to forecast the performance of an active or a proposed system.
- Create a "baseline" of the existing environment that reflects the performance of the infrastructure.
- Use capacity planning information to: estimate future workload growth/change, forecast the likely use of hardware resources as workload varies, forecast expected performance and throughput levels for that system, and project workload requirements and translate them into demands for IT

resources.			
	network management tool		
	ned hardware, workload g	rowth/change to forecast	expected performance
and throughput levels	for those instances.		
Applicable Service Deliv	very Points: NMCI Opera	ation Center	
Levels of Service: 1 (Ent	terprise)		
_	-		
Performance Category 1:			
	escription: The vendor's ab		
. ,	on assessment of process		•
	f assess, technology inser		easures. Satisfactory is
	nd supported by technolog		
Who: Third party		Frequency: Annually	
Where: Operations center	r	How measured: Percentage of reports judged to	
		be satisfactory by third pa	
		computed as: (total # of re	
		reports) / total # of reports	5
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	100%	100%	100%

Performance Category 2:	Availability and Timeline	ss of Reports	
		bility to provide a capacity	nlanning haseline
	•	apacity planning to improve	
		ing done. This shall cover t	
		ncomplete reports received	
) / total # of reports. The
schedule for capacity planning reports will be agre Who: Vendor		Frequency: Monthly report	rte until basolino
William Veridor		established then quarterly	
		12 months of historical m	
		war plans requirements d	•
		NMCI model.	ata for re-basellining the
Where: All points between	n end users to include	How measured: This sha	ll be measured by
end users		timeliness of the required	
		reports.	. ,
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	100%	100%	100%
Performance Category 3:	<u> </u>		
		mance data. The measure	
		orts. The schedule for netw	ork performance
reporting will be agreed ir	n the NMCI contract.		
Who: Third party		Frequency: Monthly network performance data,	
		including actual and functional shall be gathered	
		according to requirements for the model.	
Where: All points between	n end users to include	How measured: By traffic	on the NMCI end to end.
end users			
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	100%	100%	100%

Sarvica Nama: System S	Services Domain Name	Server	SLA: 31
Service Name: System S		slates domain names to IP	
-			
		unique names to network	
must meet all functionality	or the current DNS serv	ice, to include flexible supp	on for deployed units.
This service includes Inte Secondary DNS Servers.	rnal Primary and Second	ary DNS Servers, and Exte	ernal Primary and
Applicable Service Deliv Teleports.	very Points: NMCI Infras	tructure, Organizations, NI	MCI OP Center, and Fleet
Levels of Services: 3: (E	Basic, High End, Mission	Critical)	
Performance Category 1:	Availability		
Performance Measure De	escription: DNS Service A	vailability measured as an	aggregate and the
average for Primary and S	Secondary DNS Service /	Availability reported monthl ment and more responsive	y. The higher mission
Who: Vendor	<u>a mg. 101 210 an m. 10 qu. 10 t</u>	Frequency: Primary DNS	•
vviio. voilaoi		Secondary DNS - every 1	•
Where: All DNS Servers		How measured: Continuo Servers by DNS Monitors	•
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	> = .997	>= .997	> = .997
Level of Service (2)	>= .997	> = .997	> = .997
Level of Service (3)	> = .998	> = .998	> = .998
Performance Category 2:		7 .000	7 1000
Address Resolution Time Address Resolution Time	and reflects the time for includes applications onli	nent is a monthly aggregate NMCI end users to use the y, and does not include ne at are not resident on the D	ir local DNS servers. twork response time.
Who: Vendor		Frequency: Primary DNS Secondary DNS - Every 1	,
Where: All DNS servers		How measured: Continuo Servers by DNS Monitors	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	< 1 sec	< 100 ms	< 100 ms
Level of Service (2)	< 100 ms	< 10 ms	< 10 ms
Level of Service (3)	< 10 ms	< 10 ms	< 10 ms
Performance Category 3:			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Performance Category 4:			
Statistical Sample of DNS	S Table Entries		
		percentage of time that re	
		verage by DNS of the rate	
requests per day. These r	measurements are report	ed for trending and capacit	ty planning purposes.
Who: Vendor Frequency: Average successful queries/tota queries over 15 minutes			cessful queries/total
Where: All DNS servers		How measured: DNS Mordatabase	nitors and SNMP

	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	0.999	100.0%	100.0%

Deivice Ivallie. Applicati	ion Server Connectivity		SLA: 32
		rvice where Navy and Mar	
		rvers are provided connec	
		pport mission requirements	
	-	ion. Does not include serve	•
maintenance and adminis			
		overnment Application Ser	vers
Levels of Services: 2: (Standard, Mission Critical)	
Performance Category 1:	Availability		
Performance Measure De	escription: Availability of c	ontracted NMCI network be	andwidth from local
supporting backbone to c	onnected application serv	ver. Reported by primary su	upported organization
and by server.			
Who: Vendor		Frequency: Measured cor	ntinuously, summarized
		daily, and reported month	
Where: At the network se	gment connecting	How measured: Measure	ed by combination of
application server to loca		network management too	•
•	0	Calculation is total time of	
		divided by total time. Doe	
		scheduled outages or ser	ver hardware/software
		failure.	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	0.995	0.995	0.995
Level of Service (2)	0.997	0.997	0.997
Performance Category 2:	Implementation Time		
Performance Measure De	escription: Implementation	n time is measured as time	between user request
		twork backbone and Appli	
indicated implementation	times apply where circuit	s (media and components)	hava haan physically
	o not exist, these categor	y metrics are not applicable	e and implementation
times are negotiated as p	o not exist, these categor	y metrics are not applicable ed effort to obtain external i	e and implementation network service.
	o not exist, these categor	y metrics are not applicable of effort to obtain external in Frequency: Measured on	e and implementation network service. a per event basis and
times are negotiated as p Who: Vendor	o not exist, these categor part of the separately price	y metrics are not applicable ed effort to obtain external i	e and implementation network service. a per event basis and
times are negotiated as p Who: Vendor Where: Specified network	o not exist, these categor part of the separately price	y metrics are not applicable of effort to obtain external refrequency: Measured on summarized & reported measured: As time	e and implementation network service. a per event basis and nonthly. between user request
times are negotiated as p Who: Vendor	o not exist, these categor part of the separately price	y metrics are not applicable of effort to obtain external properties. Frequency: Measured on summarized & reported measured: As time and the implementation of	e and implementation network service. a per event basis and nonthly. between user request f connectivity, from
times are negotiated as p Who: Vendor Where: Specified network	o not exist, these categor part of the separately price	y metrics are not applicable of effort to obtain external refrequency: Measured on summarized & reported measured: As time	e and implementation network service. a per event basis and nonthly. between user request f connectivity, from
times are negotiated as p Who: Vendor Where: Specified network	o not exist, these categor part of the separately price	y metrics are not applicable of effort to obtain external properties. Frequency: Measured on summarized & reported measured: As time and the implementation of	e and implementation network service. a per event basis and nonthly. between user request f connectivity, from
times are negotiated as p Who: Vendor Where: Specified network	o not exist, these categor part of the separately price	y metrics are not applicable of effort to obtain external references. Measured on summarized & reported measured: As time and the implementation ocustomer service complete.	e and implementation network service. a per event basis and nonthly. between user request f connectivity, from tion records.
times are negotiated as p Who: Vendor Where: Specified network Applications Servers	o not exist, these categor part of the separately price c backbone and B Value	y metrics are not applicable of effort to obtain external per effort to obtain external per exte	e and implementation network service. a per event basis and nonthly. between user request f connectivity, from tion records. Contract SLA
times are negotiated as p Who: Vendor Where: Specified network Applications Servers	o not exist, these categor part of the separately price c backbone and B Value	y metrics are not applicable of effort to obtain external per effort to obtain external per exte	e and implementation network service. a per event basis and nonthly. between user request f connectivity, from tion records. Contract SLA
times are negotiated as p Who: Vendor Where: Specified network Applications Servers Level of Service (1) Level of Service (2)	o not exist, these categor part of the separately price c backbone and B Value < 5 working days < 24 hours	y metrics are not applicable of effort to obtain external red effort to obtain the implementation of customer service completed efforts of the effort efforts are red efforts of the effort effort efforts of the effort effort efforts of the effort	e and implementation network service. a per event basis and nonthly. between user request f connectivity, from tion records. Contract SLA < 5 working days
times are negotiated as p Who: Vendor Where: Specified network Applications Servers Level of Service (1) Level of Service (2) Performance Category 3:	o not exist, these category part of the separately prices to backbone and B Value < 5 working days < 24 hours MTTR Backbone to Serve	y metrics are not applicable of effort to obtain external red effort to obtain the implementation of customer service completed efforts of the effort efforts are red efforts of the effort effort efforts of the effort effort efforts of the effort	e and implementation network service. a per event basis and nonthly. between user request f connectivity, from tion records. Contract SLA < 5 working days < 24 hours
times are negotiated as p Who: Vendor Where: Specified network Applications Servers Level of Service (1) Level of Service (2) Performance Category 3: Performance Measure De	o not exist, these category part of the separately prices to backbone and B Value < 5 working days < 24 hours MTTR Backbone to Serve escription: Mean Time to	y metrics are not applicable of effort to obtain external in Frequency: Measured on summarized & reported in How measured: As time and the implementation of customer service completed in Pre-Negotiation < 5 working days < 24 hours The remainder of the remainder of the implementation of customer service completed in Pre-Negotiation < 5 working days < 24 hours The remainder of the remai	e and implementation network service. a per event basis and nonthly. between user request f connectivity, from tion records. Contract SLA < 5 working days < 24 hours
times are negotiated as p Who: Vendor Where: Specified network Applications Servers Level of Service (1) Level of Service (2) Performance Category 3: Performance Measure De	o not exist, these category part of the separately prices to backbone and B Value < 5 working days < 24 hours MTTR Backbone to Serve escription: Mean Time to	y metrics are not applicable of effort to obtain external in Frequency: Measured on summarized & reported in How measured: As time and the implementation of customer service completed in Pre-Negotiation < 5 working days < 24 hours er network segment	e and implementation network service. a per event basis and nonthly. between user request f connectivity, from tion records. Contract SLA < 5 working days < 24 hours
times are negotiated as p Who: Vendor Where: Specified network Applications Servers Level of Service (1) Level of Service (2) Performance Category 3: Performance Measure Desupporting backbone and	o not exist, these category part of the separately prices to backbone and B Value < 5 working days < 24 hours MTTR Backbone to Serve escription: Mean Time to	y metrics are not applicable of effort to obtain external in Frequency: Measured on summarized & reported in How measured: As time and the implementation of customer service completed in Pre-Negotiation < 5 working days < 24 hours The remainder of the remainder of the implementation of customer service completed in Pre-Negotiation < 5 working days < 24 hours The remainder of the remai	e and implementation network service. a per event basis and nonthly. between user request f connectivity, from cion records. Contract SLA < 5 working days < 24 hours at between local orded organization and by

Where: At the network segment connecting application server to local supporting backbone.		How measured: Measures mean time for repair from the time fault was detected to the time it is fixed.		
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA	
Level of Service (1)	< = 6 Hrs	< = 6 Hrs	< = 6 Hrs	
Level of Service (2)	< = 2 Hrs	< = 2 Hrs	< = 2 Hrs	
Performance Category 4:	Network Loading			
Performance Measure De available bandwidth from		age network loading as a pporting backbone.	percentage of the	
Who: Vendor		Frequency: Monitored continuously, summarized hourly, and reported monthly.		
Where: At the network se	gment.	How measured: Network	management software.	
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA	
Level of Service (1)		0.40	0.40	
Level of Service (2) 0.30				

Service Name: Network Operations Display SLA: 32A				
		splay provides authorized r		
		to them for mission support	The service includes	
the status of both classific				
Applicable Service Deliv		I Managers		
Levels of Services: 1: (Enterprise)			
Performance Category 1:	Availability			
Performance Measure De	escription: The measurer	nent is an aggregate availa	bility of the NMCI real-	
		age time excludes all pre-ag		
		ations Display is considered	d to be up (or available)	
unless there is a trouble t	icket at the Help Desk.			
Who: Vendor		Frequency: Measured co- weekly, reported monthly		
Where: DON NMCI Manager Workstations		How measured: Number of hours up operational divided by total hours		
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA	
Level of Service (1)		0.995	0.995	
Performance Category 2:	Customer Satisfaction			
Performance Measure De	escription: Level of custo	mer satisfaction.		
Who: Contractor Frequency: Initially measured at six month intervals for first year of contract and then thereafter.				
Where: NMCI Customers using service		How measured: Customer survey, random sampling of NMCI customers using this service.		
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA	
Level of Service (1)		0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	

Service Name: NMCI Security Operational Services – General

SLA: 33

Service Description: Protection of the Information Systems (Infrastructure), Domains (Communities of interest), and Content (at rest, in-use and in-transit) to assure confidentiality, integrity, availability, authenticity, and non-repudiation. Provision of Security mechanisms, Procedures, controls and operation. Compliance with DoD certification and accreditation policies and procedures.

Applicable Service Delivery Points: All NMCI Voice, Video, and Data Service Delivery Points **Levels of Services:** 3: (Basic, High End, Mission Critical)

Performance Category 1: Accreditation

Performance Measure Description: DITSCAP 5000.40 establishes accreditation requirements. Percentage of accreditation's that are successful on the first attempt. Attempts for accreditation will be ongoing throughout the year. This measure will be examined semi-annually. Interim accreditation received through interim authority to operate (IATO) is counted as a successful accreditation. Accreditations measured are the number of successfully accredited packages, not the number of packages submitted for accreditation. The B-value for accreditations attempted for the third time will be 100%.

Who: Vendor & Government		Frequency: Semi-annual		
Where: DON-wide		How measured: Total number of successful accreditation's on first attempt divided by total number of adjudicated packages		
	B Value		Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	0.85		0.85	0.85
Level of Service (2)	0.85	0.85 0.85		
Level of Service (3)	0.90		.90	.90

Performance Category 2: Security integrity-Third Party Physical Inspections

Performance Measure Description: Percentage of third party physical inspections passed. Applicable inspection sites are those sites that are either accredited or interim accredited. Inspection criteria to be developed based on current DoN physical security requirements for both unclassified and classified processing.

Who: Third party		Frequency: Annual			
Where: DON-wide		How measured: Total number of physical inspections passed divided by total number of physical inspections			
	B Value (Unclassified)	B Value Pre-Negotiation Contract SLA (Classified)			
Level of Service (1)	0.95	0.99 0.95 / 0.99 0.95 / 0.99			
Level of Service (2)	0.97	100% 0.97 / 100% 0.97 / 100%			
Level of Service (3)	0.99	100%	0.99 / 100%	0.99 / 100%	

Performance Category 3: Security integrity-Security Measures

Performance Measure Description: Percentage of violation of security measures. Inspection criteria will be based on network security guidance, orders, and compliance with applicable IAVAs, IAVBs, and NAVCIRT Advisories as well as other specific patches and policies as approved by the Government. Network hardware components and software applications will be inspected for compliance with directed security configurations. If a component has one or more security misconfigurations, it counts as one misconfiguration item and that translates to a violation. (For example, if a workstation does not have the required operating system patches or the latest virus signature updates, that constitutes one violation.) For the unclassified B-values, the requirement for this measure will be .001 beginning six months after the start of the transition period.

Who: Government F	Red team and	Frequency: Periodic			
Green team					
Where:		How measured: To	tal number of		
		violations divided	by total number		
		components and a	applications		
		measured.	• •		
	B Value	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA	
	(Unclassified)	(Classified)	Ŭ		
Level of Service (1)	0.002	0.001	0.002 / 0,001	0.002 / 0,001	
Level of Service (2)	0.002	0.001	0.002 / 0.001	0.002 / 0.001	
Level of Service (3)	0.002	0.00	0.002 / 0.00	0.002 / 0.00	
Performance Categ	ory 4: Blocking of ar	n Intrusion (user leve	el)		
Performance Measu	re Description: Suc	cess rate in blocking	Red Team intrusion	s. An intrusion	
			network and repres		
			nin unauthorized ac		
			unauthorized acce		
				r level access to an	
NMCI component.					
Who: Government F		Frequency: Periodic			
Where: DON-wide			otal number of Red	Team Intrusion	
			e number of succe		
		intrusions) divided by the total number of Red team			
		intrusions attempt			
	B Value	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA	
	(Unclassified)	(Classified)			
Level of Service (1)	0.99	0.9999	0.99 / 0.9999	0.99 / 0.9999	
Level of Service (2)	0.99	0.9999	0.99 / 0.9999	0.99 / 0.9999	
Level of Service (3)	0.999	100.00%	0.999 / 100.00%	0.999 / 100.00%	
Performance Categ	ory 5: Blocking of ar	n Intrusion (root leve	l)		
Performance Measu	re Description: Suc	cess rate in blocking	Red Team intrusion	attacks. An	
		_	n on the network ar		
			to gain unauthorize	•	
Successful blockir	ng of an intrusion o	occurs when the ac	tion to gain unauth	orized access fails	
				for root level access	
to an NMCI compo	-				
Who: Government F		Frequency: Periodic			
Where: DON-wide			otal number of Red	d Team intrusion	
		`	e number of succe		
	intrusions) divided by the total number of Red team				
		intrusions attempt			
	B Value	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA	
	(Unclassified)	(Classified)	- 10 110 golialion		
Level of Service (1)	0.999	0.9999	0.999 / 0.99999	0.999 / 0.99999	
Level of Service (2)	0.999	0.9999	0.999 / 0.99999	0.999 / 0.99999	
1 (0)	0.0000	400.000/	0.0000 / 400 000/	0.0000 / 400.000/	

100.00%

Performance Measure Description: Success rate in blocking of DOS attacks. Criteria will be

0.9999 / 100.00%

0.9999 / 100.00%

Level of Service (3)

0.9999

Performance Category 6: Blocking of a Denial of Service (DOS) attack

developed outlining the definition for successfully blocking a DOS attack. Successful blocking of a DOS attack occurs when Red Team attempts to measurably degrade the normal performance of network components (e.g., routers, servers and switches) fails. The number of DOS attacks used in this measurement will be provided by the Government Red Team. Attacks will be against currently certified configurations. Who: Government Red team Frequency: Periodic Where: DON-wide How measured: (Total number of Red Team intrusion attempts minus the number of successful Red Team intrusions) divided by the total number of Red team intrusions attempted. B Value B Value **Pre-Negotiation** Contract SLA (Unclassified) (Classified) Level of Service (1) 0.995 / 0.999 0.995 / 0.999 0.999 0.9999 Level of Service (2) 0.999 0.9999 0.995 / 0.999 0.995 / 0.999 0.9999 Level of Service (3) 100.00% 0.999 / 100.00% 0.999 / 100.00% Performance Category 7: Blocking of a data retrieval Performance Measure Description: Success rate in blocking Red Team data retrieval excluding root and user level intrusions. Criteria will be developed outlining definition of successfully blocking a date retrieval intrusion. The number of data retrieval attacks used in this measurement will be provided by the Government Red Team. Attacks will be against currently certified configurations. Who: Government Red team Frequency: Periodic Where: DON-wide How measured: (Total number of Red Team intrusion attempts minus the number of Red Team intrusions successfully blocked) divided by the total number of Red team intrusions attempted. B Value B Value Pre-Negotiation Contract SLA (Unclassified) (Classified) Level of Service (1) 0.999 0.9999 0.999 / 0.9999 0.999 / 0.9999 Level of Service (2) 0.999 0.9999 0.999 / 0.9999 0.999 / 0.9999 Level of Service (3) 100.00% 0.9999 / 100.00% 0.9999 / 100.00% 0.9999 Performance Category 8: Blocking of a data integrity attack Performance Measure Description: Success rate in blocking Red Team data integrity attacks excluding root and user level intrusions. Criteria will be developed outlining definition of successfully blocking a date integrity attack. The number of data integrity attacks used in this measurement will be provided by the Government Red Team. Attacks will be against currently certified configurations. Who: Government Red team Frequency: Periodic Where: DON-wide How measured: (Total number of Red Team intrusion attempts minus the number of Red Team intrusions successfully blocked) divided by the total number of Red team intrusions attempted. B Value B Value **Pre-Negotiation** Contract SLA (Unclassified) (Classified) evel of Service (1) 0.995 0.999 0.999 / 0.9999 0.999 / 0.9999 Level of Service (2) 0.999 0.999 / 0.9999 0.995 0.999 / 0.9999 0.997 Level of Service (3) 100% 0.9999 /100.0% 0.9999 /100.0% Performance Category 9: Red Team Intrusion Attempts Performance Measure Description: Percentage of Red-Team intrusions detected from an

authorized user trying to gain access beyond his/her permissions. The number of Red-Team

intrusion attempts used in this measurement will be provided by the Government Red Team. Attacks will be against currently certified configurations.						
Who: Government Red team Frequency: Periodic						
Where: DON-wide		How measured: (To	otal number of Red	Team unauthorized		
		security accesses	minus the number	of successful Red		
	Team unauthorized security accesses (as an NMCI					
		user) successfully	detected) divided	by the total number		
		of Red Team unauthorized security accesses.				
	B Value	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA		
	(Unclassified)	(Classified)				
Level of Service (1)	0.999	0.9999 0.995 / 0.999 0.995 / 0.999				
Level of Service (2)	0.999	0.9999	0.995 / 0.999	0.995 / 0.999		
Level of Service (3)	0.9999	100.00%	0.997 / 100.00%	0.997 / 100.00%		

Service Name: Info	ormation Assurance	Operational Service	es - PKI	SLA: 34		
				mains (Communities		
			sure confidentiality, i			
			PKI services for users			
•		ixed and Portable (E		7101 01001101110 1110111		
		ole Workstation, Emb				
,	ontractor provided),	•	Darkable i Orlable			
Levels of Services						
Performance Category						
			certificate when req	uirod		
r enormance measc	are bescription. Time	ellitess of revoking a	certificate when req	uneu.		
Who: Vendor & Gov	ernment/	Frequency: Continu	ous by vendor, rand	om by government		
Where: Operations	Center	How measured: Ela	psed time from notif	cation of the NMCI		
		contractor that a us	er certificate needs t	o be revoked, to the		
notification of the certification authority						
	B Value	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA		
	(Unclassified)	(Classified)	1 hour / 30 minutes			
Level of Service (1)	1 hour	30 minutes	1 hour / 30 minutes			
Level of Service (2)		30 minutes 1 hour / 30 minutes 1 hour / 30 minute				
Level of Service (3) 1 hour 30 minutes 1 hour / 30 minutes 1 hour / 30 minutes						
Performance Categorial Cartificate of anothe				Infrastructure X.509		
				n on the first attempt		
				applied is the rate at		
	stully obtain the cert	ificate within the spe				
Who: Vendor	0 1	Frequency: Monthly	•	(
Where: Operations	Center		e time it takes for use			
			cates when attempte	•		
		` .	rcentage) to obtain c			
	B Value	B Value	unclassified/classified	Contract SLA		
	(Unclassified)	(Classified)	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA		
Level of Service (1)	,	,	5 min, 99.7% / 2 min,	5 min, 99.7% / 2 min,		
Level of Service (1)	5 minutes, 99.7%	2 minutes, 99.9%	99.9%	99.9%		
Level of Service (2)	5 minutes, 99.7%	2 minutes, 99.9%	5 min, 99.7% / 2 min, 99.9%	5 min, 99.7% / 2 min, 99.9%		
Level of Service (3)	5 minutes, 99.7%	2 minutes, 99.9%	5 min, 99.7% / 2 min, 99.9%	5 min, 99.7% / 2 min, 99.9%		
Performance Categoria	ory 3: User registrat	ion for DOD Public k	Key Infrastructure wit	hin NMCI.		
Performance Measu	Performance Measure Description: Measures the time from the submission of a user request to					
	establishing fully functional DOD PKI X.509 certificates. The calculation is the number achieved					
divided by the numb	divided by the number requested within a specified time.					
Who: Vendor		Frequency: Monthly	report			
Where: DON-wide		<u> </u>	e time it takes from s	ubmission of a user		
			PKI X.509 certificate			
functional using DOD PKI within NMCI.						

	B Value (Unclassified)	B Value (Classified)	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)		85% (1 week), 100% (2 week)	85% (1 wk), 100% (2 wk) / 85% (1 wk), 100% (2 wk)	85% (1 wk), 100% (2 wk) / 85% (1 wk), 100% (2 wk)
Level of Service (2)	85% (1 week), 100% (2 week)	85% (1 week), 100% (2 week)	85% (1 wk), 100% (2 wk) / 85% (1 wk), 100% (2 wk)	85% (1 wk), 100% (2 wk) / 85% (1 wk), 100% (2 wk)
Level of Service (3)	90% (3 days), 100% (1 week)	90% (3 days), 100% (1 week)	90% (3 days), 100% (1 wk) / 90% (3 days), 100% (1 wk)	90% (3 days), 100% (1 wk) / 90% (3 days), 100% (1 wk)

Performance Category 4: Interoperability

Performance Measure Description: For PKI, the interoperability requirement is to verify successful implementation of bi-directional PKI services from within NMCI to locations served by networks external to NMCI (with which it must interface). PKI services interoperability will be measured by two methods: by end user incident reporting, and by interoperability testing.

- Interoperability measurement will be performed by the submission by users of Help Desk Interoperability Trouble Tickets. The definition of PKI interoperability failure is exceeding the Help Desk reporting threshold value of 25 PKI interoperability trouble tickets over a 12 hour period; this reporting threshold value will be regularly reviewed by the Government and ISF.
- The proof of interoperability is establishing and maintaining connection for the purpose of transferring information between test clients both with DON (IT-21, MCTN) and with Joint/DoD. The validation of PKI services will be performed manually using DoD PKI certificates. The tests will include representation of all facets of the DON business operation and NMCI functions that depend on PKI. Proof of interoperability is defined by end-to-end testing between two test clients, and is defined by receiving an anticipated response. Failure equates to (2) two consecutive unsuccessful executions of a test with the same end test organizations, e.g., Marine Corps and Air Force.

Notification of the Government is required for PKI interoperability failure as established by the DON (Navy CTF and MITNOC); the timeliness of reporting is stipulated in the Level of Service metric.

Who: Contractor		Frequency: Measured weekly for manual		
		interoperability testing (until PKI stabilized);		
		continuous for Help Des	sk. Reported monthly.	
Where: Measured from ar	NMCI user (located at	How measured: (1) End	User Incident Reports to	
an NMCI workstation) to a	an equivalent client	Help Desk, and (2) Mar	ual intervention at internal	
configuration operated at	a location external to	NMCI user locations an	d external user locations.	
NMCI. Test points will be identified in the NMCI				
Interoperability Test Plan	but will include NMCI			
and DoD/Joint.				
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA	
Level of Service (1)			Notification within six (6)	
			hours	
Level of Service (2)	•		N/A	
Level of Service (3)	·		Notification within three	
			(3) hours	

Service Name: Information Assurance Operational Services - SIPRNET

Access SLA: 35

Service Description: Protection of the Information Systems (Infrastructure), Domains (Communities of interest), and Content (at rest, in-use and in-transit) to assure confidentiality, integrity, availability, authenticity, and non-repudiation. Provide SIPRNET access to users in accordance with DoD and DON policies and procedures.

Applicable Service Delivery Points: Classified Connectivity Upgrade Option

Levels of Services: 3: (Basic, High End, Mission Critical)

Performance Category 1: SIPRNET Access Availability

Performance Measure Description: Availability of connectivity at SIPRNET portal. Measured from the NMCI end user to the last NMCI controlled access point to the SIPRNET. Excludes any outages beyond the control of NMCI. Assumed that the availability of the access point to the SIPRnet cannot be greater than the availability of the NMCI MAN/BAN/LAN to the point of entry to external networks.

Who: Vendor		Frequency: Measured continuously, summarized hourly, reported daily			
Where: Access Poir SIPRNET	nt of Entry for	How measured: Measurements will be based on help desk trouble tickets reported by region/base/ organization. Calculation: Number of hours of service availability divided by the total number of hours.			
	B Value		Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA	
Level of Service (1)	0.98		0.98	0.98	
Level of Service (2)	0.98	0.98 0.98			
Level of Service (3)	0.996		0.996	0.996	

Performance Category 2: SIPRNET Access Verification

0.00

Performance Measure Description: Number of un-authorized users who obtain successful access to SIPRNET services.

Who: Vendor & Government Red team Frequency: Continuous by vendor, periodic by government

vviio. Volidoi a cov	Cirillioni rea toain	i requeriey. Continuous by verider, periodic by government			
Where: DON-wide		How measured: Number of successful unauthorized user accesses to SIPRNET services			
	B Value	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA	
Level of Service (1)	0.00	0.00 0.00			
Level of Service (2)	0.00	0.00 0.00			

0.00

0.00

Performance Category 3: Interoperability

Level of Service (3)

Performance Measure Description: For SIPRNET Access, the interoperability requirement is focused on the segments between DON bases, Joint/DoD bases and other locations and agencies that have access to SIPRNET. The requirement is bi-directional. The measure of SIPRNET interoperability is particularly important because it represents the classified data transport between Navy and Marine Corps and the other Services, DoD/Joint, and other Agencies. Interoperability will be measured by user agents that will employ scripts (e.g.: IP, Windows, DOS) to demonstrate OSI layer 1-4 interoperability. SIPRNET interoperability is defined here as the ability to establish and maintain network connectivity and exchange useful and effective information from any NMCI clients to any DoD/Joint partner located outside of NMCI accessed by SIPRNET. SIPRNET interoperability testing

will consist of two basic tests: (1) proof of interoperability and (2) performance testing.

-The proof of interoperability is establishing and maintaining connection for the purpose of transferring information between the test clients. This will be demonstrated by successful execution of IP based script, or equivalent testing. The definition of a failure is two consecutive unsuccessful executions of the test script between test clients.

-The performance testing is end-to-end testing between clients, as measured by latency between the two clients. The definition of a failure is exceeding the Government and ISF agreed upon baseline values for latency.

These measures will be performed upon installation and three (3) times daily; additional measurements as appropriate to ensure interoperability. Coordination for establishment of the Joint/DoD site constellation is the responsibility of the Government. The user agents will employ IP based scripts to demonstrate OSI layer 1-4 interoperability.

Notification of the Government is required for SIPRNET interoperability failure as established by the DON (Navy CTF and MITNOC): the timeliness of reporting is stipulated in the Level of Service metric

DON (Navy CTF and	DON (Navy CTF and MITNOC); the timeliness of reporting is stipulated in the Level of Service metric.					
		Frequency: Measured three (3) times daily user				
				agents. Reported monthly.		
Where: Measured from					agent locked down	
equivalent client con	•				d to verify successful	
NOC test installation					ipts. Collection and	
in the NMCI Interope	erability Test Plan b	ut will	analysis	granularity will be	by test sites.	
include both NMCI a	nd DoD/Joint sites.					
	B Val	ue	Pre	-Negotiation	Contract SLA	
Level of Service (1)					Notification within three	
					(3) hours of failure	
Level of Service (2)					N/A	
Level of Service (3)					Notification within one	
					(1) hour of failure	
Performance Catego	ory 4: Customer Sa	tisfaction				
Performance Measu	re Description: Use	r satisfactio	n of later	ncy of network ap	os, interoperability	
(reachability) to DON	I and DoD sites					
Who: Vendor & Gove	ernment Red team	Frequency	: Continu	ous by vendor, pe	eriodic by government	
Where: NMCI-wide		How meas	ured: Ba	sed on customer	satisfaction surveys.	
	B Value	B Va	lue	Pre-Negotiation	n Contract SLA	
Level of Service (1)	0.85			0.85	0.85	
Level of Service (2)	0.85			0.85	0.85	
Level of Service (3)	0.90			0.85	0.85	

Service Name: Information Assurance Planning Services SLA: 36						
		-	all provide for the NM			
			pudiation requiremer			
			but not limited to, en			
			ntent detection, audit,			
environmental contr		,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,		
		All NMCI Voice. Vide	eo, and Data Service	Delivery Points		
	: 3: (Basic, High En		50, and Bala 50, 1100	Don't on to		
Performance Category	` _	•				
			ent and report securi	ty incidents Criteria		
		n of a security incide		ty incluents. Offeria		
Who: Vendor & Gov			uous, reports monthly	<u> </u>		
Where: End user &			e time it takes to doc			
Wilele. Lilu usel &	operations center		ter it's been determin	•		
		has occurred.	iei ii 3 Deen deleiniin	eu mai an incluent		
	D. Value		Dro Nogotiotion	Contract SLA		
	B Value	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA		
Level of Service (1)	(Unclassified) 1 week	(Classified)	1 wook / 1 dov	1 wook / 1 dov		
	1 week	1 day	1 week / 1 day	1 week / 1 day 1 week / 1 day		
Level of Service (2)		1 day	1 week / 1 day	•		
Level of Service (3)	1 hour	1 hour	1 hour / 1 hour	1 hour / 1 hour		
Performance Categoria						
				nt. Response means		
			n (e.g. installing oper			
				eframes provided with		
			B-Values provided. (
	the definition of a s	ecunity incident, and	the appropriate acti	ons required for a		
response. Who: Vendor		Frequency: As requ	irod			
				and to a courity		
Where: Operations	center	incident.	e time it takes to resp	ond to a security		
		incident.				
	B Value	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA		
	(Unclassified)		Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA		
Level of Service (1)	, ,	(Classified) 1 day	1 day / 1 day	1 day / 1 day		
Level of Service (1)	1 day	•				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1 day		1 day / 1 day	1 day / 1 day		
Level of Service (3) 1 hour 1 hour 1 hour 1 hour 1 hour 1 hour						
Performance Category 3: Security Product Refresh						
Performance Measure Description: Time required to distribute new/revised security hardware and						
software. Note: These product updates shall have completed security testing and integration prior to						
implementation. Note: This SLA is not applicable for real time security fixes that are mandated to be						
completed in shorter time frames (i.e., IAVA, INFOCON, etc) Who: Vendor & Government Frequency: As required						
				ributa naw/raviaad		
Where: End user and Operations How measured: The time it takes to distribute new/revised security hardware/software after it has been determined to be						
center		_		een determined to be		
	a valid NMCI requirement.					

	B Value	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA		
	(Unclassified)	(Classified)	1 10 110gottation	Contract OE/		
Level of Service (1)	6 months	6 months	6 months / 6 months	6 months / 6 months		
Level of Service (2)	3 months	3 months	3 months / 3 months	3 months / 3 months		
Level of Service (3)	1 month	1 month	1 month / 1 month	1 month / 1 month		
Performance Categ	ory 4: Security Vulne	erability Remediation	n			
Performance Measu	ure Description: Time	e required to implen	nent real time system	fixes/patches to		
address security vul	address security vulnerabilities. Real time system fixes and patches are those required to be					
implemented prior	implemented prior to the next scheduled configuration update. (Note: Implementation of					
Information Assurance Vulnerability Alerts (IAVAs) shall be in accordance with required timeframes)						
Who: Vendor & Government Frequency: As specified by policy						
Where: All end user	Where: All end users and systems How measured: The time it takes to implement real time					
		system fixes/patche	es to address security	y vulnerabilities		
	B Value	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA		
	(Unclassified)	(Classified)				
Level of Service (1)	1 day	1 day	1 day / 1 day	1 day / 1 day		
Level of Service (2)	8 hours	8 hours	8 hours / 8 hours	8 hours / 8 hours		
Level of Service (3)	1 hour	1 hour	1 hour / 1 hour	1 hour / 1 hour		

Service Name: Integrated Configuration Management SLA: 36A						
Service Description: Maintain a configuration management system including an asset inventory of						
all hardware and software	e. In addition, maintain a lo	ogical relationship record o	of the items in the asset			
inventory.						
Applicable Service Deli	very Points: All data sea	ts, fixed and secure voice	devices, video			
teleconferencing seats, a	and all NMCI infrastructure	and external networks.				
Levels of Services: 1: (Enterprise)					
Performance Category 1:	: Time to update CM syste	m.				
Performance Measure Description: Time to update configuration management system after change to asset configuration. The monthly report will aggregate and average system updates by site and by level of service.						
Who: Vendor		Frequency: Measured daily and reported monthly				
Where: NMCI wide		How measured: The measurement is the elapsed time from the trouble ticket resolve time and the time the record in update in the configuration management system. The logical relationship record update is included in the required change.				
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA			
Level of Service (1)		24 hours 24 hours				

Design No.	LT C		01.4.000			
	Service Name: Integration and Testing SLA: 36B					
	Service Description: An adequate level of testing will be performed by the vendor to minimize the effects of modifications of the NMCI configuration, both initially and through the life of the NMCI					
		juration, (e. g., applying ma				
	, .	nimize the time to complete	e the modification, and			
test the system to minimiz						
Applicable Service Deliv		omponents				
Performance Category 1:						
		ime to configure will be bas				
		rvice to configure until that				
•	e measurement is a mont	hly aggregate of the average	ge time to configure			
asset by site.		Crosuppou Monthly				
Who: Vendor		Frequency: Monthly				
Where: NMCI wide		How measured: Report n	umber of business days			
		from time the device is re				
		device is returned for service.				
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA			
Level of Service (1)		4 days	4 days			
Level of Service (2)		3 days	3 days			
Level of Service (3)		3 days	3 days			
Performance Category 2:	Test Coordination with th	ne Government				
Performance Measure De	escription: Systems, produ	ucts, and services are coor	dinated with the			
Government as introduce	d. The vendor will provide	e Government with project	schedules for system,			
product, and services roll	out.		•			
Who: Vendor		Frequency: Monthly				
Where: NMCI wide		How measured: Vendor reports the measured				
		improvement in cost or technical performance for				
		technology insertion projects completed during the				
		year, along with supporting data. Vendor				
		calculates the benefit significance of each				
		technology insertion project completed, weighted				
		by cost benefit, and aggregates with all other				
technology insertion projects completed.						
B Value Pre-Negotiation Contract SLA						
Level of Service (1)		5-10%	5-10%			
Level of Service (2)		10-20%	10-20%			
Level of Service (3)		> 20%	> 20%			

Service Name: Technology Refreshment **SLA: 36C** Service Description: Technology Refreshment includes the periodic replacement of NMCI data seats with more capable machines. Refresh of servers, telephone, telephone switches, network switches, network routers, and other hardware and infrastructure is accomplished as required to meet appropriate SLA performance metrics. Applicable Service Delivery Points: Fixed Workstations seats, Portable Seats, the Embarkable Workstation (Contractor Provided), and Embarkable Portable Seat (Contractor Provided) Levels of Services: 3: (Basic, High End, Mission Critical) Performance Category 1: Workstation Refreshment Performance Measure Description: Workstation refreshment is defined in terms of the minimum acceptable refresh period. Workstation hardware will be refreshed on or before it reaches 36 months in age from date of manufacture. This metric applies to all workstations (desktops and laptops) in the NMCI inventory, including those previously owned by the Government that are capitalized by the contractor following contract award. Performance is calculated and reported by site. Who: Vendor Frequency: Continuously monitored and reported monthly for the first 18 months and quarterly thereafter. Where: NMCI wide How measured: Existing computer inventory including date of scheduled and actual refresh. B Value Pre-Negotiation Contract SLA 36 months 36 months Level of Service (1) Level of Service (2) 36 months 36 months Level of Service (3) As Applicable As Applicable Performance Category 2: Refreshment Timeliness Performance Measure Description: Refreshment timeliness is the percentage of refreshments that are completed within or before the quarter scheduled. Who: Vendor Frequency: Annually Where: NMCI wide How measured: Divide the number of technology refreshments that are completed within or before the quarter scheduled by the total number of technology refreshments scheduled for and completed that year. B Value Pre-Negotiation Contract SLA Level of Service (1) 0.85 0.85 Level of Service (2) 0.95 0.95 Level of Service (3) 0.95 0.95 Performance Category 3: Average Relative Performance of Refreshment Workstations

Performance Category 3. Average Relative Performance of Refreshment Workstations

Performance Measure Description: Average relative performance is the average of the relative performance of workstations provided for refreshment compared to the performance of "state-of-the-shelf" workstations available at the time of refreshment. Level of Service (LOS) 1 requires performance of the workstation provided for refreshment to be greater than or equal to 70% of the performance of the high-end commercially available state-of-the-shelf workstations. LOS 2 requires performance of high-end commercially available state-of-the-shelf workstations. The LOS 3 value is either 1 or 2 dependent on the required level of performance for the mission critical workstation.

Who: Vendor		Frequency: Continuous monitoring, monthly reported		
Where: NMCI-wide		How measured: Relative performance of refreshment workstations is expressed as a percentage of the performance of a high-end state-of-the-shelf workstation based on objective test results using test scenarios mutually agreed to by the Government and the contractor. Average relative performance is the average of the relative performance percentages for all workstations provided for technology refreshment during the quarter.		
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA	
Level of Service (1)		75 %	75 %	
Red		75% 75%		
White		65% 65%		
Blue		60% 60%		
Thin Client		50% 50%		
Level of Service (2)	_evel of Service (2)		90 %	
Level of Service (3)		As Applicable	As Applicable	
Performance Category 4:	Customer Satisfaction			
Performance Measure De refreshment as measured		support mission requirem	ents by technology	
Who: Vendor		Frequency: Initially measured at six month intervals for first year of contract and then yearly thereafter.		
Where: DON-wide		How measured: Customer survey, random sampling of NMCI customers.		
	B Value		Contract SLA	
Level of Service (1)		Pre-Negotiation Contract SLA 0.85 satisfactory rating 0.85 satisfactory ratin		
Level of Service (2)	_evel of Service (2)		0.85 satisfactory rating	
Level of Service (3)		0.85 satisfactory rating	0.85 satisfactory rating	

Service Name: Technology Insertion SLA: 36D						
	Service Description: Vendor will assist the DoN in identifying and applying new technologies to					
	increase the effectiveness of the program and to further advance its overall objectives of reducing					
costs, streamlining processes, and improving service.						
Applicable Service Deliv	very Points: All NMCI Inf	frastructure				
Levels of Services: 3: (I	Basic, High End, Mission	Critical)				
Performance Category 1:						
		number of technology inser				
		sed performance, lower co				
. ,		tio of demonstrated benefi	•			
		year that provide measura	able benefit as compared			
	hnology insertion projects					
Who: Vendor		Frequency: Annually				
Where: NMCI wide		How measured: Contracto	or reports the number of			
Where: Miles wide		different technology inser	•			
		during the year and the n				
		measurable beneficial res				
		reduction, increased perfe	•			
		capabilities.				
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA			
Level of Service (1)		.75	.75			
Level of Service (2)		.85	.85			
Level of Service (3)		.85	.85			
Performance Category 2:	Benefit Significance					
		e of insertion projects perfo				
improvements in NMCI co	ost or relevant technical pa	arameters for technology is	nsertion projects			
		all technology insertion pro				
	ceable" (5-10%) and "sigr	nificant" (10-20%) is used t	o assess impact.			
Who: Vendor		Frequency: Annually				
Where: SDP	Where: SDP How measured: Vendor reports the measured					
		improvement in cost or technical performance for				
		technology insertion projects completed during the				
		year, along with supporting data. Vendor				
		calculates the benefit significance of each				
		technology insertion project completed, weighted				
by cost benefit, and aggregates with all other						
technology insertion projects completed.						
	B Value	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA			
Level of Service (1)		5-10%	5-10%			
Level of Service (2)	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \					
Level of Service (3) > 20% > 20%						

Service Name: Sea-Shore Rotation Support Training

SLA: 37

Service Description: Vendor provided training of Navy and Marine Corps uniformed IT professionals that rotate from sea duty jobs to shore duty jobs over the course of their professional careers. This training supports their IT professional development and career tracks as well as their integration into NMCI related job functions.

Applicable Service Delivery Points: Training Planning

Levels of Services: N/A

Level of Service (3)

Performance Category 1: Skill Maintenance and IT Professional Development

Performance Measure Description: Ability to perform training needs assessment and planning based on evaluation of prior training and experience of each assigned individual. Measured as percentage equal to the number of individuals assigned with training plans judged as satisfactory divided by the total number of personnel assigned. The number assigned is limited to those individuals that are identified under the contract option.

Who: Third Party (Navy and Marine Corps Community Managers)		Frequency: Continuous measured, updated quarterly		
Where: Operations center		How measured: Judgment based on established career development policy (overview in attachment 3).		
	B Value (Unclassified)	B Value (Classified)	Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA
Level of Service (1)	0.95		0.95	0.95
Level of Service (2)	N/A		N/A	N/A
Level of Service (3) N/A			N/A	N/A

Performance Category 2: Core Competency Development

N/A

Performance Measure Description: Measures contractor performance in training Marine and Sailors to carry out skills identified as core competencies in overview attachment 3. Evaluation of this effectiveness is made by appropriate personnel in gaining commands upon transfer from NMCI assignment. The Government will use standardized testing focused on core competency skills required based on individual specialty and rank. This metric is reported by location based on percentage of people receiving a satisfactory score.

		Frequency: Continuous, coincides with military personnel fitness report cycles			
		How measured: Appropriate chain of command evaluates individual's core competency on a regular cycle, and evaluates the vendor's performance in training individuals to attain required core competency.			
B Value (Unclassified)			Pre-Negotiation	Contract SLA	
Level of Service (1)	0.95	0.95 0.95			
Level of Service (2)	N/A		N/A	N/A	

N/A

N/A